

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 23/2401/FUL

**Address:** Land At Junction Of Roseleigh Close And Cambridge ParkCambridge ParkTwickenham

**Proposal:** Proposed development of 3no. maisonettes on land at Junction Of Roseleigh Close And Cambridge Park, Cambridge Park, East Twickenham

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Mr. Martin Habell

**Address:** 18 Vivienne Close Twickenham TW1 2JX

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I wish to further object to the proposals on the following grounds:

A. Misleading drawings

The 3D elevations do not demonstrate the true nature and extent of the crowns of horse chestnut trees

Critical dimensions of the plot and building position are absent yet

The true nature of building lines relationship in 3D is concealed.(see point B)

B. Building Line

The west elevation of the proposal is 2.7m beyond the long established building line of Roseleigh Close. That unacceptably closes off the free flowing space.

C. Poor character.

A principle public view from Cambridge Park and Roseleigh Close is of bin sheds.

D. Damage out weighs amenity value

There are many attempts at token (green) environmental techniques but these fail to get close to outweighing the damage and loss of amenity.

There is no local amenity advantage.

E. Too close to trees, site cannot support building.

The usual required distance of a proposed building from a mature horse chestnut (ref Arboricultural Assoc) is 20 metres. It is even further if the ground surface is impermeable as it is on the public side. The trees are totally reliant on the open land of the site. Even applying just the 20m rule precludes any building on any of the site, especially when taken with tree crown extent and limited nutrient zones.

Martin Habell