

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 23/3259/FUL

**Address:** Karslake And Ruston And Ward Buildings At Hampton Water Treatment Works Upper Sunbury Road Hampton

**Proposal:** Conversion and extension of the site including Ruston and Karslake Buildings and works at basement level to provide 36 no. residential units (Use Class C3) and 318.8sqm flexible business space (Use Class E(g)), associated car parking, access and landscaping works. In association with Listed Building application reference 23/3265/LBC.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Mr. Matthew Bolton

**Address:** Flat 11 63 Ormond Avenue Hampton TW12 2RY

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** Noting the previous application was refused at the site with one of the reason for refusal including the lack of provision of affordable housing, I hope that LBRUT is robustly interrogating the applicants viability assessment, to ensure that the maximum deliverable affordable housing is delivered onsite. This should include early and late stage reviews to ensure that any additional affordable housing contributions are captured.

I have concerns over the lack of detail on the proposed pedestrian crossing on Upper Sunbury Road. Within the application documents there is no transport assessment or transport statement. There is a very short reference to the delivery of a pedestrian crossing on Upper Sunbury Road in the Design and Access Statement. What type of crossing will this be? Is it a toucan crossing or a zebra crossing. This should be clarified. Is there not potential for the introduction of a pedestrian crossing phase at the signalised junction of Lower Sunbury and Upper Sunbury Road. Currently these signals do not allow pedestrians to cross safely. Also around the access points, it is understood these are historic accesses that are in situ. However, the Upper Sunbury Road often suffers from severe congestion at peak hours. To ensure that there is no obstructions as a result of the development, yellow boxes may need to be added to the entrance/exits of the site to prevent traffic obstructions.

I also hope that should LBRUT recommend approval for the scheme a condition is added to ensure that future residents and businesses are not eligible for a CPZ permit, should a CPZ be introduced in Hampton in the next five years.