

**Civil Engineers & Transport Planners** 

**3 Duke Street** 

Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy

December 2023 231746/FRA/OR/RS/01



LANMOR Consulting Ltd, Thorogood House, 34 Tolworth Close Surbiton, Surrey, KT6 7EW

Tel: 0208 339 7899 Fax: 0208 339 7898 E-mail: <u>info@lanmor.co.uk</u> Internet: <u>www.lanmor.co.uk</u>



# **DOCUMENT STATUS**

Project: 3 Duke Street

Title: Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy

Client: Brick & Fuel (Duke Street) Ltd

Reference: 231746/FRA/OR/RS/01

Produced by:	OR	Date:	01/12/23
		-	
Checked by:	RS	Date:	01/12/23
		-	
Approved by:	KL	 Date:	01/12/23

Issue/revision	<u>Date</u>	<u>Status</u>	Issued by
First	01/12/23	For Approval	KBL



# CONTENTS

1	INTR	ODUCTION1
	1.1	General1
	1.2	Scope1
2	BASE	LINE CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
	2.1	Existing Site
	2.2	Geology
	2.3	Proposed Development 3
3	SOUF	RCES OF FLOODING
	3.1	Fluvial / Tidal Flooding
	3.2	Surface Water Flooding 5
	3.3	Groundwater Flooding
	3.4	Sewer Flooding7
	3.5	Flooding from Reservoirs
4	IMPA	ACT OF FLOODING
	4.1	Flood Probability and Climate Change8
	4.2	Impact on Flood Waters
	4.3	Flood Impact on Development
	4.4	Safe Access
	4.5	Sequential Test
5	DRAI	NAGE STRATEGY 10
	5.1	Existing Drainage Networks 10
	5.2	Proposed Foul Water Drainage10
	5.3	Proposed Surface Water Drainage 10
6	SURF	ACE WATER/SUDS MAINTENANCE14
7	SUM	MARY AND CONCLUSION

# TABLES

TABLE 5.1 – EXISTING AND PROPOSED FLOW RATES	. 12
TABLE 7.1 – MANHOLE, CATCHPIT AND PIPE MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE	. 15
TABLE 7.2 – BLUE ROOF MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE	. 16

# FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1 – SITE LOCATION	1
FIGURE 3.1 – FLUVIAL FLOOD MAP	5
FIGURE 3.2 – SURFACE WATER FLOOD MAPPING	6
FIGURE 5.1 – SUDS HIERARCHY	12

# **APPENDICES**

#### APPENDIX A

**Proposed Floor Plans** 

# APPENDIX B

**Thames Water Sewer Records** 

#### APPENDIX C

Drawing 231746/DS/01 – Proposed Drainage Strategy MicroDrainage Calculations

# 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

- 1.1.1 Lanmor Consulting Ltd has been commissioned to provide a Flood Risk
   Assessment and Drainage Strategy report for the proposed development at
   3 Duke Street, Richmond, TW9 1HP.
- 1.1.2 Figure 1.1 below shows the location of the site.



Figure 1.1 – Site Location

#### 1.2 Scope

- 1.2.1 This report describes the sites existing conditions, development proposals and implications of flooding on the site as described in the governments guidance document; National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its technical guidance. This report will consider the following:
  - Development proposals
  - Sources of flooding and flood defences
  - Flooding extents, depth and climate change predictions

- Impact of flooding on the development
- Dangers presented by flooding
- 1.2.2 This report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the governments National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its planning practice guidance and will demonstrate that the proposed development will be safe and will not increase the risk of flooding in the surrounding area.
- 1.2.3 This report will also consider the proposed drainage regime for the site. It will assess the site's current Greenfield runoff rate, suitable methods of discharging the runoff from the development and set the drainage strategy for the proposed development, including discharge rates and any requirements for attenuation.

# 2 BASELINE CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 2.1 Existing Site

2.1.1 The site is located in the centre of Richmond town, the surrounding area consisting of a large number of commercial units with occasional residential units scattered within. The closest river is the River Thames, being an estimated 500m away from the site. Being in a town centre, there is a high density of buildings and the ground largely consists of impermeable surfaces.

### 2.2 Geology

2.2.1 The British Geological Survey (BGS) indicates that the site is underlain by a bedrock of London Clay Formation – Clay and silt. Sedimentary bedrock formed between 56 and 47.8 million years ago during the Palaeogene period. Superficial deposits of Kempton Park Gravel Member – Sand and gravel were also recorded. The sedimentary superficial deposit formed between 116 and 11.8 thousand years ago during the Quaternary period.

### 2.3 Proposed Development

2.3.1 The proposal consists of the erection of a 3-storey extension above a retail area to be used as a private flat complex containing a total of three bedrooms and three ensuite bathrooms. The flat complex also contains a cycle storage unit on the ground floor. The floor plans for the proposed development have been included in Appendix A.

# 3 SOURCES OF FLOODING

### 3.1 Fluvial / Tidal Flooding

- 3.1.1 The NPPF and PPG define the Flood Zones as follows:
  - Zone 1: 'Low Probability' This zone comprises land assessed as having a less than a 1 in 1000 annual probability of river or sea flooding (<0.1%) in any year.
  - Zone 2: 'Medium Probability' This zone comprises land assessed as having between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 annual probability of river flooding (1% - 0.1%) or between a 1 in 200 and 1 in 1000 annual probability of sea flooding (0.5%-0.1%) in any year.
  - Zone 3a: 'High Probability' This zone comprises land assessed as having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1%) or a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of flooding from the sea (>0.5%) in any year.
  - Zone 3b: 'The Functional Floodplain' This zone comprises land where water must flow or be stored in times of flood. SFRAs should identify this Flood Zone (land which would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 (5%) or greater in any year or is designed to flood in an extreme (0.1%) flood, or at another probability to be agreed between the LPA and the Environment Agency, including water conveyance routes).
- 3.1.2 Detailed flooding mapping was requested from the EA, however as the site is located in Flood Zone 1, they were not able to provide any flood levels and refer to the flood mapping for planning on the government website. Figure 3.1 shows the site's location in relation to the Flood Zones.





Figure 3.1 – Fluvial Flood Map

- 3.1.3 The dark blue shaded area on the above map shows area in Flood Zone 3 that might be subject to flooding with a probability of 1.0% or greater, the light blue areas show the probability of flooding between 1.0% and 0.1%. The orange lines indicate the presence of flood defences along the river. The unshaded areas indicate a flood probability of less than 0.1%.
- 3.1.4 The application site is shown to be within Flood Zone 1. This means that there is a fluvial risk of flooding of less than 0.1% or (1 in 1000) in any given year. The application site is indicated to be a considerable distance from the extent of Flood Zones 2 and 3.

#### 3.2 Surface Water Flooding

3.2.1 The surface water flood mapping provided by the EA is considered to be the best available source of national information of surface water flooding it is a starting point for understanding patterns and probability of surface water flooding.

- 3.2.2 The EA accept that the mapping has limitations and state that *"these maps cannot definitely show that an area of land or property is, or is not, at risk of flooding, and the maps are not suitable for use at an individual property level."*
- 3.2.3 The surface water flood maps on the government website show the indicate extents of flooding for different return periods. Figure 3.2 below shows the extents and depth of flooding from an event with a probability of 1.0%.



Figure 3.2 – Surface Water Flood Mapping

3.2.4 The flood map above shows the site will not be subject to flooding with a probability of 1.0%. The site is therefore identified as being in a low surface water flood risk area.

#### 3.3 Groundwater Flooding

3.3.1 The London Borough of Richmond published a Preliminary Flood Report Assessment (PFRA), detailing the risk of groundwater flooding to areas within the borough. Within the SFRA was included a map which demonstrates the risk of groundwater flooding in the area. 3.3.2 The site is in an area of increased potential for elevated groundwater levels from permeable superficial deposits. This means that there is an increased risk of groundwater flooding in the area of the site. However, as the proposals are for a vertical extension and residential accommodation will be located above ground level, the risk of groundwater flooding to the development is considered low.

# 3.4 Sewer Flooding

3.4.1 Also detailed in the London Borough of Richmond's preliminary flood risk report assessment is risk of sewer flooding based on the level of sewer flooding in the past. The area of the site is situated within a 6- 10 number of sewer flooding, which means that it is higher on average than the rest of Richmond. However, despite this, it remains low on the scale. Therefore, sewer flooding is not a high risk of flooding for the site.

#### 3.5 Flooding from Reservoirs

3.5.1 The EA flood map indicates that there is no risk of flooding from reservoirs. This is because there are no reservoirs in the area, or which affect the site.

### 4 IMPACT OF FLOODING

#### 4.1 Flood Probability and Climate Change

- 4.1.1 The nearest source of flooding to the site is from the Thames, which is located approximately 500m away from the site. Given the distance of the proposed development from the nearest source of flooding, the EA were not able to provide flood level data for this site. However, they were able to confirm the site is in Flood Zone 1, so has a probability of 0.1% or less risk of fluvial flooding.
- 4.1.2 The Environment Agency have published updated climate change allowances. The allowance to be implemented is based on the management catchment area, flood zone and site vulnerability. The Flood Risk Assessment: Climate Change Allowances recommends that sites in Flood Zones 2 and 3, or Zone 1 where there is a risk it might be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in the future, should use the central or higher central allowance for increased river flows. The site is located in Flood Zone 1 and is some distance from Zones 2 or 3, therefore even when climate change allowances are considered, the site is still some distance from the flood.

#### 4.2 Impact on Flood Waters

4.2.1 The site is located in Flood Zone 1, some distance from the 1.0% flood extent. Therefore, the proposed erection of a 3-storey extension above a retail area will not restrict the free flow of flood waters or displace any flood storage volumes for an event with a 1.0% probability or greater, including climate change.

#### 4.3 Flood Impact on Development

As the development is located well above the flood level for an event with a probability of 1.0% +CC or greater, it will not be at risk of flooding from a flood event with a probability of 1.0% +CC AEP and will not put residents at risk. Therefore, residents of the flats will be safe from flooding for a 1% AEP +CC event.

#### 4.4 Safe Access

4.4.1 Since the proposed development will not be at risk from flooding for an event with a probability of 1.0% AEP +CC, a safe access route can always be provided to and from the development site along Duke Street.

### 4.5 Sequential Test

- 4.5.1 The principal of the sequential test is to assess locations and to prioritise development in areas at less risk of flooding. The NPPF suggests that Regional Planning Bodies and Local Planning Authorities should ensure their spatial strategies include a broad consideration of flood risk. Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA) refine information on the probability of flooding, taking other sources of flooding and the impacts of climate change into account. They provide the basis for applying the sequential test.
- 4.5.2 The proposed development is location in Flood Zone 1, the lowest risk of flooding.Therefore the sequential test is considered to have been passed as development has been directed to the lowest flood risk areas.

# 5 DRAINAGE STRATEGY

#### 5.1 Existing Drainage Networks

- 5.1.1 As part of the investigation into the existing drainage regime for the site, sewer records were obtained from Thames Water. Sewer records show that there is an existing foul water sewer which runs down Duke Street. It has been observed that the foul sewer has a diameter of 450mm along Duke Street connecting into a combined trunk sewer at the junction with The Green/Little Green.
- 5.1.2 The surface water sewer along Duke Street is indicated to have a diameter of 450mm, increasing to 600mm along The Green. A copy of the Thames Water sewer records has been included in Appendix B.

#### 5.2 Proposed Foul Water Drainage

5.2.1 The proposed foul water drainage will collect all foul water sources and transport it to the nearby foul sewer using pipes. Due to there being an existing retail area on the ground floor, it is assumed that there is already an existing foul water drainage network in place though the precise layout of this will need to be confirmed by CCTV survey. Foul water from the flat complex will be connected to the existing network and discharged at the same location.

#### 5.3 Proposed Surface Water Drainage

- 5.3.1 With regards to discharge of surface water runoff from the development, the SuDS hierarchy has been considered when designing the drainage strategy for the site. Since the proposed development will incorporate a flat roof, blue roof attenuation was considered to be a viable option for the capture and attenuation of rainwater. This method of SuDS is ideal for densely developed urban areas, where the potential for ground level attenuation is restricted.
- 5.3.2 Rainwater harvesting was also considered, as a means of reusing surface water runoff within the building. However, these systems require a separate network of pipes within the property, as well as tanks and pumps to store the rainwater and distribute it throughout. It was considered impractical to implement rainwater harvesting systems on the site due to site constraints and excessive

cost for the development. In addition, for these systems to be successfully implemented there must be sufficient demand for water reuse otherwise this may lead to water quality issues.

- 5.3.3 Furthermore, rainwater harvesting tanks should not be included in the assessment of attenuation required to store runoff from a development as there is no guarantee that the tank will be sufficiently empty to receive another storm. Should the rainwater harvesting tank be full at the start of the storm, it will not be able to receive any more runoff, therefore additional storage of a similar size would be required to cater for all storm events and the rainwater harvesting tank will provide no benefit in terms of attenuation. For those reasons, and the excessive cost of providing the system, this method has been discounted.
- 5.3.4 Next on the Sustainable Drainage Hierarchy is the use of ground infiltration techniques such as soakaways and infiltration basins. The British Geological Survey (BGS) records indicate the ground conditions to consist of London Clay Formation which is not suitable for infiltration techniques. The site is also located in a densely developed urban area, and the footprint of the building occupies the entire site with no available land surrounding the property. Were infiltration techniques to be used, the surface water discharge from any soakaway or infiltration systems would risk damaging the foundations of the building as the requisite 5m offset would be unachievable, so this method has been discounted.
- 5.3.5 Discharge to a watercourse is the next option on the Sustainable Drainage Hierarchy, however as the proposed development is not situated near any suitable watercourse, discharging via this method would therefore not be a viable option.



Figure 5.1 – SuDS Hierarchy

- 5.3.6 Next on the hierarchy is the discharge to a sewer and attenuating the flows. There is a surface water sewer in Duke Street and so it is proposed to connect into this sewer to discharge runoff from the site.
- 5.3.7 Calculations have been undertaken to determine the existing Brownfield runoff rates from the site to set the proposed discharge rates. The results are tabled below.

Poturn	Prownfield		Proposed Discharge (I/s)						
Period	eriod Rate (l/s)	Blue Roof	Other Areas	Total Site	% reduction				
1 in 1	1.1	0.1	0.4	0.5	52%				
1 in 30	2.6	0.1	1.0	1.1	58%				
1 in 100	3.5	0.1	1.3	1.4	59%				
1 in 100 +40% CC	4.8	0.1	1.8	1.9	59%				

Table 5.1 – Existing and Proposed Flow Rates

5.3.8 A blue roof drainage system will capture rainwater on the main roof of the building and attenuate the flow to the surface water sewer. This will restrict the peak discharge rate from the main roof to a maximum of 0.1 l/s for the 1 in 100-year event plus climate change allowances. In addition, there is a small area of roof at the third-floor level that will discharge to the surface water sewer unrestricted. Due to site constraints, it is not possible to provide any form of

attenuation for these small areas, and calculations have been completed to determine the peak flow rate from them. The total peak discharge rate from the site for the 1 in 100-year event plus climate change has been calculated at 1.9 l/s, a 59% reduction from the existing Brownfield rate.

5.3.9 A drainage layout for the proposed development has been prepared and is included in Appendix C as drawing 231746/DS/01. Drainage calculations using MicroDrainage have been undertaken to estimate the depth of water within the blue roof, and to calculate the peak discharge rates from the unrestricted areas. The calculations have been completed for the 1 in 100-year event plus 40% climate change and show there will be a greater than 50% reduction in the peak flow rate without resulting in flooding on site or in the surrounding area. Full calculations for the site have been included in Appendix C.

### 6 SURFACE WATER/SUDS MAINTENANCE

- 6.1.1 Regularly inspecting the surface water drainage network for blockages and clearing unwanted debris / silt from the system should improve the performance of the surface water network and decrease the need for future repairs. In the event that road gullies become blocked, high pressure water jets can be used to clear the gully and ensure they are functioning correctly, this should be undertaken by certified trained professionals.
- 6.1.2 The level and frequency of maintenance required on site is dependent on the type of facility. The type of maintenance will fall into one of three categories "regular maintenance", "occasional maintenance" and "remedial maintenance".
- 6.1.3 Regular maintenance of the drainage and SuDS features will include, inspections, removal of litter / debris and sweeping of the surfaces. Occasional maintenance will include removal of sediment etc. and remedial maintenance may include structural repairs and infiltration reconditioning if required.
- 6.1.4 The drainage and SuDS elements after an initial inspection following construction should be inspected on a monthly basis for the first 12 months and after large storms, thereafter the following maintenance regime should be applied and adjusted if the 12-month monitoring process has identified any issues.
- 6.1.5 Following completion of the development a Management Company will be set up to maintain all the communal areas, including the drainage. It will be their responsibility to maintain the drainage network, including the SuDS elements.
- 6.1.6 The appropriate health and safety equipment must be used when accessing manholes. Confined space certificates must be held by any personnel entering a manhole and the appropriate permits should be obtained.
- 6.1.7 Pipes are intended to be the main conveyance across the development. They are intended to be dry except for during rainfall events. These have been designed to be self-cleaning where possible for smaller diameter pipes, and for larger diameters the risk is reduced due to the overall pipe size.



### 6.1.8 For manholes and pipes, the following maintenance will be required.

Manhole / F	Manhole / Pipe Maintenance Schedule											
	Required Action	Typical Frequency										
Regular maintenance	Inspect for evidence of poor operation via water level in chambers. If required, take remedial action.	3-monthly, 48 hours after large storms.										
	Check and remove large Monthly or as requinvegetation growth near pipe runs.											
	Remove sediment from structures.	Annually or as required										
Remedial Actions	Rod through poorly performing runs as initial remediation.	As required										
	If continued poor performance jet and CCTV survey poorly performing runs.	As required										
Monitoring	Inspect/check all inlets, outlets, to ensure that they are in good condition and operating as designed.	Annually										
	Survey inside of pipe manholes for sediment build-up and remove if necessary	Every 5 years or as required										

Table 7.1 – Manhole, Catchpit and Pipe Maintenance Schedule

#### 6.1.9 For blue roofs, the following maintenance schedule is recommended:

Blue Roof Maintenance Schedule									
	Required Action	Typical Frequency							
Regular inspections	Inspect all components including drains, irrigation systems (if applicable), membranes and roof structure for proper operation, integrity of waterproofing and structural stability	Annually and after severe storms							

	Inspect for evidence of erosion at channels and identify any sediment sources	Annually and after severe storms				
	Inspect drain inlets to ensure unrestricted runoff from the drainage layer to the conveyance or roof drain system	Annually and after severe storms				
	Inspect underside of roof for evidence of leakage	Annually and after severe storms				
	Remove debris and litter to prevent clogging of inlet drains and interference	Six monthly and annually or as required				
Occasional maintenance	Remove fallen leaves and debris from deciduous plant foliage	Six monthly or as required				
Remedial	Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation, including weeds	Six monthly or as required				
	If erosion channels are evident, these should be stabilised with the original material, and sources of erosion damage should be identified and controlled	As required				
	If drain inlet has settled, cracked or moved, investigate and repair as appropriate	As required				

Table 7.2 – Blue Roof Maintenance Schedule

- 6.1.10 For specialist pieces of equipment, maintenance brochures will be provided by the manufacturers. These will set out the frequency of inspections and correct methods of cleaning etc. that should be followed. It is recommended that once installed the facility should be inspected monthly for the first three months and thereafter at six monthly intervals or as advised in the maintenance brochure.
- 6.1.11 The above information is only intended as guidance in standard maintenance practise for surface water drainage and SUDs features. The above measures should be reviewed regularly and modified to suit the site conditions.

# 7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

- 7.1.1 The site is located in the centre of Richmond, the surrounding area consisting of a large number of commercial units with occasional residential units scattered within. The proposal consists of the erection of a 3-storey extension above a retail area to be used as a private flat complex containing a total of three bedrooms and three ensuite bathrooms. The flat complex also contains a cycle storage unit on the ground floor.
- 7.1.2 The application site is shown to be within Flood Zone 1. This means that there is a fluvial risk of flooding of less than 0.1% or (1 in 1000) year event. The application site is indicated to be a considerable distance from the extent of Flood Zones 2 and 3, even when including allowances for future climate change.
- 7.1.3 Sewer records show that there are existing foul and surface water sewers which run down Duke Street. It is assumed that foul water from the existing retail property is drained to this foul sewer and so it is proposed to exploit this existing connection for the proposed flats.
- 7.1.4 In terms of the proposed surface water drainage for the proposed development, a blue roof drainage system will capture rainwater on the main flat roof of the building and attenuate the flow to the surface water sewer in Duke Street. Runoff from the terrace areas at the third-floor level will discharge to the sewer at an unrestricted rate. The peak discharge rate for the entire site will therefore be restricted to a maximum of 1.9 l/s for the 1 in 100-year event plus 40% climate change allowances, which is a 59% reduction on the existing Brownfield rate.
- 7.1.5 For the reasons outlined in this report we see no reason to refuse planning permission on the grounds of flood risk, or there being insufficient capacity to discharge runoff from the development.

# **APPENDIX A**

Proposed Floor Plans



1 2 3 4 5

Ň

This drawing is the copyright of Design by Two and cannot be reproduced in any form without express consent of the company

Desig accu conju prod Ther and a and a rega	gn by Two canno racy of that info unction with all uced by Design efore, all details all associated dr as work proceed rding any discrep	ot accept any liab rmation. This dra other drawings is by Two, Engineer and dimensions awings checked p ls. Design by Two pancies	ility, or guara wing must b sued for this s, Specialist must be che prior to start are to be co	antee t e read s projec Supplie cked or ing any onsulted	he in ers etc. n site works, d
-	-			-	-
DEV	COMMENTS			BV	
REV	COMMENTS			BY	DATE
SUIT 220 CHE ESSE CM2	COMMENTS TE 7A HOLLY HOL 224 NEW LONDO IMSFORD 29 AE	JSE BUSINESS CEN ON ROAD	ITRE	BY	DATE
REV SUIT 220 CHE ESSE CM2	COMMENTS TE 7A HOLLY HOL -224 NEW LOND LIMSFORD 29 AE 29 AE GNBYTWO@OU	JSE BUSINESS CEN ON ROAD	ITRE		DATE
SUIT 2200 CHE ESSE CM2 DESI	COMMENTS TE 7A HOLLY HOL 224 NEW LONDI LMSFORD 2 9AE GINBYTWO@OU IGNBYTWO@OU		ITRE FT WW.DESIGN	ВҮ	DATE
REV SUITI 220 CHE ESSE CM2 DESI DESI	COMMENTS		ITRE TRE WWW.DESIGN	BY	DATE
SUIT 220 CHE ESSEC CM2 CLIE JC PRC N G	COMMENTS	USE BUSINESS CEN DN ROAD CUMMIN CUMMIN Ke Stree London	t, Rich		о.co.uк
	COMMENTS	ISE BUSINESS CEN	t, Rich		DATE
	COMMENTS	JSE BUSINESS CEN	t, Rich		DATE
BEV SUIT 220 CHE ESSE CM2 DESS CLIE JC PRC N G	COMMENTS	ISE BUSINESS CEN	t, Rich WW.DESIGN TW9 1 ent		DATE
REV SUIT 2200 CHE ESSEC CM2 DESS CM2 PRC CLIE DESS CM2 PRC CLIE PRC CLIE PRC CLIE PRC PRC	COMMENTS	JSE BUSINESS CEN	t, Rich TW9 1 ent		DATE



Scale - Metres



# Housing Design Standards - London Planning Guide 2022

Type of	Furniture required	Furniture	Ι	Num	ber of it	ems req	uired (b	y bedsp	ace)		Type of	Furniture required	Furniture		Num	nber of it	ems req	uired (b	y bedsp	ace)	
space	in each room	size (mm)	1p	2p	3p	4p	5р	6p	7р	+	space	in each room	size (mm)	1p	2p	3p	4p	5р	6p	7p	+
	Armchair (or 'sofa seat' in			1					1	1		Single bed	1900 x 900	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	addition to sofa where required	850 x 850	2	2	3	1	2	3	4	+1		Bedside table	400 x 400	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	below)										Single	Chest of drawers	450 x 750	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	Settee - 2 seat (optional as above)	850 x 1300		(Item optional)							bedroom	Desk and chair	500 x 1050	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	Settee - 3 seat (optional	850 x 1850				1	1	1	1	1		Single wardrobe		1		1	1	1	1		<u> </u>
Living	as above)	000 x 1000				<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	'	'	'	<u> </u>		000 x 000				Longth	in mm			
space	TV	220 x 650	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(1) Sink ton with drainar	C00 v 1000	1000	1000	1000	Lengin	1000	1000	1000	1000
		500 x 1050										(1) Sink top with drainer $(2)$ Cooker (or even + beb)	600 X 1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
	Coffee table	(or 750	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			600 x 600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
		diameter)				<b>I</b>	<u> </u>	+			4	(3) Washing machine			-			<u> </u>			
	Occasional table	450 x 450				<u> </u>	1	1	1	1	4	nosition / workton	600 x 630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630
	Storage units	500 x length shown	1000	1000	1000	1500	2000	2000	2000	+1		(4) Other base units	600 x length	600	1200	1600	1600	1600	2700	2700	+
Dining	Dining chair	450 x 450	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	+			shown								
space	Dining table	800 x length shown	800	800	1000	1200	1350	1500	1650	+	Kitchen	(4a) Dishwasher / worktop (included in 4)		600 x length shown	(Item optional)						
	Double bed in principle bedroom	2000 x 1500		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(5) Ancillary equipment space	600 x length shown					600	600	1200	1200
	Double bed in other double	1000 - 1050									1	(6) Fridge / freezer space	600 x 600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
	bedroom	1900 x 1350		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(7) Booveling hims appage	600 x length	000	000	000	000	<u></u>		000	000
Double	Bedside table	400 x 400		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	(7) Recycling bins space	shown	300	300	300	300	600	600	600	600
bedroom	Deale and the in	500 x 1050									1	(8) Total length of fitments (Item	is 1 to 7)	3730	4330	4730	4730	5630	6730	7330	+
	Desk and chair	(+ chair)		1	1	1	1	1		1		(9) Wall cupboards 300 x maximum available length						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Chest of drawers	450 x 750		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Note: Item 3,5,7	may be in other r	ooms or	spaces	but shou	uld be cl	ose to k	itchen		
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		W/C + cistern	500 x 700	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Single bed	1900 x 900				2	2	2	2	2		Bath	700 x 1700	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Bedside table	400 x 400				2	2	2	2	2	Bathroom	Hand wash basin	450 x 600	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Twin	Chest of drawers	450 x 750				1	1	1	1	1	1	Shower tray	750 x 750	(Item optional)							<u> </u>
bedroom	Deale and the in	500 x 1050									WC/	W/C + cistern	500 x 700			(	Where r	equired	)		
	Desk and chair	(+ chair)				1	1	1	1	1	Cloakroom	Hand rinse basin	250 x 350			(	Where r	equired	)		
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200				1	1	1	1	1		•	•								



D = 600mm x 630mm Dishwasher E = 600mm x 600mm Fridge freezer

H = 600mm Deep base units = 2500mm Linear length available

# IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This drawing is the copyright of Design by Two and cannot be reproduced in any form without express consent of the company

This drawing uses survey information supplied by others and Design by Two cannot accept any liability, or guarantee the accuracy of that information. This drawing must be read in conjunction with all other drawings issued for this project, produced by Design by Two, Engineers, Specialist Suppliers etc. Therefore, all details and dimensions must be checked on site and all associated drawings checked prior to starting any works, and as work proceeds. Design by Two are to be consulted regarding any discrepancies

Α	Balconies omitted		
	Bedrooms & En-suites reconfigured Bedroom area totals amended	NM	04.12.2
В	Bedroom 1 & 2 layouts amended		
	Additional window added to Bedroom 2		00 1 2 2
REV	COMMENTS	BY	DATE



Johanna Cumming

PROJECT

No. 3 Duke Street, Richmond, Greater London TW9 1HP

TITLE **Proposed First** Floor Layout Plan

SCALE @ A2	DRAWN BY	DATE
1:50	NM	Aug 23
PROJECT DWG NO	REVISION	
DB67/	B	



# Housing Design Standards - London Planning Guide 2022

Type of	Furniture required	Furniture		Num	nber of it	ems req	uired (b	y bedsp	ace)		Type of	Furniture required	Furniture		Number of items required (by bedspace)						
space	in each room	size (mm)	1p	2р	Зр	4p	5p	6р	7р	+	space	in each room	size (mm)	1p	2р	Зр	4p	5p	6p	7р	+
	Armchair (or 'sofa seat' in											Single bed	1900 x 900	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	addition to sofa where required	850 x 850	2	2	3	1	2	3	4	+1		Bedside table	400 x 400	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	below)										Single	Chest of drawers	450 x 750	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
	Settee - 2 seat (optional	850 x 1300				(Item or	otional)				bedroom		500 x 1050								
	as above)			_		、 ·	,	1			4	Desk and chair	(+ chair)	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Living	as above)	850 x 1850				1	1	1	1	1		Single wardrobe	600 x 600	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Living		220 x 650	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1							Length	in mm			
space		500 x 1050	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	<u>  '</u>	<u>  '</u>	<u>  '</u>	'	<u> </u>	4	(1) Sink top with drainer	600 x 1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
	Coffee table	(or 750	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(2) Cooker (or oven + hob)	600 x 600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
		diameter)										space	000 X 000	000	600	000	000	800	000	800	000
1	Occasional table	450 x 450					1	1	1	1	1	(3) Washing machine	600 x 630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630
1	Storago unito	500 x length	1000	1000	1000	1500	0000	0000	0000		1	position / worktop	000 x 000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000
	Storage units	shown	1000	1000	1000	1500	2000	2000	2000	+1		(4) Other base units	600 x length	600	1200	1600	1600	1600	2700	2700	+
Dining	Dining chair	450 x 450	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	+			shown	000	1200	1000	1000	1000	2/00	2700	
space	Dining table	800 x length	000	800	1000	1200	1250	1500	1650	-	Kitchen	(4a) Dishwasher / worktop	600 x length	600 x length (Item optional)							
Space		shown	800	800	1000	1200	1350	1500	1650	т	Ritorien	(included in 4)	shown			-	、 ·	,			
	Double bed in principle	2000 x 1500		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	]	(5) Ancillary equipment space	600 x length					600	600	1200	1200
	bedroom	2000 x 1000		<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	'	'	' '	4		shown								
	Double bed in other double	1900 x 1350		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(6) Fridge / freezer space	600 x 600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
Doublo	bedroom	1000 x 1000		· ·	<u> </u>						4	(7) Recycling bins space	600 x length	300	300	300	300	600	600	600	600
bedroom	Bedside table	400 x 400		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1		shown								
bearboin	Desk and chair	500 x 1050		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(8) I otal length of fitments (Item	is 1 to 7)	3730	4330	4730	4730	5630	6730	7330	+
	Doon and onail	(+ chair)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· ·	<u> </u>	'	'		4	(9) Wall cupboards				300 x m	aximum	availabl	e length		
	Chest of drawers	450 x 750		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		Note: Item 3,5,7	may be in other r	ooms or	spaces	but shou	uld be cl	ose to k	itchen		
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		W/C + cistern	500 x 700	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Single bed	1900 x 900				2	2	2	2	2	Bathroom	Bath	700 x 1700	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Bedside table	400 x 400				2	2	2	2	2	Dainoonn	Hand wash basin	450 x 600	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Twin	Chest of drawers	450 x 750				1	1	1	1	1		Shower tray	hower tray 750 x 750 (Item optional)								
bedroom	Desk and chair	500 x 1050				1	1	1	1	1	WC/	W/C + cistern500 x 700(Where required)									
		(+ chair)								<b>'</b>	Cloakroom	Hand rinse basin	250 x 350			(	Where r	equired)	)		
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200				1	1	1	1	1											



D = 600mm x 630mm Dishwasher E = 600mm x 600mm Fridge freezer

H = 600mm Deep base units = 1500mm Linear length available

IMPORTANT INFORMATION This drawing is the copyright of Design by Two and cannot be reproduced in any form without express consent of the company This drawing uses survey information supplied by others and Design by Two cannot accept any liability, or guarantee the accuracy of that information. This drawing must be read in conjunction with all other drawings issued for this project, produced by Design by Two, Engineers, Specialist Suppliers etc. Therefore, all details and dimensions must be checked on site and all associated drawings checked prior to starting any works, and as work proceeds. Design by Two are to be consulted regarding any discrepancies **Balconies omitted** Bedrooms & En-suites reconfigured Bedroom area totals amended NM 04.12.23 BY DATE REV COMMENTS SUITE 7A HOLLY HOUSE BUSINESS CENTRE 220-224 NEW LONDON ROAD CHELMSFORD ESSEX CM2 9AE desig DESIGNBYTWO@OUTLOOK.COM WWW.DESIGNBYTWO.CO.UK CLIENT Johanna Cumming PROJECT No. 3 Duke Street, Richmond, Greater London TW9 1HP TITLE Proposed Second Floor Layout Plan DRAWN BY SCALE @ A2 DATE Aug 23 NM 1:50 REVISION PROJECT DWG NO. DB67/PL/08 Α



NM

1:50

PROJECT DWG NO.

DB67/PL/09

Aug 23

Α

REVISION

Type of	Furniture required	Furniture	Furniture Number of items required (by bedspace)									Furniture required
space	in each room	size (mm)	1p	2р	Зр	4p	5р	6р	7р	+	space	in each room
	Armchair (or 'sofa seat' in addition to sofa where required below)	850 x 850	2	2	3	1	2	3	4	+1	Single	Single bed Bedside table Chest of drawers
	Settee - 2 seat (optional as above)	850 x 1300				(Item op	otional)				bedroom	Desk and chair
Livina	Settee - 3 seat (optional as above)	850 x 1850				1	1	1	1	1		Single wardrobe
space	TV	220 x 650	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Coffee table	500 x 1050 (or 750 diameter)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		<ul> <li>(1) Sink top with drainer</li> <li>(2) Cooker (or oven + hob) space</li> </ul>
	Occasional table	450 x 450					1	1	1	1	]	(3) Washing machine
	Storage units	500 x length shown	1000	1000	1000	1500	2000	2000	2000	+1		(4) Other base units
Dining	Dining chair	450 x 450	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	+	1	
space	Dining table	800 x length shown	800	800	1000	1200	1350	1500	1650	+	Kitchen	(4a) Dishwasher / worktop (included in 4)
	Double bed in principle bedroom	2000 x 1500		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(5) Ancillary equipment spa
	Double bed in other double bedroom	1900 x 1350		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		(6) Fridge / freezer space
Double	Bedside table	400 x 400		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	(7) Recycling bins space
bedroom	Desk and chair	500 x 1050 (+ chair)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		<ul><li>(8) Total length of fitments</li><li>(9) Wall cupboards</li></ul>
	Chest of drawers	450 x 750		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Note: Item 3
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		W/C + cistern
	Single bed	1900 x 900				2	2	2	2	2	Bathroom	Bath
	Bedside table	400 x 400				2	2	2	2	2		Hand wash basin
Twin	Chest of drawers	450 x 750				1	1	1	1	1		Shower tray
bedroom	Desk and chair	500 x 1050 (+ chair)				1	1	1	1	1	WC/ Cloakroom	W/C + cistern Hand rinse basin
	Double wardrobe	600 x 1200				1	1	1	1	1		





# **APPENDIX B**

**Thames Water Sewer Records** 



Based on the Ordnance Survey Map (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office License no. 100019345 Crown Convright Reserved

<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, T 0800 009 4540 E <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> I <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
0003	7.44	6.65
9914	8.07	6.17
9007	n/a	n/a
9917	8.46	6.64
9012	n/a	n/a
9916	8.11	6.33
9906	7.94	5.23
0004	7.54	6.65
991B	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
9006	n/a	n/a
9005	n/a	n/a
8801	8.68	4.24
9805	n/a	n/a
9804 0903	9.29	6.79 • 34
9808	9.25 n/a	n/a
98XQ	n/a	n/a
9809	n/a	n/a
9815	n/a	n/a
9813	9.56	8.62
8002	n/a 9.5	n/a ೯ ೧२
99ZW	n/a	n/a
8903	8.44	4.06
9919	n/a	n/a
891A	n/a	n/a
9907	8.46	6.11
00// 2202	8.44 n/a	1.94 n/a
9908	8.46	6.95
99ZV	n/a	n/a
9903	8.63	7.12
8009	8.28	n/a
8005	n/a n/a	n/a _⊃ ⁊o
901B	n/a	n/a
8007	n/a	n/a
90YY	n/a	n/a
901C	n/a	n/a
90YX	n/a	n/a
9011 9010	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
8002	n/a	n/a
801B	n/a	n/a
9002	n/a	n/a
8901	n/a	-2.7
8004 2002	n/a 8 29	n/a n/a
901F	n/a	n/a
991H	n/a	n/a
9911	n/a	n/a
901G	n/a	n/a
9910 001E	n/a	n/a p/a
901E 9901	17/a 8 35	3.81
9910	8.29	5.8
9904	8.27	3.34
991G	n/a	n/a
991A	n/a	n/a
00/X	0.40 n/a	4.70 n/a
99YW	n/a	n/a
9003	n/a	n/a
901A	n/a	n/a
9905	8.09	3.58
0001	0.12 n/a	5.90 n/a
9912	8.09	3.58
991M	n/a	n/a
991E	n/a	n/a
991D	n/a	n/a
991F 0012	n/a 7 96	n/a 5 50
8904	8.05	5.35
The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is shown but their presence should be anticipated. No	is given without obligation and warranty, and the acc liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames	curacy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not
of mains and services must be verified and establish	ied on site before any works are undertaken.	Water for any error or onnooron. The actual poet



# Asset Location Search - Sewer Key



3) Arrows (on gravity fed servers) or flecks (on rising maints) indicate the direction of flow 4) Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.

6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in milimeters. Text next to a menhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a massurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology, please contact Property Searches on 0800 009 4540.

# **APPENDIX C**

Drawing 231746/DS/01 – Proposed Drainage Strategy



Fax: 0208 339 7898



MicroDrainage Calculations

Lanmor Consulting Ltd	Page 1	
Thorogood House	3 Duke Street	
34 Tolworth Close	Richmond upon Thames	L.
Surbition Surrey KT6 7EW		Micco
Date December 2023	Designed by RS	Desinant
File 231746 - MAIN ROOF.srcx	Checked by KBL	Diamagi
XP Solutions	Source Control 2015.1	

# Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

	Stor Even	m t	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Control (l/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Stat	cus
15	min	Summer	0.027	0.027	0.1	2.1	Flood	Risk
30	min	Summer	0.035	0.035	0.1	2.7	Flood	Risk
60	min	Summer	0.043	0.043	0.1	3.2	Flood	Risk
120	min	Summer	0.050	0.050	0.1	3.7	Flood	Risk
180	min	Summer	0.052	0.052	0.1	3.9	Flood	Risk
240	min	Summer	0.053	0.053	0.1	4.0	Flood	Risk
360	min	Summer	0.054	0.054	0.1	4.0	Flood	Risk
480	min	Summer	0.054	0.054	0.1	4.0	Flood	Risk
600	min	Summer	0.053	0.053	0.1	4.0	Flood	Risk
720	min	Summer	0.053	0.053	0.1	4.0	Flood	Risk
960	min	Summer	0.052	0.052	0.1	3.9	Flood	Risk
1440	min	Summer	0.049	0.049	0.1	3.7	Flood	Risk
2160	min	Summer	0.044	0.044	0.1	3.3	Flood	Risk
2880	min	Summer	0.040	0.040	0.1	3.0	Flood	Risk
4320	min	Summer	0.033	0.033	0.1	2.5	Flood	Risk
5760	min	Summer	0.028	0.028	0.1	2.1	Flood	Risk
7200	min	Summer	0.025	0.025	0.1	1.9	Flood	Risk
8640	min	Summer	0.022	0.022	0.1	1.6	Flood	Risk
10080	min	Summer	0.020	0.020	0.1	1.5	Flood	Risk
15	min	Winter	0.031	0.031	0.1	2.3	Flood	Risk
30	min	Winter	0.040	0.040	0.1	3.0	Flood	Risk
60	min	Winter	0.048	0.048	0.1	3.6	Flood	Risk
120	min	Winter	0.056	0.056	0.1	4.2	Flood	Risk
180	min	Winter	0.059	0.059	0.1	4.4	Flood	Risk
240	min	Winter	0.060	0.060	0.1	4.5	Flood	Risk

	Stor	m	Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
		~	100 500		1 0	1.0
15	mın	Summer	139.706	0.0	1.9	19
30	min	Summer	91.224	0.0	2.5	33
60	min	Summer	56.713	0.0	3.3	62
120	min	Summer	34.065	0.0	3.9	122
180	min	Summer	24.952	0.0	4.3	182
240	min	Summer	19.892	0.0	4.6	240
360	min	Summer	14.403	0.0	5.0	316
480	min	Summer	11.456	0.0	5.3	378
600	min	Summer	9.585	0.0	5.6	440
720	min	Summer	8.282	0.0	5.8	506
960	min	Summer	6.572	0.0	6.1	644
1440	min	Summer	4.737	0.0	6.6	912
2160	min	Summer	3.409	0.0	7.3	1320
2880	min	Summer	2.697	0.0	7.7	1704
4320	min	Summer	1.936	0.0	8.2	2464
5760	min	Summer	1.528	0.0	8.8	3224
7200	min	Summer	1.272	0.0	9.1	3896
8640	min	Summer	1.094	0.0	9.4	4664
10080	min	Summer	0.963	0.0	9.6	5344
15	min	Winter	139.706	0.0	2.1	19
30	min	Winter	91.224	0.0	2.8	33
60	min	Winter	56.713	0.0	3.7	62
120	min	Winter	34.065	0.0	4.4	120
180	min	Winter	24.952	0.0	4.9	178
240	min	Winter	19.892	0.0	5.2	234

Lanmor Consulting Ltd		Page 2
Thorogood House	3 Duke Street	
34 Tolworth Close	Richmond upon Thames	4
Surbition Surrey KT6 7EW		Micro
Date December 2023	Designed by RS	Desinado
File 231746 - MAIN ROOF.srcx	Checked by KBL	Diamage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2015.1	

### Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)

	Storm Event	Max Level (m)	Max Depth (m)	Max Control (1/s)	Max Volume (m³)	Status
360	min Winter	0.061	0.061	0.1	4.6	Flood Risk
480	min Winter	0.060	0.060	0.1	4.5	Flood Risk
600	min Winter	0.060	0.060	0.1	4.5	Flood Risk
720	min Winter	0.059	0.059	0.1	4.5	Flood Risk
960	min Winter	0.057	0.057	0.1	4.3	Flood Risk
1440	min Winter	0.053	0.053	0.1	4.0	Flood Risk
2160	min Winter	0.046	0.046	0.1	3.5	Flood Risk
2880	min Winter	0.040	0.040	0.1	3.0	Flood Risk
4320	min Winter	0.031	0.031	0.1	2.3	Flood Risk
5760	min Winter	0.025	0.025	0.1	1.9	Flood Risk
7200	min Winter	0.021	0.021	0.1	1.6	Flood Risk
8640	min Winter	0.018	0.018	0.0	1.3	Flood Risk
10080	min Winter	0.016	0.016	0.0	1.2	Flood Risk

	Stor	m	Rain	Flooded	Discharge	Time-Peak
	Even	t	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)
				(m³)	(m³)	
360	min	Winter	14.403	0.0	5.6	342
480	min	Winter	11.456	0.0	6.0	394
600	min	Winter	9.585	0.0	6.3	464
720	min	Winter	8.282	0.0	6.5	542
960	min	Winter	6.572	0.0	6.8	694
1440	min	Winter	4.737	0.0	7.4	984
2160	min	Winter	3.409	0.0	8.2	1408
2880	min	Winter	2.697	0.0	8.6	1816
4320	min	Winter	1.936	0.0	9.2	2592
5760	min	Winter	1.528	0.0	9.8	3296
7200	min	Winter	1.272	0.0	10.2	4032
8640	min	Winter	1.094	0.0	10.5	4752
10080	min	Winter	0.963	0.0	10.7	5352

Lanmor Consulting Ltd		Page 3
Thorogood House	3 Duke Street	
34 Tolworth Close	Richmond upon Thames	L.
Surbition Surrey KT6 7EW		Mirco
Date December 2023	Designed by RS	Desinado
File 231746 - MAIN ROOF.srcx	Checked by KBL	Diamage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2015.1	
	<u>Rainfall Details</u>	
Rainfall Model	FSR Winter Storms Yes	
Return Period (years) Region Fr	ngland and Wales Cv (Summer) 0.750	
M5-60 (mm)	20.000 Shortest Storm (mins) 15	
Ratio R	0.413 Longest Storm (mins) 10080	
Summer Storms	Yes Climate Change % +40	
	<u>Time Area Diagram</u>	
	Total Area (ha) 0.008	
	Time (mins) Area	
	From: To: (ha)	
	0 4 0.008	

Lanmor Consulting Ltd		Page 4
Thorogood House	3 Duke Street	
34 Tolworth Close	Richmond upon Thames	L.
Surbition Surrey KT6 7EW		Micco
Date December 2023	Designed by RS	Desipado
File 231746 - MAIN ROOF.srcx	Checked by KBL	Diamaye

XP Solutions

# <u>Model Details</u>

Source Control 2015.1

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 0.150

Tank or Pond Structure

Invert Level (m) 0.000

Depth (m) Area (m<sup>2</sup>)

0.000 75.2

Complex Outflow Control

#### <u>Orifice</u>

Diameter (m) 0.010 Discharge Coefficient 0.600 Invert Level (m) 0.000

#### <u>Orifice</u>

Diameter (m) 0.010 Discharge Coefficient 0.600 Invert Level (m) 0.000

