

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/2179/VRC

Address: 116A Amyand Park Road Twickenham TW1 3HP

Proposal: Variation to planning approval 22/1711/FUL - Condition Number(s): U0154481 'Approved Plans' and removal of condition U0154491 'Details of PV Panels' to facilitate omission of PV panels, amendments to internal arrangements, render to brick to front elevation, revised roof line to include guttering, amendments to fenestration including: Sash windows to 2x2 glazing split, Remove 2 ground floor flank windows, 1 new obscure glazed first floor flank window, 3 rooflights, Amend rear elevation ground floor and first floor fenestration, Straight soldier course brick detailing to front and rear windows, and Dormers brought forward approx. 200mm.

Comments Made By

Name: Mr. Simon Lear

Address: 11 Victoria Road Twickenham TW1 3HW

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: I wish to strongly object to the application seeking retrospective approval for 16 individual as built variations which constitutes an unacceptable quantum for a development of this form. In particular, the rear dormer windows have been advanced by 600mm from the approved drawings, which has further amplifies the extreme overlooking into my house & garden, see photo, & the surrounding conservation area. The description of this latest application is therefore wholly inaccurate on these dimensions alone, & strongly recommend that the description is amended to the correct dimension of 600mm, not the 200mm suggested, and the consultation process restarted to allow for an accurate and transparent consultation. A simple scaling from the applicants drawings will confirm the extent of the movement of these dormer windows. In addition, these windows are now positioned in such an advanced position that they are now beyond the line of the rear brick facade as seen in the attached photo. This cannot be correct in design terms and another example of misleading information from the applicant. The position of these dormers have fundamentally changed the form of building from a 2 storey building with set back dormers to effectively a 3 storey building, but with the dormers now beyond the brick facade. The previous application did at least provide some architectural relief with the set back of these dormers & less intrusive to the surrounding homes & gardens. I strongly support that the application is refused and the applicant completes the development in line with the approved drawings.