GHA Trees 5 South Drive High Wycombe Bucks HP13 GJU



Glen Harding MICFor MSc (Forestry), MArborA t: 07884 056025 e: info@ghatrees.co.uk www.ghatrees.co.uk

BS5837:2012 TREE SURVEY AND ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Independence House, 84 Lower Mortlake Road, Richmond, TW9 2HS

Dated: 31st July 2023

Our reference: GHA/DS/160555:23



GHA trees arboricultural consultancy



CONTENTS

Section	Subject	Page				
	Instructions	3				
	Executive Summary	3				
	Documents Supplied	4				
	Scope of Survey	4				
	Survey Method	5				
	The Site	6				
	Subject Trees	6				
	The Proposal	6				
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	6				
	Post Development Pressure	7				
	Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works	8				
	Conclusion					
	Recommendations	9				
Appendix A	Site Plan / Arboricultural Impact Plan (Attached as a separate PDF file to maintain its integrity / accuracy)					
Appendix B	Tree Table					

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Location: Independence House, 84 Lower Mortlake Road, Richmond, TW9 2HS Our reference: GHA/DS/160555:23 Client: Wimshurst Pellereti Dated: 31st July 2023 Prepared by: Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MArborA Date of Inspection: 20th July 2023

Instructions

Issued by – Wimshurst Pellereti

TERMS OF REFERENCE – GHA Trees were instructed to survey the subject trees within and adjacent to Independence House, 84 Lower Mortlake Road, Richmond, in order to assess their general condition and to provide a planning integration statement for the indicative proposed development that safeguards the long term wellbeing of the retained trees in a sustainable manner.

The writer retains the copyright of this report and it content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

Executive Summary

The proposal for the site is to extend and refurbish the existing building, converting its use from office to residential. The building includes an existing basement car park.

The proposed scheme does not require the removal or pruning of any of the trees on site, or of trees within nearby adjacent sites; therefore, the landscape character of the site will be unaffected by the proposal. A small number of relatively insignificant (C category) shrubs will be removed, which will not significantly impact the local or wider landscape. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

Documents Supplied

The client supplied the following documents:

- Topographical survey
- Existing layout plans
- Proposed layout plans

Scope of Survey

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the subject property was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 Trees in third party ownership were surveyed from within the subject property, therefore a detailed assessment was not possible and some (if not all) measurements were estimated. Where the stem location of a third party tree has been estimated, this is noted on the plan.
- 1.5 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.6 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.7 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations.
- 1.8 Underground services near to trees will need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837.
- 1.9 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

Survey Method

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars if needed.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.

- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre.
- 2.5 The stem diameter for each tree was measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations.
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A) and within the tree table (Appendix B). The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A; this plan was produced in colour and **MUST** only be scanned or reproduced in colour. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format:

COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 to 20 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realisitically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour = red crown outline on plan.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations', Table 1.

<u>The Site</u>

3.1 The site is located on Lower Mortlake Road, a residential through road located to east of Richmond.

The Subject Trees

- 4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.
- 4.2 Of the four individual trees surveyed, three have been assessed as BS 5837 category A, with the remaining tree being assessed as BS 5837 category C.

Category A	3 trees
Category C	1 tree

<u>The Proposal</u>

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to construct a new apartment block to replace the existing building; the new building will include a new basement level for parking.
- 5.2 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

PROPOSED TREE REMOVAL / RETENTION:

6.1 The proposed site layout and all of its associated structures allows for the healthy retention of all of the trees on the site itself, and within nearby adjacent sites; therefore, the arboricultural landscape character of the site will be retained.

TREE PRUNING TO ACCOMODATE THE PROPOSAL OR ACCESS TO THE SITE

- 6.2 The implementation of the proposal does not lead to the requirement to prune any of the retained trees, or shrubs.
- 6.3 There is no part of the new structure which will have tree canopies overhanging it and the building works can progress safely without the need for any facilitation pruning.

ASSESSMENT OF RETAINED TREES ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

6.4 Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837: 2012 states that the Root Protection Area (RPA) of each tree should be assessed by an arboriculturalist considering the likely morphology

and disposition of the roots, when known to be influenced by past or existing site conditions.

- 6.5 The RPAs of T3 and T4 have been amended to take account of the existing structures; these adjustments can be seen on the appended plan.
- 6.6 The other RPAs have been drawn as notional circles, as there are no structures within their RPAs that have been assessed to significantly impact the root layout.

ASSESSED IMPACT ON RPAS BY PROPOSED STRUCTURES

6.7 The proposed new structure is situated outside of the assessed RPAs of all of the trees; therefore, the trees pose no below ground constraints on the new structure or vice versa.

INSTALLATION OF SERVICES

- 6.8 The full details of existing and proposed new services have not been made available at the time of writing.
- 6.9 New services MUST be routed to avoid all RPAs of retained trees on site and within nearby sites. From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, there is no reason to assume this isn't possible. Inspection chambers must also be sited outside the RPAs of any nearby trees.

Post Development Pressure

FUTURE TREE AND STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIPS

- 7.1 The retained trees are at a satisfactory distance from the proposed new building and highly unlikely to give rise to any inconvenience.
- 7.2 Some minor crown management of the retained trees may be required in the medium term; however, any such work would not have a significant impact on the health or amenity value of these trees and is already commonplace on the other London Planes on Lower Mortlake Road.
- 7.3 Regular inspections of the retained trees by a suitably qualified Arboriculturalist and subsequent remedial works will ensure that the trees are maintained in a suitable manner, to exist in harmony with the new structures and its occupants for many years to come.

<u>Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development</u> <u>Works</u>

8.1 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

It is essential for the future health of the trees to be retained on site, that <u>all</u> development activity is undertaken outside the root protection zone of these trees. The position of any such barriers **MUST** be marked out with biodegradable marker paint on site and agreed with appropriate representatives from the LPA and contractor. The fencing **MUST** be erected **prior** to any works in the vicinity of the trees and removed only when all development activity is complete.

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

"Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access"

- 8.2 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS All site huts **MUST** be positioned outside of the retained trees RPA's.
- 8.3 MIXING OF CONCRETE All mixing of cement / co

All mixing of cement / concrete **MUST** be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.

8.4 USE CRANES, RIGS AND BOOMS Precautionary measures **MUST** be observed to avoid contact of any retained trees when manoeuvring cranes rigs or booms into position.

8.5 ON SITE SUPERVISION

Regular site supervision is essential to ensure all potentially damaging activities near to trees are properly supervised. A pre start site meeting **MUST** occur to ensure all parties are aware of their responsibilities relating to tree protection on site; this **MUST** include a site induction for key personnel.

Name	Position	Contact number / email:
Glen Harding	Retained arboriculturalist	07884 056 025
		Or <u>info@ghatrees.co.uk</u>
TBC	Local authority Arboricultural	ТВС
	Officer	
TBC	Site manager	ТВС

Key personnel:

- 8.6 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS
 - **NO** fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
 - **NO** fuels, oils or substances with will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
 - **NO** storage of any materials within the root protections zone.

8.7 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site.

Conclusion

- 9.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 9.2 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.

Recommendations

- 10.1 Site supervision An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
 - a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
 - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
 - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.
 - d. Be responsible for ensuring that <u>all</u> site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.
 - e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.
- 10.2 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

31st July 2023 Signed:

Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MArborA For and on behalf of GHA Trees

Appendix A TREE PLAN (see separate PDF)

<u>Appendix B</u> TREE TABLE

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	London plane	15	240	1	2.88	3.5	3	3.5	3	М	4 south	40+	A1 and A2	Prominent, high value street tree.
T2	London plane	12	210	1	2.52	4.2	4.2	3.5	3.8	М	2 south, first branch 4	40+	A1 and A2	Prominent, high value street tree.
Т3	Box elder	7	140	1	1.68	3	3	2.5	3	М	3 west	10-20	C1	Small tree of limited value in the wider landscape. Stem damaging nearby wall.
T4	London plane	15	460	1	5.52	4	4	4	4	Μ	4	40+	A1 and A2	Prominent, high value street tree. Previously crown reduced

KEY :

Tree No: (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland) Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM), Veteran (V) Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m



