

Response to Transport Officer Comments

All of our plans submitted show the large vehicles entering the site in reverse gear and exiting in forward gear. We have proposed this as the site area does not allow sufficient space for large vehicles to turn and exit in forward gear. We are therefore of the view that the swept path analysis should not be required here. Please can you advise if you are in agreement with this?

In light of the above, we have proposed for additional banksmen to be present while vehicles manoeuvre in and out of the site, to ensure maximum safety.

In regards to the existing crossover access, we do not think that digging up the existing stone sets to replace with a temporary heavy-duty crossover is appropriate here. This is likely to cause much more damage to the existing stone than leaving them in place and providing suitable temporary protection over the top when large vehicles are entering and leaving the site.

We are happy to work with you to determine a suitable temporary protection strategy for the existing crossover and statutory services below. Perhaps this can be discussed in further detail with the transport officer to determine a suitable strategy.

Furthermore, having looked down the row of similar houses along Castelnaud, it appears that all the properties have the same stone set crossover. It does not appear that this level of intervention has been required for similar works taking place on the other various properties along this row. Are you able to advise if this is correct?

Please advise on the above, we would be happy to work with you to determine suitable solutions to the above comments.