

# **FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT**

# Site Address

59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

> **Client** Jonathan Earle

> > **Date** 25/10/2023





# 1 Document Control

FLOOD RISK	
Site Address:	59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT
National Grid Reference:	517958, 174296
STM Reference:	FRA – 2023 – 000089
Version No:	1.1
Prepared for:	Jonathan Earle
Date:	25/10/2023
Report Author:	Sam Allder (BSc) Junior Flood Risk and Drainage Consultant
Reviewed By:	Georgia Travers (BSc) Junior Flood Risk and Drainage Consultant
Authorised By:	Matthew Ashdown (BSc) Senior Flood Risk and Drainage Consultant



# Contents

1	Document Control2							
2	Abbreviations7							
3	Dis	Disclaimer8						
4	Exe	Executive Summary9						
5	Intr	odu	ction11	l				
6	Dev	/elo	oment Proposal11	l				
7	Rep	oort	Aims and Objectives11	l				
8	Sur	nma	ry of Data Review Undertaken12	2				
9	Leg	gisla	tive and Policy Context12	2				
Ç	9.1	Leg	islative Context12	2				
ę	9.2	Poli	cy Context12	2				
	9.2.	.1	National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)12	2				
	9.2.	.2	Local Planning Policy – Richmond upon Thames Council	5				
ę	9.3	EA	Standing Advice on Flood Risk16	3				
10	Site	e De	scription and Environmental Characteristics	7				
	10.1	Si	te Location and Area17	7				
	10.2	Si	te Access	)				
	10.3	Lo	ocal Planning Authority19	)				
	10.4	Le	ead Local Flood Authority19	)				
	10.5	FI	ood Zone19	)				
	10.6	Si	te and Surrounding Land Uses19	)				
	10.6	6.1	Site Current Land Use	)				
	10.6	6.2	Surrounding Land Uses19	)				
	10.7	H	ydrology20	)				
	10.8	G	eology20	)				
	10.9	H	ydrogeology20	)				
	10.10	Т	ppography20	)				



11 The Sequ	ential and Exception Tests	20
11.1 The	e Sequential Test	21
11.2 The	e Exception Test	22
12 Site Spec	cific Flood Risk Analysis	23
12.1 Flu	vial (River) and Tidal (Sea) Flood Risk	23
12.1.1	Mechanisms for Fluvial Flooding	23
12.1.2	Definition of EA Modelled Fluvial Flood Risk Zones	24
12.1.3	Mechanisms for Tidal Flooding	25
12.1.4	Definition of EA Tidal Flood Risk Zones	25
12.1.5	Main Potential Sources of Local Fluvial and Tidal Flooding	25
12.1.6	Records of Historic Fluvial and Tidal Flooding Incidents	25
12.1.7	Designated Fluvial Flood Risk Zone for the Site	26
12.1.8	Designated Tidal Flood Risk Zone for the Site	26
12.1.9	Flood Defences	26
12.1.10	Peak River Flow Climate Change Allowances	26
12.1.11	Climate Change - EA Modelled Predictions of Fluvial and Tidal Flo	od
Levels an	d Extents	27
12.1.12	Long Term Fluvial/Tidal Flood Risk Considering Flood Defences	29
12.2 Plu	vial (Surface Water) Flood Risk	29
12.2.1	Mechanisms of Pluvial Flooding	29
12.2.2	Main Potential Sources of Local Pluvial Flooding	30
12.2.3	Records of Historic Pluvial Flooding Incidents	30
12.2.4	Surface Water Flood Risk from Artificial Sources (Reservoirs a	nd
Canals)	30	
12.2.5	Sewer Flooding	31
12.2.6 Flooding	Climate Change - Modelled Predictions of Surface Water Run- 31	∙off



12.2.7	7 Long Term Surface Water Flood Risk	31
12.3	Risk of Flooding from Multiple Sources (ROFMS)	31
12.4	Groundwater Flood Risk	31
12.4.1	1 Historic Records of Groundwater Flooding	
12.4.2	2 Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding	
12.5	Critical Drainage Area	
13 Poter	ntial Impacts of the Development on Local Flood Risk	
13.1	Changes to Impermeable Area and Building Footprint	
13.2	Impacts on Flood Storage and Flood Flow Routes	
14 Flood	d Risk Mitigation Measures	
14.1	SuDS	
14.2	Flood Resilience	
14.2.1	1 Finished Floor Levels	
14.2.2	2 Compensatory Flood Storage (CFS)	
14.2.3	3 Flood Resilience Construction Measures	
14.3	Emergency Plan	40
14.3.1	1 Assessment of Danger to People	40
14.3.2	2 EA Flood Warnings Direct Service Subscription	41
14.3.3	3 Access and Safe Egress	41
14.3.4	4 Safe Refuge	41
15 Conc	lusions and Recommendations	
16 Refer	rences	
17 Appe	endices	45
17.1	Appendix 1 – Site Photographs	45
17.2	Appendix 2 – Development Plans	
17.3	Appendix 3 – Environmental Characteristics	47
17.3.2	1 Superficial Hydrogeology Map	47
17.3.2	2 Bedrock Hydrogeology Map	

Site Address: 59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT



17.3.3	3	Topography Map	48
17.4	Арр	pendix 4 – Historical Flood Incident Maps	49
17.4.	1	EA Historic and Recorded Flood Outlines	49
17.4.2	2	Map Recorded Historic Flooding	50
17.4.3	3	Map of Recorded Sewer Flooding	51
17.5	Арр	pendix 5 - EA Flood Zone Map	52
17.6	Арр	pendix 6 – Surface Water Flood Extent and Depth Maps	53
17.6. perio	1 d (S	Predicted surface water flood depth for the 1 in 1000-year retuource: EA, 2016)	urn 53
17.7	Арр	pendix 7 –Flood Defence and Reservoir Flood Risk Maps	54
17.7.	1	EA flood defence map	54
17.7.2	2	Reservoir Flood Risk Map	55
17.8	Арр	pendix 8 – Risk of Flooding from Multiple Sources Map	56
17.9	Арр	oendix 9 – EA's Long Term Flood Risk Maps	57
17.10	Арр	pendix 10 – Groundwater Flood Maps	58
17.10 to the	).1 e Gro	Groundwater Flooding (Susceptibility) Map (BGS) and Potential Dep oundwater Water Map (BGS)	oth 58
17.11	Арр	pendix 11 - EA Product 6 (Detailed Flood Risk) Data	59
17.11	.1	EA Climate Change Allowances for Peak River Flow	59
17.11	.2	Node Location Map	59
17.11 Mode 35%)	.3 el an	Tidal and Fluvial Flood Depths during the 2100 – Breach Dept nd the Thames Hammersmith Domain (1 in 1000yr and 1 in 100yr 60	ths r +
17.11	.4	Hazard Map	62
17.12	Ар	pendix 12 – Safe Egress to Flood Zone 1 Map	63
17.13	Арр	pendix 13 – Calculation of Flood Hazard Rating	64



# 2 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
STM	STM Environmental Consultants Limited
BGS	British Geological Survey
EA	Environment Agency
OS	Ordnance Survey of Great Britain
FRA	Flood Risk Assessment
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
FWD	Floodline Warning Direct
FRMS	Flood Risk Management Strategy
RTLC	Richmond upon Thames local council
SWMP	Surface Water Management Plan
SFRA	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
CDA	Critical Drainage Area
AEP	Annual Exceedance Probability
СС	Climate Change
SuDS	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems
GWSPZ	Groundwater Source Protection Zone
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
mbgl	metres below ground level
	Department for Communities and Local
	Government
PPGPS	Planning practice guidance and Planning system



# 3 Disclaimer

This report and any information or advice which it contains, is provided by STM Environmental Consultants Ltd (STM) and can only be used and relied upon by Jonathan Earle (Client). Any party other than the Client using or placing reliance upon any information contained in this report, do so at their own risk.

STM has exercised such professional skill, care and diligence as may reasonably be expected of a properly qualified and competent consultant when undertaking works of this nature. However, STM gives no warranty, representation or assurance as to the accuracy or completeness of any information, assessments or evaluations presented within this report.



# 4 Executive Summary

SECTION	SUMMARY		
Location	59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT Grid Reference: 517958, 174296		
Area	291m <sup>2</sup>		
Proposed Development	Construction of a rear storey glass box extension with a garden floor level entertaining room, extending the lower ground and garden level.		
Flood Zone	The site is located in Flood Zone 3. The dwelling and proposed are located within Flood one 1.		
Topography	The site slopes downwards from Petersham Road from an elevation of 11.05mAOD to 4.48mAOD. The upper ground floor of the existing dwelling sits at approximately 12.00mAOD. Whilst the garden patio is situated at an average ground level of 6.85mAOD.		
Sequential and Exception Tests	The development is minor and more vulnerable and should not require the Sequential or Exception tests.		
Main Sources of Flooding	Main source of fluvial flooding is the River Thames, 10m west of the site.		
Flood Defences	The site benefits from an embankment along the western edge of the property.		
Records of Historic Flooding	The EA Historic Flood Map contains no records of historic fluvial or pluvial flooding on or in the vicinity of the site. There is one record of recorded incident of combined sewer flooding in the area, however the location is not specified.		
Fluvial (River) and Tidal (Sea) Flood Risk	Medium – The site and proposal witness a maximum flood level of 6.68mAOD equating to a flood depth of 1.45m during the 2125 Modelled Breach tidal event.		
Pluvial (Surface Water) Flood Risk	Very Low – The site remains dry during all modelled pluvial events.		
Flood Risk from Artificial (Canals and Reservoirs) Sources	Low - No significant artificial sources identified		
Groundwater Flood Risk	Low – According to the BGS, the site is potentially susceptible to groundwater flooding, no recorded incidents have been identified.		
Development Impacts on Local Flood Risk	The development will increase the site impermeable area by 9m <sup>2</sup> and built-up area by 26m <sup>2</sup> . The impact of thought to negligible and there is potential to increase the flood plain storage area.		
Proposed Flood Risk Mitigation Measures	<ul> <li>The proposed FFL is set 380mm below the garden level floor at 6.78mAOD following the removal of the existing raised patio;</li> <li>The proposed FFL is 100mm above the highest indicative flood level.</li> <li>The indicative flood level during the 2125 breach level, including and allowance for Climate Change, is 6.68mAOD;</li> </ul>		

Report Reference: FRA-2023-000089

Site Address: 59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT



SECTION	SUMMARY		
	<ul> <li>The site may witness flood depths of up to 1.45mAOD on site within the garden, whilst the rear patio and garden level will remain dry.</li> <li>Lower ground finished floor level will remain as existing at 7.16mAOD;</li> <li>Construction will utilise flood resistant materials and services will be placed as high as practicable to reduce impact of flooding;</li> <li>Occupants will sign up for EA Emergency Flood Warning Direct Service;</li> <li>Safe egress to Flood Zone 1 is available via exiting the front door and walking west on Petersham Road (2-minute walk) and safe refuge is available on upper floors.</li> </ul>		
Surface Water Management (SuDS)	SuDS would reduce current surface water runoff rates and given the size of the site (291m <sup>2</sup> ), there is good potential for implementation. Consideration should be given to rainwater harvesting and permeable paving where possible.		
Conclusions	Based on the information reviewed and taking into account the proposed mitigation measures, it is considered that overall flood risk to the proposed development is acceptable and that it will not increase local flood risk. As such, the development is considered to be in compliance with local planning policy and the NPPF.		



# 5 Introduction

STM Environmental Consultants Limited (STM) were appointed by Sinead Hagarty (Client) to provide a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) at a site located at 59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT.

# 6 Development Proposal

The FRA is required to support a planning application to for the construction of a garden room, extending the lower ground and garden level.

Further details including drawings of the development plans are available in <u>Appendix 2</u>.

# 7 Report Aims and Objectives

The purpose of this report is to establish the flood risk to the site from all potential sources and, where possible, to propose suitable mitigation methods to reduce any risks to an acceptable level. It aims to make an assessment of whether the development will be safe for its lifetime, taking into account climate change and the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

The FRA assesses flood risk to the site from tidal, fluvial, surface water, groundwater, sewers and artificial sources. The FRA has been produced in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its supporting guidance.



# 8 Summary of Data Review Undertaken

The following research has been undertaken as part of the FRA:

- Desktop assessment of topographical, hydrological and hydrogeological settings through review of the information sourced from the British Geological Survey (BGS), the Environment Agency (EA) and the Ordnance Survey (OS);
- Review of publicly available flood risk mapping provided by the EA;
- Review of the Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA) and Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) produced by the LLFA outlining flood risk from various sources within the borough.

# 9 Legislative and Policy Context

#### 9.1 Legislative Context

The Flood and Water Management Act was introduced in 2010. The Act defines the role of lead local flood authority (LLFA) for an area. All LLFA are required to develop, maintain, apply and monitor a strategy for local flood risk management in its area, called "local flood risk management strategy".

Alongside the Act, Flood Risk Regulations (2009) outline the roles and responsibilities of the various authorities, which include preparing Flood Risk Management Plans and identifying how significant flood risks are to be mitigated.

#### 9.2 Policy Context

#### 9.2.1 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The NPPF (updated July 2021) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. It also provides a set of guidelines and philosophy with which local planning authorities (LPAs) can build their own unique policies to appropriately regulate development within their jurisdictions.



Section 14 entitled "Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change" deals specifically with flood risk.

Paragraph 159 states that "Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere".

In addition, Paragraph 161 outlines that "All plans should apply a sequential, riskbased approach to the location of development – taking into account all sources of flood risk and the current and future impacts of climate change – so as to avoid, where possible, flood risk to people and property. They should do this, and manage any residual risk, by:

- applying the sequential test and then, if necessary, the exception test as set out below;
- safeguarding land from development that is required, or likely to be required, for current or future flood management;
- using opportunities provided by new development and improvements in green and other infrastructure to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding, (making as much use as possible of natural flood management techniques as part of an integrated approach to flood risk management);
- where climate change is expected to increase flood risk so that some existing development may not be sustainable in the long-term, seeking opportunities to relocate development, including housing, to more sustainable locations".

The NPPF then states in Paragraph 163 that "if it is not possible for development to be located in areas with a lower risk of flooding (taking into account wider sustainable development objectives), the exception test may have to be applied. The need for the exception test will depend on the potential vulnerability of the site and of the development proposed, in line with the Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification".



It further states that when determining any planning application, LPAs should "ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. Where appropriate, applications should be supported by a site-specific flood-risk assessment<sup>55</sup>. Development should only be allowed in areas at risk of flooding where, in the light of this assessment (and the sequential and exception tests, as applicable) it can be demonstrated that:

- within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk, unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location;
- development is appropriately flood resilient and resistant;
- it incorporates sustainable drainage systems, unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate;
- any residual risk can be safely managed; and
- safe access and escape routes are included where appropriate, as part of an agreed emergency plan.

Applications for minor development and changes of use should not be subject to the Sequential or Exception Tests but should still meet the requirements for site-specific flood risk assessments set out in footnote 55.

Footnote 55 states: "A site-specific flood risk assessment should be provided for all development in Flood Zones 2 and 3. In Flood Zone 1, an assessment should accompany all proposals involving: sites of 1 hectare or more; land which has been identified by the Environment Agency as having critical drainage problems; land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future; or land that may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use."

The NPPF also lays out requirements for how LPAs should deal with planning applications in coastal areas. They should ensure that should they "reduce risk from coastal change by avoiding inappropriate development in vulnerable areas or adding to the impacts of physical changes to the coast."



Developments in Coastal Change Management Areas should only be considered appropriate where it is demonstrated that:

- it will be safe over its planned lifetime and will not have an unacceptable impact on coastal change;
- the character of the coast including designations is not compromised;
- the development provides wider sustainability benefits;
- the development does not hinder the creation and maintenance of a continuous signed and managed route around the coast.

#### 9.2.2 Local Planning Policy – Richmond upon Thames Council

Policy LP 21 of the Richmond upon Thames Local Plan addresses Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage. It states that:

"All developments should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Unacceptable developments and land uses will be refused in line with national policy and guidance [and] the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)".

#### Sustainable drainage

The Council will require the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in all development proposals. Applicants will have to demonstrate that their proposal complies with the following:

1. A reduction in surface water discharge to greenfield run-off rates wherever feasible. 2. Where greenfield run-off rates are not feasible, this will need to be demonstrated by the applicant, and in such instances, the minimum requirement is to achieve at least a 50% attenuation of the site's surface water runoff at peak times based on the levels existing prior to the development.

Also relevant is policy S1 12 of the London Plan (2020) which outlines Flood Risk Management, it states that:



- Current and expected flood risk from all sources across London should be managed in a sustainable and cost-effective way in collaboration with the Environment Agency, the Lead Local Flood Authorities, developers and infrastructure providers;
- Development Plans should use the Mayor's Regional Flood Risk Appraisal and their Strategic Flood Risk Assessment as well as Local Flood Risk Management Strategies, where necessary, to identify areas where particular and cumulative flood risk issues exist and develop actions and policy approaches aimed at reducing these risks. Boroughs should cooperate and jointly address crossboundary flood risk issues including with authorities outside London;
- Development proposals should ensure that flood risk is minimised and mitigated, and that residual risk is addressed. This should include, where possible, making space for water and aiming for development to be set back from the banks of watercourses;
- Developments Plans and development proposals should contribute to the delivery of the measures set out in Thames Estuary 2100 Plan. The Mayor will work with the Environment Agency and relevant local planning authorities, including authorities outside London, to safeguard an appropriate location for a new Thames Barrier;
- Development proposals for utility services should be designed to remain operational under flood conditions and buildings should be designed for quick recovery following a flood.

Also relevant are the London Plan (2020) policies S1 12 'Flood Risk Management'; Policy SI 13 'Sustainable Drainage' and the London Regional Flood Risk Appraisal (2018).

### 9.3 EA Standing Advice on Flood Risk

The Environment Agency's <u>standing advice</u> lays out the process that must be followed when carrying out flood risk assessments for developments.



Flood Risk Assessments are required for developments within one of the Flood Zones. This includes developments:

- In Flood Zone 2 or 3 including minor development and change of use more than 1 hectare (ha) in Flood Zone 1;
- less than 1 ha in Flood Zone 1, including a change of use in development type to a more vulnerable class (for example from commercial to residential), where they could be affected by sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea (for example surface water drains, reservoirs);
- in an area within Flood Zone 1 which has critical drainage problems as notified by the Environment Agency.

# 10 Site Description and Environmental Characteristics

### 10.1 Site Location and Area

The site is located at 59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT and is centred at national grid reference 517958, 174296. The site has an area of 291m<sup>2</sup>.

A site location map and aerial photo are shown below. Photographs of the site are available in <u>Appendix 1</u>.





Figure 1: Site Location Map



Figure 2: Site Aerial Map



### 10.2 Site Access

The site is accessed via Petersham Road.

### 10.3 Local Planning Authority

The site falls within the jurisdiction of Richmond Upon Thames local council in terms of the planning process.

### 10.4 Lead Local Flood Authority

Richmond Upon Thames local council is also the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA).

### 10.5 Flood Zone

For planning purposes, the site is located in Flood Zone 2 and 3 as defined by the EA and LLFA.

### 10.6 Site and Surrounding Land Uses

10.6.1 Site Current Land Use

The site is currently used as residential dwelling.

#### 10.6.2 Surrounding Land Uses

A description of the current and surrounding land uses of the site is given in Table 1.

	Land Use Description			
Boundary	Immediately Adjacent (Within 0 – 25m)	General Local Area (Within 25 – 250m)		
Northern	Residential/ Commercial	Residential/ Commercial		
Eastern	Residential/ Commercial	Residential/ Commercial		
Southern	River Thames	Residential/ Commercial		
Western	River Thames	Residential/ Commercial		

#### Table 1: Summary of surrounding land uses



# 10.7 Hydrology

The nearest main watercourse is the River Thames which is located 10m West of the site.

### 10.8 Geology

Data from the British Geological Survey indicates that there is no underlying superficial geology for most of the site, the Western edge is underlain by Alluvium. The underlying bedrock geology is characterized as London Clay formation (Clay and Silt).

## 10.9 Hydrogeology

The site lies upon a Secondary (undifferentiated) superficial aquifer and an unproductive poorly draining bedrock aquifer.

<u>Appendix 3</u> provides BGS mapping showing the hydrogeology at the site location.

## 10.10 Topography

A LIDAR DTM map showing the topography of the site and surrounding area is available in <u>Appendix 3</u>. A topographic survey was available and site levels were estimated using this.

The site slopes downwards from Petersham Road from an elevation of 11.05mAOD (NE) to 4.48mAOD (SW) which forms the rear garden.

The upper ground floor of the development is currently situated at an elevation of 12.00mAOD, whilst the lower ground floor is situated at 9.53mAOD and the garden level at a level of 7.16mAOD.

The overall elevation of the existing garden patio is 6.85mAOD.



# 11 The Sequential and Exception Tests

### 11.1 The Sequential Test

The Sequential Test aims to steer developments and redevelopments to areas of lower flood risk. The test compares the proposed development site with other available sites, in terms of flood risk, to aid the steering process. The Sequential Test is not required if the proposed development is a minor development or if it involves a change of use unless the development is a caravan, camping chalet, mobile home or park home site.

Based on Government Guidance, Minor Development means:

- minor non-residential extensions: industrial/commercial/leisure etc extensions with a footprint less than 250 square metre.
- alterations: development that does not increase the size of buildings eg alterations to external appearance.
- householder development: For example; sheds, garages, games rooms etc within the curtilage of the existing dwelling, in addition to physical extensions to the existing dwelling itself. This definition excludes any proposed development that would create a separate dwelling within the curtilage of the existing dwelling eg subdivision of houses into flats.

With regard to residential and commercial developments, major development, as defined by the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) means one or more of the following:

- c(i) the number of dwelling houses to be provided is 10 or more; or
- c(ii) the development is to be carried out on a site having an area of 0.5 hectares or more and it is not known whether the development falls within subparagraph (c)(i);
- the provision of a building or buildings where the floor space to be created by the development is 1,000 square metres or more;



#### sor development carried out on a site having an area of 1 hectare or more.

The development is considered to be minor and as such the Sequential Test should not be required by the LLFA.

### 11.2 The Exception Test

Where the Sequential Test is undertaken and alternative sites of lower flood risk are not available, then the proposed development may require an Exception Test in order to be granted planning permission. Where the exception test is required, it should be applied as soon as possible to all local development document allocations for developments and all planning applications other than for minor developments. All three elements of the exception test have to be passed before development is allocated or permitted. For the exception test to be passed:

- It must demonstrate that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh the flood risk, informed by an SFRA, where one has been prepared;
- The development should be on developed land or on previously developed land;
- A flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and where possible will reduce the overall flood risk.

The requirements for an Exception Test are given in Table 2 below.



Flood Zones	Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification						
	Essential infrastructure	Highly vulnerable	More vulnerable	Less vulnerable	Water compatible		
Zone 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Zone 2	$\checkmark$	Exception Test required	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Zone 3a	Exception Test required	Х	Exception Test required	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Zone 3b	Exception Test required	X	X	Х	$\checkmark$		

Table 2: NPPF Flood Zone vulnerability compatibility (source: NPPF).

Key:

✓ Development is appropriate

**X** Development should not be permitted.

The Exception Test maybe required.

# 12 Site Specific Flood Risk Analysis

The PFRA and Level 1 SFRA produced by the LLFA and maps from the EA provide information regarding historic flooding events and incidents as well as predictions of flood extents and depths during extreme rainfall events.

# 12.1 Fluvial (River) and Tidal (Sea) Flood Risk

#### 12.1.1 Mechanisms for Fluvial Flooding

Fluvial, or river flooding, occurs when excessive rainfall over an extended period of time or heavy snow melt causes a river to exceed its capacity. The damage from a fluvial flood can be widespread as the overflow may affect downstream tributaries, overtopping defences and flooding nearby inhabited areas. Fluvial flooding consists of two main types:



- Overbank flooding this occurs when water rises steadily and overflows over the edges of a river or stream;
- Flash flooding this is characterized by an intense, high velocity torrent of water that occurs in an existing river channel with little to no notice. Flash floods are very dangerous and destructive not only because of the force of the water, but also the hurtling debris that is often swept up in the flow.

#### 12.1.2 Definition of EA Modelled Fluvial Flood Risk Zones

Fluvial flood risk is assessed using flooding maps produced by the Environment Agency. These maps use available historic data and hydraulic modelling to define zones of flood risk. The maps allow a site to be defined in terms of its flood zone (e.g. 1, 2, 3) and in terms of the overall flood risk (very low, low, medium or high). It is important to note that existing flood defences are not taken into account within the models or the maps. The EA fluvial flood zones are defined as follows:

- Flood zone 1: Less than 1 in 1000 (0.1%) annual probability of flooding;
- Flood zone 2: Between 1 in 100 (1%) and 1 in 1000 (0.1%) annual probability of flooding;
- Flood zone 3: Greater than 1 in 100 (1%) annual probability of fluvial flooding.

Flood zone 3 is split into two sub-categories (3a and 3b) by LLFAs depending on whether the land is considered to be a functional flood plain (i.e. an important storage area for flood waters in extreme events).

- Flood zone 3a: Greater than 1 in 100 (1%) annual probability of fluvial flooding and/or greater than 1 in 200 (0.5%) annual probability of tidal flooding;
- Flood zone 3b: Functional flood plain (definition specific to the LLFA). Less than a 1 in 20 (5%) annual probability of fluvial and/or tidal flooding.



#### 12.1.3 Mechanisms for Tidal Flooding

Tidal flooding may be described simply as the inundation of low-lying coastal areas by the sea, or the overtopping or breaching of sea defences. Tidal flooding may be caused by seasonal high tides, storm surges and where increase in water level above the astronomical tide level is created by strong on shore winds or by storm driven wave action.

#### 12.1.4 Definition of EA Tidal Flood Risk Zones

As with fluvial flood risk, tidal flood risk is assessed using flooding maps produced by the Environment Agency. The difference is in the probability return periods used to define tidal flood zones. The EA tidal Flood Zones are defined as:

- Flood zone 1: Less than 1 in 1000 (0.1%) annual probability of flooding;
- Flood zone 2: Between 1 in 200 (0.5%) and 1 in 1000 (0.1%) annual probability of tidal flooding;
- Flood zone 3: Greater 1 in 200 (0.5%) annual probability of tidal flooding.

12.1.5 Main Potential Sources of Local Fluvial and Tidal Flooding

The nearest potential source of fluvial and tidal flooding to the site is the River Thames located 10m West of the site.

#### 12.1.6 Records of Historic Fluvial and Tidal Flooding Incidents

The EA's historic and recorded flood outline maps show the locations and extents of historic flooding. These maps do not indicate that there has been historic flooding at or in the vicinity of the site.

The Historic Flood Map which is available in <u>Appendix 11</u> indicates that the last time the borough suffered a significant fluvial and tidal flooding event. The date of the flooding was not available; however, the extent of the outline did not impact the site.



#### 12.1.7 Designated Fluvial Flood Risk Zone for the Site

The site is considered to be located within Flood Zone 3a as defined by the Environment Agency and the LLFA indicating that it has over a 1% annual probability of fluvial flooding.

#### 12.1.8 Designated Tidal Flood Risk Zone for the Site

The site is considered to be located within Flood Zone 3a as defined by the Environment Agency and the LLFA indicating that it has over a 0.5% annual probability of tidal flooding.

#### 12.1.9 Flood Defences

The EA's flood defence map which is available in <u>Appendix 7</u> shows that the site benefits from flood defences. These include an embankment on the East bank of the Thames, which protects the development and runs across the site boundary.

#### 12.1.10 Peak River Flow Climate Change Allowances

The EA's <u>climate change allowances for peak river flow</u> maps show that the site is considered to be in the London Management catchment. The climate change allowances for this catchment are available in <u>Appendix 11</u>.

#### In flood zones 2 or 3a for:

- ssential infrastructure use the higher central allowance
- highly vulnerable use central allowance (development should not be permitted in flood zone 3a)
- more vulnerable use the central allowance
- less vulnerable use the central allowance
- water compatible use the central allowance

#### In flood zone 3b for:

sessential infrastructure – use the higher central allowance



- highly vulnerable development should not be permitted
- more vulnerable development should not be permitted
- less vulnerable development should not be permitted
- water compatible use the central allowance

The central allowance for more vulnerable developments indicates that a climate change allowance of 17% should be used.

# 12.1.11 Climate Change - EA Modelled Predictions of Fluvial and Tidal Flood Levels and Extents

The EA Product 6 dataset which is presented in <u>Appendix 11</u> provides modelled flood levels and flows for model node points close to the site. The values for the 2125 scenario were extrapolated from the data from the 2100 scenario, using the recommended yearly sea level allowance provided by the EA for the 2100-2125 epoch. These are summarised in Table 3 below. The data for the 2005 scenario was also reviewed; however, as the site remains dry in this scenario and as such was excluded from analysis.

				Thames Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling 2017			
Node	Easting	ng Northing	Topo Survey	2100 - Breach Depth	2100 - Breach Elevation	(Extrapolated) 2125 - Breach Depth	(Extrapolated) 2125 - Breach Elevation
			Level (mAOD)	Depth (m)	Level (mAOD)	Depth (m)	Level (mAOD)
1	517975	174305	11.17	0.95	6.35	1.28	6.68
2	517969	174299	12.00	0.95	6.35	1.28	6.68
3	517958	174300	6.40	1.08	6.35	1.41	6.68
4	517951	174291	5.28	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
5	517940	174291	5.14	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

Table 3: EA modelled expected flood depths (m) and levels (mAOD) for different scenarios.

During the **2005 – Breach Depth** the modelled tidal data displays that the site does not flood and remains unaffected.



The Breach Elevations provided in the EA P6 data model appear to be inaccurate at nodes 1 and 2, as the indicative flood levels, are significantly lower than the elevations witnessed in the LiDAR and Topographical survey.

During the modelled tidal data for the extrapolated **2125** - **Breach Depths**, the site is indicated to witness a flood level of 6.68mAOD, with a potential flood depth of up to 1.45m. These most extreme depths are witnessed within the lower elevated areas of the garden.



Figure 2: Node Map

The existing rear patio is situated at 6.85mAOD, whilst the FFL of the garden level is 7.16mAOD, as such these areas would remain dry during these events.

Fluvial data provided form the EA P6 data for the Thames (Hammersmith) domain indicates that the rear garden will witness a flood level of 6.46mAOD during the 0.1%



AEP flooding event. As such flooding will only be witnessed within the garden. The existing and proposed development area remains dry.

#### 12.1.12 Long Term Fluvial/Tidal Flood Risk Considering Flood Defences

The EA's <u>long term flood risk maps</u> give an indication of the actual risk associated with flooding after taking into account the effect of any flood defences in the area. Copies of maps for the site which are available in <u>Appendix 9</u> indicate that the long-term risk from fluvial flooding to the site is very low to high.

## 12.2 Pluvial (Surface Water) Flood Risk

A pluvial, or surface water flood, is caused when heavy rainfall creates a flood event independent of an overflowing water body. Surface water flooding occurs when high intensity rainfall leads to run-off which flows over the ground surface, causing ponding in low-lying areas when the precipitation rate or overland flow rate is greater than the rate of infiltration, or return into watercourses. Surface water flooding can be exacerbated when the underlying soil and geology is saturated (as a result of prolonged precipitation or a high-water table) or when the drainage network has insufficient capacity.

#### 12.2.1 Mechanisms of Pluvial Flooding

The chief mechanisms for surface water flooding can be divided into the following categories:

- Runoff from higher topography;
- Localised surface water runoff as a result of localised ponding of surface water;
- Sewer Flooding areas where extensive and deep surface water flooding is likely to be influenced by sewer flooding. Where the sewer network has reached capacity, and surcharged, this will exacerbate the flood risk in these areas;



- Low Lying Areas areas such as underpasses, subways and lowered roads beneath railway lines are more susceptible to surface water flooding;
- Railway Cuttings –railway infrastructure cut into the natural geological formations can cause extra surface run off and pooling disrupting service and potentially affecting adjacent structures;
- Railway Embankments discrete surface water flooding locations along the up-stream side of the raised network rail embankments where water flows are interrupted and ponding can occur;
- Failure of artificial sources (i.e. man-made structures) such as such as canals and reservoirs.

12.2.2 Main Potential Sources of Local Pluvial Flooding

There is limited potential for pluvial flooding to the site.

12.2.3 Records of Historic Pluvial Flooding Incidents

Examination of the LLFA's Level 1 SFRA revealed no evidence of historic pluvial flooding on or in the vicinity of the site.

A map showing the location of surface water flooding incidents is available in <u>Appendix 4</u>.

12.2.4 Surface Water Flood Risk from Artificial Sources (Reservoirs and Canals) An examination of OS mapping and the EA's mapping revealed no indications of significant reservoirs or canals in the area of the site.

The EA's reservoir flood risk map indicates that the site lies within an area that is at risk of reservoir flooding when rivers are in flood.



#### 12.2.5 Sewer Flooding

Examination of the LLFA's Level 1 SFRA revealed evidence of sewer flooding on or in the vicinity of the site. One incident of combined sewer flooding occurred in the area, however the location is not specified.

A map showing recorded incidents of sewer flooding is available in Appendix 4.

12.2.6 Climate Change - Modelled Predictions of Surface Water Run-off Flooding Mapping of the predicted extent and depth of surface water flooding for the 1 in 1000-year rainfall return period provided by the EA is available in <u>Appendix 6</u>.

During the 1 in 30 and 1 in 100-year rainfall events the site and surrounding area remains dry.

During the 1 in 1000-year event the site remains dry. Petersham road, adjacent to the property, is impacted to a depth of up to 150mm during this event.

#### 12.2.7 Long Term Surface Water Flood Risk

The EA's <u>long term flood risk maps</u> which are available in <u>Appendix 9</u> indicate that the long term risk of flooding from surface water is considered to be very low.

## 12.3 Risk of Flooding from Multiple Sources (ROFMS)

The Environment Agency provides a map which gives an indication of the overall flood risk to a site from fluvial, tidal and surface water sources after considering the presence of flood defences. This map indicates that there is between 3.3% and 0.1% chance of flooding at the site in any year. A copy of the map is presented in <u>Appendix 8</u>.

### 12.4 Groundwater Flood Risk

Groundwater flooding occurs when water rises from an underlying aquifer (i.e. at the location of a spring) to such a level where it intersects the ground surface and inundates the surrounding land. Groundwater flooding tends to occur after long periods of intense precipitation, in often low-lying areas where the water table is



likely to be at a shallow depth. Groundwater flooding is known to occur in areas underlain by principal aquifers, although increasingly it is also being associated with more localised floodplain sands and gravels. A high groundwater table also has the potential to exacerbate the risk of surface water and fluvial flooding by reducing rainfall infiltration capacity, and to increase the risk of sewer flooding through sewer/groundwater interactions.

#### 12.4.1 Historic Records of Groundwater Flooding

Examination of the LLFA's Level 1 SFRA revealed no records of groundwater flooding at or within 500m of the site.

A map showing the locations of historic groundwater flooding incidents is available in <u>Appendix 4</u>.

#### 12.4.2 Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding

No Groundwater Flood Susceptibility Map was provided by BGS. Groundwater Depth map also provided by BGS indicates that the groundwater level may be at between 3-5mbgl, however the north east of the site indicates that groundwater maybe more than 5mbgl.

### 12.5 Critical Drainage Area

A Critical Drainage Area (CDA) may be defined as "a discrete geographic area (usually a hydrological catchment) where multiple and interlinked sources of flood risk (surface water, groundwater, sewer, main river and/or tidal) cause flooding in one or more Local Flood Risk Zones during severe weather thereby affecting people, property or local infrastructure". A CDA is defined in the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) (Amendment) (No. 2) (England) Order 2006 as "an area within Flood Zone 1 which has critical drainage problems and which has been notified to the local planning authority by the Environment Agency".

The site not located within a Critical Drainage Area.



# 13 Potential Impacts of the Development on Local Flood Risk

# 13.1 Changes to Impermeable Area and Building Footprint

Changes in ground cover arising from the development are presented in Table 4 and Table 5 below.

	Impermeable Area		Permeable Area		Total Area
	m²	%	m2	%	m²
Existing Site	121	42	170	58	291
Proposed Site	147	51	144	49	291
Difference	26	9	-26	-9	

**Table 4:** Existing and proposed site ground cover.

Table 5: Break down of existing and proposed site uses

Ground Cover	Existing Development Area		Proposed Development Area		Difference (m2)
	m²	%	m²	%	
Buildings	77	26	103	35	26
Driveways/Patio	44	15	44	15	0
Gardens/ Soft landscaping	170	58	144	49	26
Total	291	100	291	100	

As the development will increase the impermeable area by 9m<sup>2</sup>, it is considered unlikely that it will impact upon flood flow and surface water runoff rates due to the scale of change implemented.

## 13.2 Impacts on Flood Storage and Flood Flow Routes

The development will change the site's built-up area by 26m<sup>2</sup>. But as the development involves the removal of an existing raised patio, there will be no impact on flood storage or flood flow rates.



# 14 Flood Risk Mitigation Measures

#### 14.1 SuDS

Planning practice guidance (PPG) which is prepared by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (DCLG) states that developers and Local Authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques.

As such, the developer has the option to implement a SuDS strategy in line with the drainage hierarchy as outlined in Table 6 below to reduce surface water discharges from the site.

#### Table 6: SuDS Options

- Store rainwater for later use;
- Use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas;
- Attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release;
- Attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release;
- Discharge directly to a water course;
- Discharge rainwater directly to a surface water sewer/drain;
- Discharge to a combined sewer.







Figure 3: Surface water storage facilities and potential SuDS features - rainwater harvesting, on-site tank storage, rain garden soak-away and green roofs. (Source: UK SuDS Manual)

Given the nature of the development and the size of the site, it is considered that there are limited opportunities for implementing SuDS. Measures such as rainwater harvesting and permeable paving should be considered. A full SuDS strategy is outside the scope of works of this FRA.

#### 14.2 Flood Resilience

Flood resilient construction uses methods and materials that reduce the impact from a flood, ensuring that structural integrity is maintained, and the drying out and cleaning required, following inundation and before reoccupation, is minimised.

#### 14.2.1 Finished Floor Levels

The existing ground level in the area of the proposed extension lies at 6.85mAOD.

During the **2125** *Modelled Breach* tidal event the rear garden of the site witnesses a flood level of 6.68mAOD.

The EA guidance indicates that all vulnerable developments should be raised 300mm above the indicative flood level to 6.98mAOD. However, given the intended use as a garden room the preference for this development is to have a gradual level reduction to meet the garden.

As such it is proposed that FFL of the extension will be situated 100mm above the indicative flood level, at 6.78mAOD.



The "made ground level" of the existing patio will be lowered by approximately 0.07m to form the new FFL of the garden room.

For **vulnerable developments**, the EA's Standing Advice states that the finished floor level of the lowest habitable room in any building, Finished Floor Levels (FFL) should be a minimum of 300mm above one of the following, whichever is higher;

- Average Ground level; Or
- Estimated flood level 1% AEP plus CC; Or
- The Adjacent roadway;

It should be noted that the existing garden level will remain situated at 7.16mAOD, which is 0.48m above the indicative flood level.

As such both the proposed extension and existing dwelling remain dry during the indicative modelled flood event. It is arguable that the garden room maybe considered as a less venerable use. Lowering its level slightly, will not endanger the inhabitants, nor make flood risk worse elsewhere, following the final finished floor level and further mitigation measures, we feel this should be looked upon favourably by the LPA/EA.

#### 14.2.2 Compensatory Flood Storage (CFS)

The proposal will have no impact on flood storage and therefore CFS is not required.

#### 14.2.3 Flood Resilience Construction Measures

In terms of achieving resilience, there are two main strategies, whose applicability is dependent on the water depth the property is subjected to. These are:

Water Exclusion (Flood Resistance) Strategy - should be employed where predicted flood depths are less than 0.3m and are likely to be for short duration. Emphasis is placed on minimising water entry and giving occupants time to


relocate ground floor contents, maintaining structural integrity, and on using materials and construction techniques to facilitate drying and cleaning;

Water Entry (Flood Resilience) Strategy - Flood resilience measures are designed to allow water in but to limit damage and allow rapid re-occupancy. Resilience measures should be employed where flood depths are greater than 0.6m and where it is likely that structural damage will occur due to excessive water pressure.

Given that the proposed developments would witness flood depths of less than 0.3m, the water exclusion strategy is considered most applicable for this site:

Water Exclusion Strategy:

There are a range of flood protection devices/methods that can be used in the Water Exclusion Strategy including:

- Summa starting starting struction with low permeability;
- Landscaping e.g. creation of low earth bunds (subject to this not increasing flood risk elsewhere);
- Raising thresholds and finished floor levels (e.g. porches with higher thresholds than main entrance);
- Flood gates with waterproof seals;
- Sump and pump for floodwater to remove waste water faster than it enters;
- Door guards and airbrick covers.

Flood resilience design and measures that will be implemented are outlined below. Water-resistant and resilient materials will be utilized throughout the construction to minimize the flood risk and potential impacts.

Floor construction:

- Use of resilient flooring materials as ceramic tiles or stone floor finishes;
- Use of a concrete slab 150mm thick;
- Use of ceramic tiles or stone floor finishes is recommended;



- Maintain existing under floor ventilation by UPVC telescopic vents above 400 mm to external face of extension;
- Damp proof membrane of impermeable polythene at least 1200 gauge;
- Avoid the use of MDF carpentry.

Wall construction:

- Include in the external face of the extension a damp proof course, 250 mm above ground level, to prevent damp rising through the wall;
- Use rigid closed cell material for insulation above the DPC;
- Spread hardcore over the site within the external walls of the building to such thickness as required to raise the finished surface of the site concrete. The hardcore should be spread until it is roughly level and rammed until it forms a compact bed for the oversite concrete. This hardcore bed will be 100 mm thick and composed by well compacted inert material, blinded with fine inert material.

Doors:

Seal doors around edges and openings. UPVC or composite material will be used with passive protection meaning that minimal intervention will be required in the event of flooding.

Basement walls, windows, doors and construction:

- The width of any visible basement wall should not dominate the original building;
- Windows should relate to the façade above and be aligned to the openings above; Moreover, their size must be subordinate to the higher-level openings;
- The basement development should provide an appropriate proportion of planted material to allow for rain water to be absorbed and/or to compensate for the loss of biodiversity caused by the development;



A minimum of 1 metre of soil be provided above basement development that extends beyond the footprint of the building, to enable garden planting and to mitigate the effect on infiltration capacity.

Underground drainage:





As well as the above the following flood resilience features should be applied as part of the development:





All external openings for pipes or vents below 400mm to be sealed around pipe or vent with expanding foam and mastic.

# 14.3 Emergency Plan

## 14.3.1 Assessment of Danger to People

The dangers associated with flood water to people are possible injury and/or death. This can occur as a result of drowning or being carried along by the waters into hard objects or vice versa. The risk to life is largely a function of the depth and velocity of the floodwater as it crosses the floodplain. Fast flowing deep water that contains debris would represent the greatest hazard.

The assessment of danger to people from walking in floodwater is described in the Flood Risks to People guidance documents (FD2321\_TR1 and FD2321\_TR2) by DEFRA/EA.

Danger can be estimated by the simple formula:

HR = d x (v + 0.5) + DF

where, HR = (flood) hazard rating; d = depth of flooding (m); v = velocity of floodwaters (m/sec); and DF = debris factor.

The scoring methodology and calculation matrix for this is summarised in <u>Appendix</u> <u>13</u>.

The EA Product 6 data indicates that the maximum depth of flooding at the site in the 2125 – (extrapolated) Breach Depth would be 6.68mAOD while the depth witnessed on site would be 0.29m.

A flood hazard rating can be extrapolated from the hazard map provided though the EA data and can be found in <u>Appendix 13</u>. The hazard rating for the site is calculated



to be HR = 1.62. This hazard score indicates a significant flood hazard and a risk level of danger for most.

The use of a flood emergency plan is therefore sufficient for the proposed development. The key elements of the emergency plan are described below.

Based on the Breach model flood level of 6.68mAOD and the elevation of Petersham Road of 11.7mAOD, the hazard score is unlikely to relate to the residents escape route.

## 14.3.2 EA Flood Warnings Direct Service Subscription

The occupants will subscribe to the EA Flood Warnings Direct Service which is a free service offered by the EA providing flood warnings direct to people by telephone, mobile, email, SMS text message and fax. The EA aims to provide 2 hours' notice of flood, day or night, allowing timely evacuation of the site.

The agency operates a 24-hour telephone service on 0345 988 1188 that provides frequently updated flood warnings and associated floodplain information. In addition, this information can also be found at <a href="https://fwd.environment-agency.gov.uk/app/olr/home">https://fwd.environment-agency.gov.uk/app/olr/home</a> along with recommendations on what steps should be taken to prepare for floods, what to do when warnings are issued, and how best to cope with the aftermath of floods.

### 14.3.3 Access and Safe Egress

Safe egress to Flood Zone 1 is available by exiting the front door of the residential dwelling and walking west on Petersham Road (2-Minute Walk). Directions of this route are presented in <u>Appendix 12</u>.

### 14.3.4 Safe Refuge

The proposed development will have internal connections to upper floors in the property which will act to provide sufficient safe refuge in the event of an extreme flood event.



# 15 Conclusions and Recommendations

This assessment has considered the potential risks to the application site associated with flooding from fluvial, tidal, surface water, artificial and groundwater sources and the potential impacts of climate change.

A review of LLFA's PFRA and SFRA as well as data provided by the EA was undertaken. The main findings of the review and assessment are provided below:

- The site is classified as a more vulnerable, minor development and is therefore unlikely to require a sequential test, however an exception test may be required to be undertaken;
- The main source of potential flooding to the site is considered to be the River Thames;
- The EA define the site as being within Flood Zone 3;
- The indicative flood level during the 2125 breach level, including and allowance for Climate Change, is 6.68mAOD;
- The site may witness flood depths of up to 1.45mAOD on site within the garden, whilst the rear patio and garden level will remain dry.
- The finished floor level of the garden room will be set 100mm above this flood level at 6.78mAOD;
- The existing garden level will remain as existing, 480mm above the indicative flood level, at 7.16mAOD.
- CFS is not required as the proposal is located above the indicative flood level;
- EA mapping indicates that the site benefits from an embankment which protects the development and runs across the site boundary;
- No records of fluvial, tidal, surface water or artificial flooding incidents were identified at or in the vicinity of the site;
- The site is not within a CDA.
- One incident of combined sewer flooding occurred in the area, however the location is not specified;



- No records of groundwater flooding incidents were identified at or in the vicinity of the site;
- The development will result in an increase of impermeable area by 9m<sup>2</sup> which will have negligible impact on runoff rates; SuDS may be introduce to mitigate this.
- The development will change the site's built-up area by 26m<sup>2</sup>. But as the development involves the removal of an existing raised patio, there will be no impact on flood storage or flood flow rates;
- There is good opportunity for implementing SuDS mitigation measures. Consideration should be given to use of rainwater harvesting and permeable paving where possible;
- Flood resilient materials and construction methods will be used so as to ensure that the impacts of any potential flooding are minimised as much as possible;
- Occupants will subscribe to the EA Flood Warnings Direct Service;
- Safe egress routes to Flood Zone 1 is easily accessible by exiting the front door of the dwelling and walking west on Petersham Road (2-minute walk).
- In the event that evacuation is not possible, safe refuge is available in the upper floors of the building which are accessible via an internal staircase.

Based on the information reviewed and taking into account the proposed mitigation measures, it is considered that overall flood risk to the proposed development is acceptable and that it will not increase local flood risk. As such, the development is considered to be in compliance with local planning policy and the NPPF.



# 16 References

- 1. Communities and Local Government National Planning Policy Framework NPPF, July, 2021.
- 2. Communities and Local Government Planning Practice Guidance: Flood Risk and Coastal Change, Updated 06 March 2014.
- 3. Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, Richmond local council, 2021
- 4. Local Plan, Richmond local council, 2018
- 5. Surface Water Management Plan, Richmond local council, 2011
- 6. CIRIA, Defra, Environment Agency UK SuDS Manual, 2015.
- 7. Greater London Authority London Sustainable Drainage Action Plan, 2015.
- 8. London Plan (2021) Mayor of London
- 9. London Regional Flood Risk Appraisal (2018) Mayor of London



# 17 Appendices

# 17.1 Appendix 1 – Site Photographs





# 17.2 Appendix 2 – Development Plans

See next page.

### 57 Petersham Road



61 Petersham Road (Bingham Riverhouse)



Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

- Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
  Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
  Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
  All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
  All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

# EXISTING GARDEN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY SH
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.100			00

# **place**\* architecture+design



NOTES:

0

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

# EXISTING LOWER GROUND FLOOR FLOOR PLAN

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.101			00

# **place**\* architecture+design





NOTES:

- Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
  Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
  Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
  All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
  All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.102			00

# **place**\* architecture+design



NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.103	3		00

# **place**\* architecture+design

57 Petersham Road



Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

- Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
  Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
  Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
  All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
  All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	AЗ	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.104	Ļ		00

# **place**\* architecture+design



Existing Section AA 1:100@A3

1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m 0

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

### EXISTING SECTION AA

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	AЗ	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.180	)		00

# **place**\* architecture+design



Existing Section BB 1:100@A3

2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m 1 m

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

### EXISTING SECTION BB

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	AЗ	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.181			00

# **place**\* architecture+design



1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

### NOTES:

- 1. Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
- Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
  Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
  All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
- 5. All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.





REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

### PROPOSED GARDEN LEVEL AND LOWER GROUND FLOOR PLANS

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	A3	FEB <sup>23</sup>	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.200			00

# **place**\* architecture+design





NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.



REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

# PROPOSED GARDEN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - SHEET 1

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.200.1		00	

## place architecture+design

Proposed extension under front drive = less than 50% of driveway area





NOTES:

1. Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise

2. Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 3. Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	AЗ	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.201		00	
22.15.201			00

# place\* architecture + design



NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.202		00	

## place architecture+design



NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.203		00	

## place' architecture+design

### 57 Petersham Road



2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m 0 1 m

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.204			00

## place\* architecture+design



1:100@A3

1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	A3	OCT'21	SH
DRAWIN	G Nº		REVISION
21.38.300	)	(	00

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

**REVISIONS:** 

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

PROPOSED 3d sketches - REAR

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
NTS	A3	FEB <sup>23</sup>	SH
DRAWIN	IG Nº		REVISION
21.38.3DSKETCHES			00

# place\* architecture+design

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

### NOTES:

- 1. Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
- Levels are in meters AOD unless stated otherwise
  Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
  All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
- 5. All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

![](_page_62_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_11.jpeg)

REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

### PROPOSED GARDEN LEVEL AND LOWER GROUND FLOOR PLANS

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	A3	FEB <sup>23</sup>	SH
DRAWING Nº			REVISION
22.15.200	)		00

# **place**\* architecture+design

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

![](_page_63_Figure_8.jpeg)

REVISIONS:

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

# PROPOSED GARDEN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - SHEET 1

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.200.1			00

## place architecture+design

Proposed extension under front drive = less than 50% of driveway area

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTES:

1. Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise

2. Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 3. Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	AЗ	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.201			00
22.15.201			00

# place\* architecture + design

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing
 All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.202			00

## place architecture+design

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB'23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.203			00

## place' architecture+design

### 57 Petersham Road

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m 0 1 m

Copyright: Place Architecture and Design Ltd.

NOTES:

Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
 Levels are In meters AOD unless stated otherwise
 Dimensions govern. Do not scale off the drawing

All dimensions to be verified on site before proceeding
 All discrepancies on this drawing to be notified

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:50	A3	FEB <sup>1</sup> 23	SH
DRAWING Nº		REVISION	
22.15.204			00

## place\* architecture+design

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

1:100@A3

1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m 5 m

in writing to the Architect.

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
1:100	A3	OCT'21	SH
DRAWIN	G Nº		REVISION
21.38.300	)	(	00

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

**REVISIONS:** 

00: 02.03.23 First Issued

### PRELIMINARY

PROJECT 59 Petersham Road Richmond TW10 6UT

Mr J Earle and Ms A O'Donnell

DRAWING

PROPOSED 3d sketches - REAR

SCALE	PAPER SIZE	DATE	DRAWN BY
NTS	A3	FEB <sup>23</sup>	SH
DRAWIN	IG Nº		REVISION
21.38.3DSKETCHES			00

# place\* architecture+design

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)

Datum 4.000m

![](_page_71_Figure_3.jpeg)

Section A-A

United and the second s

LEVELS Levels are related to OSGM15 and have been obtained by using GPS receivers.
Tanners Court Tanners Lane East Wellow ROMSEY SO51 6DP Tel 01794 514978 Fax 01794 517653 E-Mail sales@dtsurveys.co.uk Web Site www.dtsurveys.co.uk
Digital Terrain Surveys LLP
Land Survey Partnership
Client Michael Jones Architects
Location 59 Petersham Road Richmond London
Type of Survey Sections
 Plotted Scale 1:50 @ A1 Date August 2022
Ref No DTS08082204FSec Q A Checked PH/RP
Drawing Number 1 of 2 Revision




Datum 4.000m

Section B-B

	DTS	E-Mail sa Web Site	Tanners Court Tanners Lane East Wellow ROMSEY SO51 6DP Tel 01794 51497 Fax 01794 51765 les@dtsurveys.co www.dtsurveys.co
Digital To Land Surv	errain Surveys vey Partnership	: LLP	
Client	Michael Jone	s Archited	cts
Client	Michael Jone 59 Petershan Richmond London	s Archited	ots
Client Location Type of S	Michael Jone 59 Petershan Richmond London	s Archited	ots
Client Location Type of S Plotted S	Michael Jone 59 Petershan Richmond London Survey Sections cele 1:50 @ A1	s Archited	ots ugust 2022
Client Location Type of S Plotted S Ref No D	Michael Jone 59 Petershan Richmond London Survey Sections cale 1:50 @ A1	s Archited	igust 2022 ecked PH/RP

10



## 17.3 Appendix 3 – Environmental Characteristics

## 17.3.1 Superficial Hydrogeology Map







#### 17.3.3 Topography Map



<u>17432</u> 0mN	"Quality to Build on"		+ +
<u>17431</u> 0mN	+		+ +
<u>17430</u> 0mN	+	WLW 0.6/1T	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
<u>17429</u> 0mN	+		Brick Brick
<u>17428</u> 0mN	+		+ +
	17 <u>93</u> 0mE	17940mE	17950mE





**A** 10

+

+STATION SCHEDULE STN No EASTINGS NORTHINGS HEIGHT TYPE 10 517985.516 174306.925 11.288 Nail 11 517975.784 174301.541 11.124 Nail 105 517940.805 174289.741 5.016 Nail 106 517954.017 174295.530 6.055 Nail DRAINAGE Invert levels, pipe sizes and pipe connections have been surveyed by visual inspection only and therefore the complete accuracy of this information cannot be guaranteed NORTHPOINT The survey grid is related to OSTN15 and has been fixed by using GPS receivers. LEVELS Levels are related to OSGM15 and have been obtained by using GPS receivers. Tanners Court Tanners Lane East Wellow ROMSEY SO51 6DP Tel 01794 514978 Fax 01794 517653 E-Mail sales@dtsurveys.co.uk DTS\_ Web Site www.dtsurveys.co.uk Digital Terrain Surveys LLP Land Survey Partnership Client Michael Jones Architects Location 59 Petersham Road Richmond London Type of Survey Site Survey Plotted Scale 1:100 @ A1 Date August 2022 Ref No DTS080822-04F Q A Checked RP/PH Drawing Number 1 of 1 Revision



#### LEGEND

В



Arch HeightA xxxxSill HeightS xxxxHead HeightH xxxxCeiling HeightC xxxxBeam HeightB xxxx

False Ceiling Height FC xxxx

False Ceiling Level FC xxxx

P xxxx

AL xxxx SL xxxx

CL xxxx

BL xxxx

FL xxxx

PL xxxx

WL xxxx

HDL xxxx

Purlin Height

Arch Level Sill Level

Head Level

Ceiling Level

Beam Level

Floor Level

Purlin Level

Wall Level

Panelled Radiator o\_\_\_\_\_o Column Radiator Oil Filled Radiator Towel Rail Convector Heater Electric Air Vent Rooflight Roof Access Light Fitting Panel Light

Extractor Fan

°0000000

°------

o\_\_\_\_o

A []

Ø

Power Point	Ŧ
Light Switch	<b>~</b>
Light Fitting	$\Phi$
Telephone Point	٨
Meter	Μ
Stop Valve	88
Distribution Board	D.B
Fuse Box	FB
Access Sensor	AS
Door Release Switc	h DR







17.4 Appendix 4 – Historical Flood Incident Maps

17.4.1 EA Historic and Recorded Flood Outlines

No recorded flood outlines in the vicinity of the site.



17.4.2 Map Recorded Historic Flooding

## See next page.



Kilometres

THIS DRAWING MAY BE USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSE INTENDED					
Legend					
Borough Administrative Boundary					
No. of Sewer	Flood Records				
No	ne				
1-	5				
6 -	10				
11	11 - 20				
21	- 50				
51	- 100				
10*	1+				
Notes					
1. Sewer floor	t records relate	to internal and	external		
2. Data suppli	properties ed by Thames \	Water Ltd and is	s correct		
as at June :	2010				
			-		
	London E	Borough	of		
Ri	chmond u	upon Tha	mes		
	LONDO	N BOROUGH OF			
	RICHMO	OND UPON THAN	IES		
Surface	vvater w	anageme	nt Plan		
© Crown Copyr Covers all data	ight. All rights rese that has been sup	erved. GLA (LA10 plied and distribut	0032379) 2011 ted under		
license for the Digital geologica	)rain London proje al data reproduced	ect. I from British Geo	logical Survey		
(c) NERC Licer	ice No 2011/053A		, ,		
Scale at A3 1:45,000	Date 20/07/2011	Drawn by D.SKILTON	Approved by E.CRAVEN		
			•		
Recorded Incidents of Sewer Flooding					
page of the Control of the Control of					
Consultants		C	JRS / Scott Wilson		
CAPITA SYMONDS					
Flood Risk Management					
Drain London Programme Board Members					
Environment Agency					
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY					
FIGURE D - 5					



0 0.25 0.5 1 1.5 2 Kilometres





17.4.3 Map of Recorded Sewer Flooding

## See next page.



Kilometres

THIS DRAWING MAY BE USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSE INTENDED					
Legend					
Borough Administrative Boundary					
No. of Sewer	Flood Records				
No	ne				
1-	5				
6 -	10				
11	11 - 20				
21	- 50				
51	- 100				
10*	1+				
Notes					
1. Sewer floor	t records relate	to internal and	external		
2. Data suppli	properties ed by Thames \	Water Ltd and is	s correct		
as at June :	2010				
			-		
	London E	Borough	of		
Ri	chmond u	upon Tha	mes		
	LONDO	N BOROUGH OF			
	RICHMO	OND UPON THAN	IES		
Surface	vvater w	anageme	nt Plan		
© Crown Copyr Covers all data	ight. All rights rese that has been sup	erved. GLA (LA10 plied and distribut	0032379) 2011 ted under		
license for the Digital geologica	)rain London proje al data reproduced	ect. I from British Geo	logical Survey		
(c) NERC Licer	ice No 2011/053A		, ,		
Scale at A3 1:45,000	Date 20/07/2011	Drawn by D.SKILTON	Approved by E.CRAVEN		
			•		
Recorded Incidents of Sewer Flooding					
page defined in the device of the second of the					
Consultants		C	JRS / Scott Wilson		
CAPITA SYMONDS					
Flood Risk Management					
Drain London Programme Board Members					
Environment Agency					
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY					
FIGURE D - 5					









## 17.6 Appendix 6 – Surface Water Flood Extent and Depth Maps

17.6.1 Predicted surface water flood depth for the 1 in 1000-year return period (Source: EA, 2016).





# 17.7 Appendix 7 – Flood Defence and Reservoir Flood Risk Maps17.7.1 EA flood defence map





#### 17.7.2 Reservoir Flood Risk Map







## 17.8 Appendix 8 – Risk of Flooding from Multiple Sources Map





## 17.9 Appendix 9 – EA's Long Term Flood Risk Maps





## 17.10 Appendix 10 – Groundwater Flood Maps

17.10.1 Groundwater Flooding (Susceptibility) Map (BGS) and Potential Depth to the Groundwater Water Map (BGS)

N/A no data.



## 17.11 Appendix 11 - EA Product 6 (Detailed Flood Risk) Data

#### 17.11.1 EA Climate Change Allowances for Peak River Flow



17.11.2 Node Location Map





17.11.3Tidal and Fluvial Flood Depths during the 2100 – Breach DepthsModel and the Thames Hammersmith Domain (1 in 1000yr and 1 in 100yr + 35%)



















Report Reference: FRA-2023-000089

Site Address: 59 Petersham Road, Richmond, TW10 6UT



## 17.13 Appendix 13 – Calculation of Flood Hazard Rating

	Depth									
velocity	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	2.0	2.25	2.50
0.0	0.13	0.25	0.38	0.50	0.63	0.75	0.88	1.00	1.13	1.25
0.5	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.50
1.0	0.38	0.75	1.13	1.50	1.88	2.25	2.63	3.00	3.38	3.75
1.5	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.50	5.00
2.0	0.63	1.25	1.88	2.50	3.13	3.75	4.38	5.00	5.63	6.25
2.5	0.75	1.50	2.25	3.00	3.75	4.50	5.25	6.00	6.75	7.50
3.0	0.88	1.75	2.63	3.50	4.38	5.25	6.13	7.00	7.88	8.75
3.5	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00
4.0	1.13	2.25	3.38	4.50	5.63	6.75	7.88	9.00	10.13	11.25
4.5	1.25	2.50	3.75	5.00	6.25	7.50	8.75	10.00	11.25	12.50
5.0	1.38	2.75	4.13	5.50	6.88	8.25	9.63	11.00	12.38	13.75

#### Flood Hazard Rating Scores – based on DF score of 0

Summary of Scores

	Seere From		Flood	Description	
	Score From	Score To	Hazard		
	<0.75	0.75	Low	Exercise Caution	
Class 1	0.75	1.5	Moderate	Danger for some	
Class 2	1.5	2.5	Significant	Danger for most	
Class 3	2.5	20.0	Extreme	Danger for all	

Values for Debris Factor for different flood depths

Depths	Pasture/Arable Land	Woodland	Urban
0 to 0.25	0	0	0
0.25 to 0.75	0.5	1	1
d>0.75 and/or v > 2	0.5	1	1

The "danger to some" category includes vulnerable groups such as children, the elderly and infirm. "Danger: Flood zone with deep or fast

- flowing water"
- The "danger to most" category includes the general public.
- The danger to all category includes the emergency services.



A flood emergency plan is considered to be an acceptable way of managing flood risk where the flood hazard has been given a "very low hazard" rating. In some instances, flood emergency plans may also be acceptable where the rating is "danger for some". However, it is unlikely to be an acceptable way of managing residual flood risk where the hazard to people classification is "danger for most" or "danger for all".