

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/2401/HOT

Address: 43 Ormond Crescent Hampton TW12 2TJ

Proposal: New garden wall with metal railings and metal railing entrance gate

Comments Made By

Name: Ms. Zulfiya Merchant

Address: 43 Ormond Crescent Hampton TW12 2TJ

Comments

Type of comment: Support the proposal

Comment: I wish to formally address the objections submitted by the residents of 41 and 45 Ormond Crescent. [REDACTED]

Specific Responses to Points Raised :Many points raised are irrelevant, as they fall outside planning law:

- Point by 45 Ormond Crescent: Is the side garden wall abutting 45 Ormond Crescent being demolished?
- Point by 41 Ormond Crescent: "The drawing of the proposed new garden wall and gates shows the pillar beside our garden wall ; Additionally, this will prevent either property from becoming classified as semi-detached."

Our Response: We suggest the concerned parties refer to the submitted plans, which clearly state the concerned wall and work involved. Concerns outside this remit are irrelevant, and it is evident both households seek to object irrespectively rather than review the professional documents and drawings made available. Our submitted plans clearly state that the proposed works are limited solely to the front boundary wall and do not affect any side walls, foliage, or structural foundations. The uploaded drawings unambiguously show that these elements are unaffected. Comments about classifying a home as semi-detached because of an electric gate are irrelevant to planning [REDACTED]

- Point by 45 Ormond Crescent: "The stone coping abutting 45 Ormond Crescent appears on plan 252/301B to be embedded into the right pier of 45 Ormond Crescent.

Response: Once again, the submitted plans are clear about the works involved and are limited to our boundary. It would be illogical for planning to be granted for property not owned by the applicant.

- Point by 41 Ormond Crescent: "Concerns regarding consistency with the street scene.

Response: Numerous properties along Ormond Crescent, including Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 9, 20, 30, 33, 35, 49, and 59, feature gates, establishing a clear precedent for such installations in line with neighborhood character. Rising crime rates, as discussed in the September 10, 2024, police meeting with Councillor Suzette Nicholson, Elizabeth Gant, and Sam Dalton. This included discussions on private security and additional CCTV installations, underscoring the relevance of our proposed security measures."

- Point by 41 Ormond Crescent: "Clarification is required on what will happen to the curb. there is a bollard in the area;
- Point by 45 Ormond Crescent: "The existing crossover and drop curb cannot be enlarged."

Response: It is evident neither household has reviewed the proposed drawing. I ask concerned parties to review documents in order to avoid making irrelevant and unsupported comments.

• Point by 41 Ormond Crescent: we note there is no pedestrian access. Note that any use of our property to access No. 43 will be treated accordingly."

Our Response: In response to concerns about parcel delivery – an irrelevant planning consideration – our design includes a secure letterbox and parcel case at the front of the property. The notion that properties with gates would rely on neighbors' property for deliveries is baseless [REDACTED].