



# Application reference: 24/2252/FUL **HAMPTON WARD**

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
09.09.2024	12.09.2024	07.11.2024	07.11.2024

#### Site:

33A Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LL,

Proposal:

Alterations to front elevation, including changes to fenestration and front entrance, demolition of rear extension and creation of a pair of rear glazed doors to rear amenity area at 33a Milton Road.

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

**APPLICANT NAME** 

Mr Adam Skinner 33 A Milton Road Hampton Richmond Upon Thames TW12 2LL

**AGENT NAME** 

Mr Michael Hooper 1 Royal Close, Manor Road Stoke Newington LONDON (N16 5AA - N16 5ZZ) N16 5SE

United Kingdom

DC Site Notice: printed on and posted on and due to expire on

**Consultations:** Internal/External:

Consultee **Expiry Date** 

# **Neighbours:**

54 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LJ, - 13.09.2024 50 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LJ, - 13.09.2024 56 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LJ, - 13.09.2024 52 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LJ, - 13.09.2024 48 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LJ, - 13.09.2024 1 Tudor Road, Hampton, TW12 2NQ, - 13.09.2024 5 Tudor Road, Hampton, TW12 2NQ, - 13.09.2024 3 Tudor Road, Hampton, TW12 2NQ, - 13.09.2024 33 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LL, - 13.09.2024 35 Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LL, - 13.09.2024

## History: Development Management, Appeals, Building Control, Enforcements:

**Development Management** 

Status: GTD Application:83/0857

Date:07/09/1983 Change of use of existing shop to office use.

**Development Management** 

Status: GTD Application:84/0093

Date:15/05/1984 Change of use of shop to office, alteration to front elevation;

construction of single storey rear extension with flat roof and provision of new pitched roof over existing building. (Amended plans received

23/3/84 and further amended 27/4/84).

<u>Development Management</u> Status: GTD Date:24/03/1954	Application:47/3642 Construction of flat over existing shop.
Development Management Status: GTD Date:27/04/1960	Application:60/0182 Erection of an extension to existing shop.
<u>Development Management</u> Status: GTD Date:18/09/1975	Application:75/0647 Conversion of storage area to provide additional retail floorspace involving alterations to existing front elevation.
Development Management Status: WDN Date:27/10/2022	Application:22/2861/GPD26 Change of use from Office Building (Class E) to Residential (Class C3) to create 2 new residential dwellings.
<u>Development Management</u> Status: REC Date:	Application:22/2865/GPD26
Development Management Status: AAPR Date:15/12/2022	Application:22/3397/GPD26 Change of use of the building from Use Class E (Office) to C3 (Residential) single dwelling.
Development Management Status: REF Date:12/07/2023	Application:23/0261/FUL  Demolition of the existing property and the erection of 2 X 2 storey 3-bedroom semi-detached dwellings (Use Class C3) with habitable roof spaces; separate amenity space; bin and cycle stores.
Development Management Status: GTD Date:05/06/2024	Application:24/1022/VRC Removal of condition DV43CParking Permits Restriction - GRAMPIAN, of planning permission 22/3397/GPD26.
Development Management Status: GTD Date:14/08/2024	Application:22/3397/NMA  Non material amendment to planning approval 22/3397/GPD26 - No external amendments are proposed and the number of residential dwellings has not changed. Internal amendments to the proposed dwellings are: 1. Move the proposed bathroom and change 'study' room to bedroom. 2. Introduce an ensuite to bedroom 3. Create snug and study area to the rear of the property 4. Create utility area around exiting rear door. For information, a Sunlight Daylight Report is provided which concludes that all proposed habitable rooms receive adequate natural daylight.
Development Management Status: PCO Date:	Application:24/2252/FUL Demolition of rear extension at 33a Milton Road, Hampton, and creation of a pair of rear glazed doors to rear amenity area.
Building Control Deposit Date: 22.01.1997 Reference: 97/0086/FP	New suspended ceiling and internal partitions

Application Number	24/2252/FUL	
Address	33A Milton Road, Hampton, TW12 2LL	

Proposal	Demolition of the existing single storey rear extension replaced by double doors; fenestration and entrance door replaced to the front; and replacement of the existing side door.
Contact Officer	GAP
Legal Agreement	NO

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This application is of a nature where the Council's Constitution delegates the authority to make the decision to Officers rather than it being determined by the Planning Committee.

Before preparing this summary report the planning officer considered any relevant previous planning applications in relation to the development and considered any comments made by those interested in the application such as consultees with specialist knowledge and nearby residents.

By indicating that the development proposal complies with relevant Local Plan Policies, the planning officer is taking into account the information submitted with the application, any previous relevant applications, any comments received in connection with the application and any other case specific considerations which are material to the decision.

### 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS

The site is a single storey building with a pitched roof on the eastern side of Milton Road. The application site is in Hampton Village, in the Hampton Ward. The site received planning consent for a change of use from a shop to an office in 1984.

The application site presents the following constraints:

- Area Susceptible to Groundwater Flood Environment Agency (Superficial Deposits Flooding ->= 50%).
- Article 4 Direction Basements.
- Take Away Management Zone.

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL AND ANY RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

The current application aims to pursue the following:

Demolition of the existing single storey rear extension replaced by double doors; fenestration and entrance door replaced to the front; and replacement of the existing side door.

The comprehensive list of planning history can be found above however the most relevant planning history is as follows:

**22/3397/GPD26** - Change of use of the building from Use Class E (Office) to C3 (Residential) single dwelling - Prior Approval Approved 15/12/2022.

24/1022/VRC - Removal of condition DV43CParking Permits Restriction - GRAMPIAN, of planning permission 22/3397/GPD26 - Granted 05/06/2024.

**22/3397/NMA** - Non material amendment to planning approval 22/3397/GPD26 - No external amendments are proposed and the number of residential dwellings has not changed. Internal amendments to the proposed dwellings are:

1. Move the proposed bathroom and change 'study' room to bedroom - Granted 14/08/2024

#### 4. CONSULTATIONS CARRIED OUT

The list of neighbours notified of this application are listed above.

No letters of representation were received.

# 5. MAIN POLICIES RELEVANT TO THE DECISION

# London Plan (2021)

The main policy applying to the site is:

D12 Fire Safety

The London Plan (2021) can be found at: <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/planning/london-plan">https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/planning/london-plan</a>

# **Richmond Local Plan (2018)**

The main planning considerations applying to the site and the associated Local Plan policies are:

Issue	Local Plan Policy	Comp	liance
Local Character and Design Quality	LP1	Yes	No
Impact on Amenity and Living Conditions	LP8	Yes	No
Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage	LP21	Yes	No

These policies can be found at

https://www.richmond.gov.uk/media/15935/adopted local plan interim.pdf

# Richmond Publication Local Plan (Regulation 19 version)

The Richmond Publication Version Local Plan (Regulation 19 version) was published on 9 June 2023 for public consultation which ended on 24 July 2023.

The Publication Version Local Plan, together with all the representations received during the representation period, the plan and its supporting documents were submitted to the Secretary of State for examination on 19 January 2024. The submission documents do not form part of the statutory development plan for the Borough, however, by publishing and submitting the Borough Local Plan for independent examination the Council has formally confirmed its intention to adopt the Publication Plan.

The Publication Version Local Plan, including its evidence base, are material considerations for decision-making. The weight to be given to each of the emerging policies and allocations will depend on an assessment against the criteria set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF. As the Council considers the emerging Borough Local Plan to be sound and legally compliant, officers and Councillors should accord relevant policies and allocations significant weight in the determination of applications taking account of the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies. Therefore, the weight afforded to each policy at this stage will differ depending on the level and type of representation to that policy. This is addressed in more detail in the assessment below where it is relevant to the application.

Note that it was agreed by Full Council on 27 April, when the Publication Plan was approved, that no weight will be given to Policy 4 in relation to the increased carbon offset rate, and therefore the existing rate of £95 will continue to be used; in addition, no weight will be given to Policy 39 in relation to the 20% biodiversity net gain requirement; all other aspects and requirements of these policies will apply.

Issue	Publication Local Plan Policy	Compliance	
Local character and design quality	28	Yes	No
Amenity and living conditions	46	Yes	No
Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage	8	Yes	No

# **Supplementary Planning Documents**

House Extensions and External Alterations (2015) Village Plan - Hampton Village Planning Guidance (2016)

These policies can be found at:

https://www.richmond.gov.uk/services/planning/planning\_policy/local\_plan/supplementary\_planning\_documents\_and\_guidance

## **Determining applications in a Conservation Area (Not Applicable)**

In considering whether to grant planning permission with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, Section 72 of the Planning (Listed buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires that special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. In this context, "preserving", means doing no harm.

To give effect to that duty, decisions of the court have confirmed that for development proposed to be carried out in a conservation area, a decision-maker should accord "considerable importance and weight" to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area, when weighing this factor in the balance with other material considerations which have not been given this special statutory status. This creates a strong presumption against granting planning permission where harm to the character or appearance of a conservation area is identified. The presumption can be rebutted by material considerations powerful enough to do so.

In applications where the decision-maker is satisfied that there will be no harm to the character or appearance of a conservation area, the statutory presumption against granting planning permission described above falls away. In such cases the development should be permitted or refused in accordance with the policies of the development plan and other material considerations.

## **Determining applications affecting a Listed Building (Not Applicable)**

Sections 16(1) and 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 require that, when considering whether to grant listed building consent for any works, or whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting, the local planning authority shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting, or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses. In this context, "preserving", means doing no harm.

To give effect to this duty decisions of the court have confirmed that a decision-maker should accord "considerable importance and weight" to the desirability of preserving the listed building or its setting when weighing this factor in the balance with other material considerations which have not been given this special statutory status. However, this does not mean that the weight that the decision-maker must give to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting is uniform. It will depend on, among other things, the extent of the assessed harm and the heritage value of the asset in question. This creates a strong presumption against granting planning permission where harm to a listed building or its setting is identified. The presumption can be rebutted by material considerations powerful enough to do so.

## 6. EXPLANATION OF OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

- I. Visual Amenity
- II. Neighbouring Amenity
- III. Flooding
- IV. Fire safety
  - I. Visual Amenity

Policy LP 1 of the Local Plan 2018 seeks to maintain and, where possible, enhance the high architectural and urban design quality which contributes to the character and heritage of the area. Proposals should demonstrate an understanding of the site and its context when considering the design including layout, siting and access and the compatibility of the works to the neighbouring uses.

No objection is raised to the proposed amendments. The removal of the rear extension, of no significance, would provide a degree of respite within the tight knit immediate locality, in itself considered an improvement in comparison to the current onsite situation. The remaining amendments would be in keeping with the overall character of the property.

The proposal would therefore comply with the aims and objectives of Policy LP 1 and the emerging Policy 28.

# II. Neighbouring Amenity

Policy LP 8 states that development must protect the amenity and living conditions of existing, adjoining and neighbouring occupants. Design must allow for good daylight standards, avoid overlooking or noise disturbance, avoid visual intrusion, overbearing impacts or harm to the reasonable enjoyment of the uses of buildings and gardens. Harm may arise from various impacts such as noise, air pollution, odours or vibration.

The aforementioned respite, in relation to the removal of the existing rear extension, is considered an improvement for neighbouring amenities also.

Given the nature and ground floor nature of the alterations proposed as part of this application, these are not considered to cause significant amenity issues.

The proposal would therefore comply with the aims and objectives of Policy LP 8 and the emerging Policy 46.

# III. Flooding

Policy LP 21 'Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage' states that all developments should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

The proposal would be set no lower than the existing ground floor level and therefore this would not give raise to significant flood risk concerns.

## IV. Fire safety

The submitted Reasonable Exception Statement would justify why Policy D12 of the London Plan (2021) would not be relevant to the development and therefore it is acceptable.

### 7. LOCAL FINANCE CONSIDERATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) provides that a local planning authority must have regard to a local finance consideration as far as it is material. The weight to be attached to a local finance consideration remains a matter for the decision maker. The Mayor of London's CIL and Richmond CIL are therefore material considerations.

On initial assessment this development is not considered liable for the Mayoral or Richmond CIL however this is subject to confirmation by the CIL Administration Team.

# 8. RECOMMENDATION

This recommendation is made following careful consideration of all the issues raised through the application process. In making this recommendation consideration has been had to the statutory duties imposed by the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and the requirements set out in Chapter 16 of the NPPF where applicable.

Paragraph 11 of the Framework explains how the presumption in favour of sustainable development applies. For the reasons set out above, this application falls to be determined in accordance with the test under section 38(6) of the 2004 Act, the proposal is in general conformity with the Development Plan overall and there are no material considerations of sufficient weight to justify refusal.

# Grant planning permission with conditions

		endation: ination of this application falls within	the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES
I th	erefore	recommend the following:	
	1.	REFUSAL	
	2.	PERMISSION	
	3.	FORWARD TO COMMITTEE	
Thi	s applica	ation is CIL liable	YES* NO (*If yes, complete CIL tab in Uniform)
Thi	s applica	ation requires a Legal Agreement	YES* NO  (*If yes, complete Development Condition Monitoring in Uniform)
This application has representations online (which are not on the file)		YES NO	
This application has representations on file YES NO			
Cas	se Office	er (Initials): GAP Dated:	23/10/2024
l aç	gree the	recommendation:	
Tea	am Lead	er/Head of Development Manageme	nt/Principal Planner - EL
Dat	ted:1	1/11/2024	
The app	e Head o	of Development Management has co	ations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. nsidered those representations and concluded that the to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing
Hea	ad of De	velopment Management:	
Dat	ted:		
R	EASON	S:	
C	ONDITIO	ONS:	
IN	IFORMA	ATIVES:	
U	DP POL	ICIES:	
0	THER D	OI ICIES:	

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform
SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES
CONDITIONS
CONDITIONS
INFORMATIVES