Reference: FS548052673

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 23/2401/FUL

Address: Land At Junction Of Roseleigh Close And Cambridge ParkCambridge ParkTwickenham

Proposal: Proposed development of 3no. maisonettes on land at Junction Of Roseleigh Close And Cambridge Park,

Cambridge Park, East Twickenham

Comments Made By

Name: Ms. Tracy Jackson

Address: Flat 1 34 Cambridge Park Twickenham TW1 2JS

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: I am writing to object to the planning application for a number of reasons.

First of all, the proposed buildings are totally incompatible with the rest of the estate. None of the existing maisonettes have basements, a large conservatory, floor to ceiling windows, cycle storage, a living roof etc. The proposed plans are deliberately vague and are devoid of any meaningful measurements.

Secondly, any new buildings would increase noise, traffic and pollution for a considerable time, including into the future, should this development be erroneously permitted. Also, many residents work from home or are retired and would be severely impacted.

Thirdly, a balcony would be totally out of character and would dramatically increase noise levels and invade the privacy of many neighbouring properties in Roseleigh Close. Furthermore, Flats 1 and 2, 34 Cambridge Park would lose privacy, natural light and suffer an increase in noise due to the proximity of the proposed maisonettes.

The deliberate abandonment of the area - once a much-loved and well-kept green space - in order to increase the possibility of building on the land has lead it to become a haven for wildlife. A number of species have taken up residence including foxes, badgers and bats, all of which would suffer. The mature Horse Chestnut trees on the south side of the land have been there a number of years and any development would surely impact the roots and the health of these protected trees. The Tree Survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment states in 4.2: 'no detailed tree examinations were undertaken during the survey.' Any damage to these trees would be an act of vandalism against the environment and detrimental to the wellbeing of the residents.

In conclusion, this proposal ignores the aesthetics of the estate, the impact on all residents, particularly those closest to the proposed buildings, and also harms the wildlife and damages the environment. I strongly oppose this development and hope that the proposed plans will be refused as they were on previous occasions.