

From: Christopher Krage [REDACTED]  
Sent: 26 May 2023 11:05  
To: McNulty-Howard, Anton (Cllr) [REDACTED]  
Cc: Crookdake, Niki (Cllr) [REDACTED] Paterson, Tony (Cllr)  
[REDACTED] StagBreweryRedevelopment  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: STAG BREWERY PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT RESPONSE

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

Good morning Anton

Many thanks for your reply and taking the time to read my observations re the Stag Brewery redevelopment proposals. I do appreciate that I am late in the day for submitting comment but do appreciate your time and considered response. I am sending this from my personal email as I don't think it is appropriate to be using a work based email.

Yours sincerely

Christopher Krage  
6 Holmesdale Avenue,  
East Sheen London  
SW14 7BQ

[REDACTED]

Thank you Anton.

Just to note Mr Krage's address is not in East Sheen but North Richmond (the boundaries can be confusing) however I have read and noted comments.

Dera Christopher,

I note you are an east sheen resident, I am copying in your email to your local councillors for your ward.

I note your concerns, the remarks however need to be sent to the Planning committee, please use the council web site to forward your remarks to them.

The planning department are responsible for assessing the current proposals that are a result of many years negotiations with the Mayor of London' Office as well as the local Richmond Council planning department.

Whilst I cannot concur with all of the assumptions and deductions, behind you comments, I do appreciate that you do not think the site proposals are suitable to the fit with the local areas housing needs. Getting any significant change, is at this late stage, I fear is unlikely.

Regards,

Anton McNulty-Howard,  
Cllr., M.B.C., Ward

Thank you for your representation which will be taken into consideration during the assessment of the application, and summarised in the case officers report.

Any written representations received are included within the hard copy case file available for public inspection. Representations submitted via online will be published and will be available to view on our website, usually within 48 hours. Representations received via email or post may not be published on our website until a decision has been made.

It is not usually possible to respond to questions raised in individual letters.

Regards,

Strategic Applications Manager  
London Borough of Richmond upon Thames.

Good afternoon

As a long term resident of East Sheen I would like to make a series of observations based on the recent **Mortlake Messenger** freesheet courtesy of Reselton Properties.

As we have seen from the architectural catastrophe that is Nine Elms and the unaffordable Battersea £9 billion development (perfect for laundering large sums of untraceable cash) by anonymous off-plan overseas investors. This construction policy has, it would seem, set the bar for wanton building development along the Thames.

We are all too aware of the traffic congestion in this area courtesy of the closure of Hammersmith Bridge - recent estimates suggest it won't open for another three years as the

London Boroughs of Hammersmith & Fulham and Richmond play loggerhead politics as to the funding and restoration of this vital Thames crossing - not helped by a Government who slash local budgets and deliver nothing across a range of national issues.

**'The height of some of the buildings is of major concern and the lack of affordable housing is very disappointing.'**

Where have we heard this before? See Nine Elms and Battersea.

The proposed Cinema is laughable - just a distraction from the real issues of social infrastructure, sustainability and traffic congestion raised by the proposed development.

Kew Retail park I believe is up for redevelopment - more affordable housing perhaps? I very much doubt it.

**This school, which few in the community support, creates more density and additional traffic gridlock at levels not compatible with the site given it is constrained by the river and the railway.**

This might not be realistic given that a potential resident profile would be more akin to city workers or non resident investors who could afford the (un)affordable apartments.

So I don't see where the infant numbers would come from given how we have seen London property marketing strategy of the last 20 years.

What would be the impact on the current population of Mortlake? The sustainability of

local services and resources for an established community?

I am certain the developers really don't care - their vision of Croydon meets Nine Elms architecture is an affront to the history of this stretch of the Thames and this development proposal should be thrown out once and for all for the total sham it is.

Yours sincerely

Christopher Krage

6 Holmesdale Avenue,

East Sheen London

SW14 7BQ

