

Lucy Thatcher

From: Tony Bethell [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 December 2023 11:18
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Application 22/0900/OUT FORMER STAG BREWERY

[REDACTED]

Tony Bethell and Flora Dupont
79 South Worple Way
East Sheen
London
SW14 8NG

03 Dec 2023

Dear Sirs,

CONSULTATIONS Application 22/0900/OUT FORMER STAG BREWERY

We are responding to the latest changes to the proposals for the Former Stag Brewery site as part of the formal consultations period. We note the changes which have been made to the scheme designs in relation to the Application 'A' - 22/0900/OUT for the whole site, and that there are no changes to Application B for the school as this is unaffected by the changes to fire escape requirements.

Our main comments are as follows:

- We see that nine of the buildings have been affected by the new fire escape regulations for buildings over 18m in height. The design amendments still conflict with the Local Plan and the approved Planning Brief for the site with several buildings above the height limit of 7 floors, and do not diminish sufficiently in height below this maximum limit to the edges of the site. Indeed, most of the buildings located on the towpath perimeter are over 7 storeys in height.
- We note that the number of units is now reduced to 1075 (previously 1085), due to internal design changes to many of the buildings. This is despite replacing office space above the cinema with new residential units. However, the percentage of affordable units is poor, and considerably below the levels required by the London Plan and the Local Plan - and now considerably lower than the percentage rejected by the Mayor of London on the earlier planning application.

- The layout and external areas of the scheme are amended but the open space provided between buildings would certainly be required in any case to comply with current residential design standards and codes. The open space between buildings cannot therefore be considered 're-provisioning' required within the Local Plan to account for the loss of the sports fields (protected Open Space referred to as OOLTl) - due to the siting of the new secondary school in Application B.

We note that consultations relate only to the recent amendments, given the consent of the wider scheme in July this year. However, none of the changes address these three fundamental non-compliant aspects of the London Plan, the Local Plan, and the approved Planning Brief for the Stag site.

There was much debate by committee members at the July Planning Committee about general scale, massing and context but these key issues of non-compliance were not specifically addressed by members. Other perceived public benefits of the proposals can in no way over-ride such weighty planning considerations and are highly unlikely to be over - looked at Inquiry.

We object strongly to this application and the latest design changes. We still consider this an unnecessary over-development of this highly constrained site, which will make local conditions and traffic even more intolerable. We wish to see a more sustainable community led plan for this unique site.

Yours sincerely

Tony Bethell and Flora Dupont