

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 24/1388/FUL

**Address:** 37 St Margarets Grove Twickenham TW1 1JF

**Proposal:** Construction of single storey rear extension at ground floor level including removal of existing two-storey rear addition to facilitate works. Associated works including creation of new window opening to the rear outrigger at first floor level.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Mr. Daniel Gilberthorpe

**Address:** 35 St Margarets Grove Twickenham TW1 1JF

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** These comments relate to a planning proposal by 37 St Margarets Grove. We live at 35 St Margarets Grove.

Both properties are north facing. Afternoon and evening light to our property is provided from the direction of 37 St Margarets Grove.

Our property has only two windows by which light can enter on the ground floor. One is a window at the front of the house. The other is a set of patio doors to the rear of the property. The ground floor is around 12 metres in length and therefore the rear of our property is heavily dependent on light from the rear patio doors (as little benefit is provided by the window at the front of the house).

The proposed extension will materially reduce light through the set of patio doors at the rear of our property.

The proposed extension is three metres in length. This involves 37 St Margarets Grove building out significantly further than neighbouring properties (including our property). The fact that the proposed extension would protrude so much further than our property means it will have a material impact on light for our property.

The wall of the proposed extension abutting our property is 2.3 metres in height (although the application proposes a small c. 215mm step in from a boundary wall of 2 metres). The apex of the roof is 3.08 metres. Both the wall and the roof will have a material impact on light for our property. It might be that if the roof was a flat roof/much lower apex, that would mean there was less of an impact (although we would need to consider any such plans which were proposed).

We will send by e-mail to the planning officer (at [envprotection@richmond.gov.uk](mailto:envprotection@richmond.gov.uk)):

(a) a visual representation of our understanding of the proposed extension. The image shows the proposed extension from the direction of 35 St Margarets Grove. This image shows that the brick wall and roof of the proposed extension will block light into our property through our patio doors.

(b) a marked-up diagram showing the position of the sun, as observed from the rear patio doors of our property. When this is seen as against the image of the proposed extension referred to in (a) above it is clear that there will be a material impact on light to our property if the development was permitted.