

Application reference: 07/1463/PS192
HAMPTON WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
27.04.2007	27.04.2007	22.06.2007	22.06.2007

Site:

88 Linden Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2JB

Proposal:

Demolition of existing kitchen and rebuild single rear storey extension and rear garden shed.

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME

Mr Newman And Miss Mardle
88 Linden Road
Hampton
Middlesex
TW12 2JB

AGENT NAME

Englishaus Limited
30 Lawrence Road
Hampton
Richmond Upon Thames
TW12 2RJ

DC Site Notice: printed on

Consultations:

Internal/External:

Consultee

Expiry Date

Neighbours:

History:

Ref No	Description	Status	Date
07/1463/PS192	• Demolition of existing kitchen and rebuild single rear storey extension and rear garden shed.	REC	

Constraints:

07/1463/PS192
88 Linden Road
Hampton

Present use: single family dwelling

Site, history and proposal: The proposal site is a two storey terraced property with an original single storey addition to rear. *Similar rear exk to other properties in this terrace.*

- Not in a conservation area or a Listed Building
- No article 4 or conditions
- ~~Ally~~ to rear of properties not considered public highway
- Shed to rear of property more than 5m from rear of property
- No history

The proposal is demolition of existing part width addition and erection of a full width, mono pitch single storey rear extension

Professional Comments: Original plan EH 2801/01 and 2 has been superseded by drawing no. BR001 rev B showing a revision of the boundary wall with no. 90 Linden Road and change of door to the proposed extension.

The proposed extension creates an additional volume of 23.62m³ taking into account the original extension to be demolished 23.38m³. The allowance to a terrace property under Class A, Schedule 2 of the General Permitted Development Order 1995 for an extension to dwelling house being 50 cubic metres.

Recommendation: Approval

Original part width extension 2.9(w) x 2.65(h) x 2.5(w)	19.21m ³
--	---------------------

Roof 2.9(w) x 1.15(h) x 2.5(w)/2	4.17m ³
	<hr/>
	23.38m ³

New full width single storey rear extension 4.45(w) x 2.7(h) x 3.3(d)	39.65m ³
--	---------------------

Roof 4.45(w) x 1.0 (h) x 3.3(d)/2	7.35m ³
	<hr/>
	47.00m ³

Less original volume	23.38m ³
	<hr/>
	23.62m ³ (total volume created)

Recommendation:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers YES / NO

I therefore recommend the following:

- 1. REFUSAL
- 2. PERMISSION
- 3. FORWARD TO COMMITTEE

Case Officer (Initials): HEO

Dated:

I agree the recommendation: [Signature]

Team Leader/Development Control Manager

Dated: 18/6/07

This application has been subject to representations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The Development Control Manager has considered those representations and concluded that the application can be determined without reference to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.

Development Control Manager:

Dated:

REASONS:
CONDITIONS:
INFORMATIVES:
UDP POLICIES:
OTHER POLICIES:

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform

SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES

CONDITIONS:	
INFORMATIVES:	

ADDITIONAL NOTES CONTINUED FROM ABOVE: