

From: Sarah Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 May 2023 16:28
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment [REDACTED]
Subject:

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

RE Application A Ref. 22/0900/OUT and Application B Ref. 22/0902/FUL

As a local resident (address below), I wish to register my strong objection to both these applications.

The amended applications are virtually unchanged from the previous rejected proposals and do not in any way address the very serious adverse impact of the proposals on the surrounding area.

The residential development 22/0900/OUT is far too dense for the local area. A reduction of fewer than 20 units does not address this. It is not only already a densely populated part of the borough but there are future developments at Barnes Hospital and the Homebase site which, together with the brewery proposals, threaten to overwhelm the area and completely change its character.

Traffic and transport is a major part of this. New homes built on this site will feed out on to a road which is already an acute pinch point causing frequent (daily) gridlock that stretches far in all directions, involving two level crossings. It is already extremely difficult for local residents to come and go, and development of the brewery at the level proposed will be catastrophic. The long term closure of Hammersmith bridge has rendered this area almost unviable for transport as it is, and indeed there have been fatal accidents in the locale. The proposed number of new homes is therefore far too high and will blight the area for existing residents who are already struggling. The scheme offers no effective transport policy whatsoever to alleviate this pressure.

The proposed development further threatens to entirely change the character of a unique and remarkable wild stretch of riverside. The height of the buildings is unreasonable and will completely change an area with unique value in its natural and historic heritage. The development would replace essential green spaces with overcrowded development. Furthermore, pressure on local services from primary school places, GP's, hospital and health services is already intense and many are at breaking point. The 'village' style community is under threat. The development is too big, out of scale, lacking care of the green environment and completely untenable in terms of traffic/cars/transport.

In terms of the secondary school, there is no evidence of the need for a school on the site. The proposal crams it into a tiny space without room for the facilities needed by children for space, sport etc.

The developers appear to be acting cynically in resubmitting applications after doing so little to rethink these issues in response to objections, in an attempt to 'wear down' planning authorities. We trust the council will remain resilient and reject the plans again on our behalf.

Yours faithfully

Sarah Smith
93 Westfields Ave London SW13 0AY

