

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/1984/VRC

Address: 68 Station Road Hampton TW12 2AX

Proposal: Variation of planning approval 19/2822/FUL - Variation of conditions 2 (approved drawings/documents), 19 (Green Roof and Solar Panels), 39 (Wildflower Green Roof), 40 (obscure glazing), 41 (balcony screen), of planning permission 19/2822/FUL dated 12 May 2023 for Retention and refurbishment of the former police station building with part demolition of rear wings and ancillary buildings, and the construction of a three storey side and rear extension and basement to form a registered care home comprising 22 care suites and 66 care bed units, with shared facilities, car and cycle parking, landscaping and ancillary works (as amended by LPA Ref. 24/1512/NMA).

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs. Ginnette HATTERSLEY-HOSKINSON

Address: 65 Station Road Hampton TW12 2BT

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: These additional comments follow on from my earlier submission.

4. Parking – 21 parking bays for visitors, staff, food deliveries, ambulances, visiting doctors and nurse, plus funeral visitors of 83 residents is wholly inadequate, especially as the drawings indicate that one of the spaces has been earmarked “mini bus parking”. Parking has certainly been an issue in previous applications for which objections by local residents have been raised – it will mean vehicles from anyone visiting this proposed development will inevitably park on the neighbouring roads thus having an impact on Hampton village, its residents and, struggling businesses.

5. Increase in traffic – Station Road is already congested at certain busy commuting times of the day, as well as on match days at the local football club. Residents and Richmond Council alike are totally aware of the knock on effect that impacts on Station Road when roadworks are carried out in this areas, whether frequently on the A308 or further afield. Already increased traffic on Station Road and excessive queues due to traffic diverting from A308 as a result of ULEZ.

6. Noise and disturbance – Our village is a quiet location but would potentially be disturbed by the anticipated increase in traffic associated with visits, day and night, to the care home which inevitably will include emergency services as well as larger delivery vehicles. The 24 hour lighting need to be addressed.

7. Construction – For a development of this size, there will be a considerable amount of disruption to this area, since there will be basement excavations included which have been given no consideration to those living close by. Traffic as well as parking issues would be added to throughout construction, with debris being removed on a large scale as well as delivery vehicles bringing materials to site. No doubt, there will be heavy machinery on site and, construction and site worker having to park in neighbouring roads during the building works.

8. Lack of infrastructure, specifically water in this application.

9. The glazed atrium (main entrance) is not in keeping with the Conservation Area and the original well designed police station. It is simply the cheapest solution and needs entirely rethinking. The new brickwork should resemble/replicate the existing police station.