## Comment on a planning application

## **Application Details**

Application: 24/2401/HOT

Address: 43 Ormond CrescentHamptonTW12 2TJ

Proposal: New garden wall with metal railings and metal railing entrance gate

**Comments Made By** 

Name: Mr. Djamel Mokhebi

Address: 41 Ormond Crescent Hampton TW12 2TJ

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

**Comment:** We are writing in respect of the above application relating to a proposed new garden wall, railings and entrance gate.

At this stage we cannot support the application as it is lacking in detail and to allow us to ensure no potential negative impact on our property the following points need to be addressed:

1. The drawing of the proposed new garden wall and gates shows the pillar beside our garden wall (41 Ormond Crescent) to be abutting. This pillar must be moved away from our wall ensuring that any coping placed on top of the pillar does not hang into our air space. Not only is this a legal right we require space in the event we decide to amend our wall in the future (subject of course to any planning approvals that are necessary). This approach will be in line with other properties in the local area. In addition this will also ensure no possibility of either property becoming classified as semi-detached. An amended drawing is required before any approval, if applicable, is given.

There is a lack of information about the foundations of the wall and pillar but in any event a party wall agreement is required. Although this may not be a direct consideration for planning it does need to be clarified it will protect all parties.
We note there is no pedestrian access (apart from opening the sliding gate). Other similar gates in the area have pedestrian gates which we would consider necessary for emergency access and to accommodate the high reliance on deliveries to the property including but not limited from on-line shopping. It should be further noted that any use of our property to gain entrance to No 43 will be treated as trespass by the applicant on the basis that access could be restricted to any third parties such as delivery personnel.

4. Clarification is required on what is to happen the kerb. Has this been considered by the Council's Highways Authority. In addition there is a bollard in situ in the area and reassurance is required that this will not be moved or damaged. With the need to move the pillar beside our wall this will move the other pillar nearer the bollard.

5. The proposed wall, railings and entrance gate continues to be out of keeping with the area and does not fit the local street scene.

As the proposed entrance is to remain as is we suggest that just rebuilding the wall is not in keeping with the Council's Environmental Policy.

we require confirmation that the Council will monitor any work (assuming approval is given) to ensure that the entrance to the property does not exceed 3800 mm and the applicant does not seek to increase the entrance size