



	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	Sheldon House	
	Address & post code	Cromwell Road Teddington TW11 9EJ	
		E 516264	
	OS Grid ref. (Easting, Northing)	N 170626	
tails	LPA reference (if applicable)		
1. Project & Site Details	Brief description of proposed work	The scheme proposals comprise the demolition of the existing building to allow the construction of a new 5-storey residential development providing 27 residential units	
	Total site Area	1650 m²	
	Total existing impervious area	1110 m ²	
	Total proposed impervious area	690 m ²	
	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	Low Risk London Borough of Richmond upon Thames SWMP, December 2021	
	Existing drainage connection type and location	Private drainage network connects to Surface Water Sewer on Cromwell Road	
	Designer Name	Theo Risley	
	Designer Position	Engineer	
	Designer Company	Ardent Consulting Engineers	

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility					
	Superficial geology classification	Kempton Park Gravel Member		avel		
	Bedrock geology classification		London Clay Formation			
	Site infiltration rate	0	m/s			
	Depth to groundwater level	2.2 m below ground		w ground level		
	Is infiltration feasible?		No			
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy					
ments			Feasible (Y/N)	Proposed (Y/N)		
ange	1 store rainwater for later use	N	N			
2. Proposed Discharge Arrangements	2 use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas		Z	N		
	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release		N	N		
	4 attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release		Y	Υ		
	5 discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse		N	N		
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain		Υ	Υ		
	7 discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.		N	N		
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details					
	Proposed discharge location	Surface water sewer on Cromwell Roa		omwell Road		
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	Yes, capacity confirmed by Thames Water				



Total

		Greenfield (GF) runoff rate (I/s)	Existing discharge rate (I/s)	Required storage for GF rate (m³)	Proposed discharge rate (I/s)		
	Qbar	0.17			><		
	1 in 1	0.14	15.68	4	2		
	1 in 30	0.39	34.88	14	2		
	1 in 100	0.54	44.4	20	2		
	1 in 100 + CC		><	29	2		
	Climate change allowance used		40%				
rategy	3b. Principal Method of Flow Control		Orifice Plate				
je st	3c. Proposed SuDS Measures						
Drainage Strategy			Catchment	Plan area	Storage		
			area (m²)	(m²)	vol. (m³)		
,	Rainwater harvesting		0	$\geq \leq$	0		
	Infiltration systems		0	><	0		
	Green roofs						
			345	345	0		
	Blue roofs		345 0	345 0	0		
	Blue roofs Filter strips				0		
	Blue roofs Filter strips Filter drains		0	0 0	0		
	Blue roofs Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre		0 0	0 0	0 0 0		
	Blue roofs Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious pavemen		0	0 0	0 0		
	Blue roofs Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious pavements		0 0 0 0 230	0 0 0 0 230	0 0 0 0 21		
	Blue roofs Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious pavemen	nts	0 0 0 0 230	0 0 0 0 230	0 0 0 0 21		

599

575

30

	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report
	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	Section 2, Pages 4-6 Appendix C
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	Section 5, Page 18
1	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	Appendix E Appendix D Appendix J
4. Supporting Information	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	Section 5, Page 22 Appendix H
rting Inf	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	Section 5, Page 19 Appendix E
odc	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report
Sul	Detailed Development Layout	Section 5, Page 3
4.	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	Appendix E
	Detailed landscaping plans	
	Maintenance strategy	Appendix I
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:	Section 5, Page 23
	a) water quality of the runoff?	Appendix I
	b) biodiversity?	
	c) amenity?	