D. EXISTING AND PROPOSED AREA TAKE-OFF





DISCLAIMER NOTES:

- THIS DOCUMENT IS COPYRIGHT OF THE ORIGINATOR AND MUST BE TREATED AS
- THIS DOCUMENT IS COPYRIGHT OF THE ORIGINATOR AND MUST BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL
 THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED, REPRODUCED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ORIGINATOR
 THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE ORIGINATORS INFORMATION BEING ALTERED BY OTHERS
 ANY DISCREPANCY MUST BE REPORTED TO THE ORIGINATOR
 DO NOT SCALE THIS DOCUMENT USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY
 ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY RELATED
- ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY RELATED WORKS
- THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
 PRODUCED BY THE ORIGINATOR AND OTHER PROJECT DISCIPLINES • THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION PRODUCED BY THIRD PARTIES - THIS MUST BE TREATED AS INDICATIVE
- ONLY • USERS OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING WHICH REVISION IS CURRENT
- THE DOCUMENT STATUS "INFORMATION" OR "PRELIMINARY", INDICATES THAT THIS DRAWING IS FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY THE ORIGINATOR WILL ACCEPT NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION UNDER THIS STATUS • THE DOCUMENT STATUS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" HAS BEEN PREPARED, IN PART, BASED UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS. WHILE THIS INFORMATION IS BELIEVED TO BE RELIABLE, THE ORIGINATOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THIS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT OR FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO IT AS A RESULT OF INCORRECT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE ORIGINATOR. THOSE RELYING ON THE "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT ARE ADVISED TO OBTAIN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF ITS ACCURACY

<u>CDM_REGULATIONS_2015</u> Significant or non-obvious risks and risks which are difficult to manage are identified on this drawing using the following symbol identified to the right with brief accompanying text. For further details of the risks identified by designers, reference should be made to CDM hazard register.

KEY	DESCRIPTION					
	Existing building area = 1100m²					
	Existing drains to ground = 360m ²					
	Proposed roof area = 434m ²					
$\begin{array}{cccc} \psi & \psi & \psi \\ & \psi & \psi & \psi \end{array}$	Proposed green roof area = 385m²					
	Proposed drains to ground= 641m ²					
	Redline boundary area = 1460m²					



RIDGE

PROJECT NUMBER: 5025779



St Mary's University Twickenham London

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

PROJECT: ST MARY'S UNIVERSITY - REDEVELOPMENT OF R BLOCK

TITLE: CATCHMENT AREAS PLAN

DRAWN BY:	TW	CHECKE	D BY:	PCh	APPROV	ED BY:	PCh	
SCALE @ A1:	1:200	DA	DATE OF REVIEW: 23/08/24					
ISO 19650 STAT	US:							
S5 - ISSUED	FOR AC	CEPTAN	CE BY TH	HE CLI	ENT			
DRAWING No:								
PROJECT:	ORG:	FUNCTION:	SPATIAL:	FORM:	DISCIPLINE:	NUMBE	R:	REV:
5025779	RDG	ΧХ	XX	D	С	9500	02	P01

E. GREENFIELD RUNOFF CALCULATION

Ridge and Partners LLP		Page 1
The Cowyards Blenheim Park, Oxford Road Woodstock OX20 1QR		Micco
Date 30/10/2024 13:50	Designed by tomwong	Dcainago
File	Checked by	Diamage
Innovyze	Source Control 2020.1	
<u>ICP SUD</u> Return Period (yea Area (SAAR (S Mean Annual Flood Input rs) 1 Soil 0.300 ha) 0.110 Urban 0.000 mm) 599 Region Number Region 6	
	Results 1/s	
	QBAR Rural 0.2 QBAR Urban 0.2	
	Q1 year 0.1	

Q1 year 0.1 Q30 years 0.4 Q100 years 0.5

©1982-2020 Innovyze

F. PROPOSED DRAINAGE LAYOUTS



1. THIS DRAWING SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CIVIL ENGINEERING SPECIFICATION, AND ALL RELEVANT ARCHITECT'S AND ENGINEER'S DRAWINGS.

2. THE LOCATION AND LEVEL OF EXISTING DRAINAGE CONNECTIONS AND EXISTING SERVICES

IS TO BE CHECKED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF DRAINAGE WORKS. ANY VARIANCE TO THE DETAILS ON THIS DRAWING AND THE SCHEDULE IS TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION

BEING ALTERED BY OTHERS

3. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE AND HAVE AN APPROVED METHOD STATEMENT PRIOR TO

• ANY DISCREPANCY MUST BE REPORTED TO THE ORIGINATOR WORKS

• DO NOT SCALE THIS DOCUMENT - USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY

• ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY RELATED • THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY THE ORIGINATOR AND OTHER PROJECT DISCIPLINES • THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF BACKGROUND

DISCLAIMER NOTES:

CONFIDENTIAL

INFORMATION PRODUCED BY THIRD PARTIES - THIS MUST BE TREATED AS INDICATIVE ONLY • USERS OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING WHICH REVISION IS CURRENT

• THIS DOCUMENT IS COPYRIGHT OF THE ORIGINATOR AND MUST BE TREATED AS

PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ORIGINATOR

• THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED, REPRODUCED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT

• THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED - THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY

FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE ORIGINATORS INFORMATION

- THE DOCUMENT STATUS "INFORMATION" OR "PRELIMINARY", INDICATES THAT THIS DRAWING IS FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY - THE ORIGINATOR WILL ACCEPT NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION UNDER THIS STATUS • THE DOCUMENT STATUS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" HAS BEEN PREPARED IN PART BASED UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS, WHILE THIS INFORMATION IS BELIEVED TO BE
- RELIABLE, THE ORIGINATOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THIS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT OR FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO IT AS A RESULT OF INCORRECT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE ORIGINATOR. THOSE RELYING ON THE "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT ARE ADVISED TO OBTAIN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF ITS ACCURACY

CDM REGULATIONS 2015 Significant or non-obvious risks and risks which are difficult to manage are identified on this drawing using the following symbol identified to the right with brief accompanying text. For further details of the risks identified by designers, reference should be made to CDM hazard register.

KEY	DESCRIPTION
	Existing foul water chamber and sewer/drain
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New foul water chamber and sewer/drain
	New surface water chamber and sewer/drain
	Existing surface water chamber and sewer/drain
	New gully
	Redundant sewer or drain
(Existing drainage channel
	New drainage channel
	New geocellular soakaway
	New permeable construction

INVERT LEVEL AT ALL FW DROP POINTS TO BE 10.160mAOD UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

EXISTING UTILITIES NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY – REFER TO UTILITIES SURVEY

P02 Drainage revised to suit the update in architect's layout. P01 First issue REV DESCRIPTION ORIGINATOR: www.ridge.co.uk

29/10/2024 TW PCh 23/08/2024 TW PCh DATE BY CHKD

RIDGE

PROJECT NUMBER: 5025779

St Mary's University Twickenham London

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

PROJECT: ST MARY'S UNIVERSITY - REDEVELOPMENT OF R BLOCK

TITLE: BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE GENERAL ARRANGEMENT SHEET 1

DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: PCh APPROVED BY: PCh DATE OF REVIEW: 23/08/24 SCALE @ A1: 1:100 ISO 19650 STATUS: S5 - ISSUED FOR ACCEPTANCE BY THE CLIENT DRAWING No

RAWING NO:							
PROJECT:	ORG:	FUNCTION:	SPATIAL:	FORM:	DISCIPLINE:	NUMBER:	REV:
5025779	RDG	XX	XX	D	С	110001	P02

NOTES

- OF THE ENGINEER.
- WORKS. ALL DRAINAGE TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT BUILDING REGULATION DOCUMENTS AND CURRENT SEWERS FOR ADOPTION. CONNECTIONS TO PUBLIC SEWERS
- ARE TO BE AGREED AND INSPECTION BY THE WATER AUTHORITY. ALL DRAIN AND SEWER PIPES ARE Ø100MM AND LAID SOFFIT TO SOFFIT, UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE.
- ALL FOUL AND SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STACKS ARE TO HAVE ABOVE GROUND RODDING ACCESS. REFER TO ABOVE GROUND DRAINAGE LAYOUT(S) BY OTHERS.
- ALL BELOW GROUND CONNECTIONS ARE TO MATCH ABOVE GROUND OUTLET SIZE, MINIMUM Ø100MM. SVPS ARE TO PROJECT 100MM ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL. ALL INTERNAL MANHOLES AND INSPECTION CHAMBERS TO HAVE DOUBLE SEALED RECESSED
- COVERS TO SUIT FLOOR FINISHES AS DEFINED BY THE ARCHITECT. 10. ALL EXTERNAL COVERS IN NON-ASPHALT AREAS ARE TO HAVE RECESSED COVERS TO SUIT
- THE PAVING MATERIAL.
- 11. ALL EXTERNAL FOUL AND COMBINED WATER MANHOLE COVERS IN FOOTPATHS AND PAVED AREAS (OTHER THAN ROADS) ARE TO BE NON-VENTILATING AND SINGLE SEALED UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 12. ALL MANHOLE COVERS ARE TO BE INSTALLED SQUARE TO PAVING, KERB LINES OR
- BUILDINGS.
- 13. A CCTV SURVEY AND REPORT IN WINCAN FORMAT FOR ALL NEW DRAINAGE WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO 'AS BUILT' DRAWING BEING ISSUED. 14. THE DESIGN IS BASED ON THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE DATE OF ISSUE FROM OTHER PARTIES (EG. ARCHITECT AND M & E ENGINEER). IT IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE
- RESULTING FROM UPDATES TO THE AVAILABLE INFORMATION FROM OTHERS. 15. THE DRAWINGS ARE TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE NBS SPECIFICATIONS, ASSOCIATED MANHOLE SCHEDULE AND STANDARD DRAINAGE DETAIL DRAWINGS WHERE APPLICABLE.
- 16. THE POSITIONS OF FOUL AND SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE POINTS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR SETTING OUT DETAILS. 17. PRIVATE FOUL AND SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE
- WITH BUILDING REGULATIONS PART H, BS EN752 AND BS EN12056. 18. ALL SOIL CONNECTIONS UNDER BUILDINGS TO BE 100mm DIA LAID AT A MINIMUM GRADIENT OF 1/40 or 1/80 IF THERE IS A MINIMUM OF ONE WC CONNECTION.
- 19. IN CASES OF IN SITU CONCRETE FLOOR SLABS, DRAINS ARE TO BE CAST INTEGRAL WITH THE SLAB WHERE PIPE COVER TO THE CROWN IS LESS THAN 300mm. SEE DETAILED DRAINAGE AND STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS. CONCRETE ENCASEMENT TO BE REINFORCED AS PER DRAINAGE DETAIL.
- BACKFILLING OF DRAIN TRENCHES ADJACENT TO BUILDING OR OTHER STRUCTURES IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH DIAGRAM 8 OF THE BUILDING REGULATIONS. 21. EXISTING FOUNDATIONS AND RETAINING WALLS MUST NOT BE UNDERMINED BY NEW
- DRAINAGE RUNS UNLESS AGREED IN WRITING WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT METHOD STATEMENTS AND TEMPORARY WORKS PROPOSALS TO THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER FOR COMMENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. 22. ALL MANHOLE COVER LEVELS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE TO SUIT THE FINAL
- GROUND OR BUILDING LEVELS . 23. FOUNDATIONS SHOWN ARE INDICATIVE AND NEED TO BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION
- OF DRAINAGE BY THE CONTRACTOR.

1. THIS DRAWING SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CIVIL ENGINEERING THE LOCATION AND LEVEL OF EXISTING DRAINAGE CONNECTIONS AND EXISTING SERVICES

SPECIFICATION, AND ALL RELEVANT ARCHITECT'S AND ENGINEER'S DRAWINGS.

IS TO BE CHECKED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF DRAINAGE WORKS. ANY VARIANCE TO

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE AND HAVE AN APPROVED METHOD STATEMENT PRIOR TO

ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRES ABOVE ORDNANCE DATUM.

THE DETAILS ON THIS DRAWING AND THE SCHEDULE IS TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION

• ANY DISCREPANCY MUST BE REPORTED TO THE ORIGINATOR • DO NOT SCALE THIS DOCUMENT - USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY

 ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY RELATED WORKS

• THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY THE ORIGINATOR AND OTHER PROJECT DISCIPLINES • THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF BACKGROUND

BEING ALTERED BY OTHERS

PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ORIGINATOR

DISCLAIMER NOTES:

CONFIDENTIAL

INFORMATION PRODUCED BY THIRD PARTIES - THIS MUST BE TREATED AS INDICATIVE ONLY • USERS OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING WHICH REVISION IS

• THIS DOCUMENT IS COPYRIGHT OF THE ORIGINATOR AND MUST BE TREATED AS

• THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED, REPRODUCED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT

• THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED - THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY

FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE ORIGINATORS INFORMATION

CURRENT • THE DOCUMENT STATUS "INFORMATION" OR "PRELIMINARY", INDICATES THAT THIS DRAWING IS FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY - THE ORIGINATOR WILL ACCEPT NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION UNDER THIS STATUS • THE DOCUMENT STATUS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" HAS BEEN PREPARED IN PART, BASED RELIABLE, THE ORIGINATOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THIS BEEN INCORPORATED INTO IT AS A RESULT OF INCORRECT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE ORIGINATOR. THOSE RELYING ON THE "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT ARE

UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS. WHILE THIS INFORMATION IS BELIEVED TO BE "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT OR FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY HAVE ADVISED TO OBTAIN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF ITS ACCURACY

CDM REGULATIONS 2015 Significant or non-obvious risks and risks which are difficult to manage are identified on this drawing using the following symbol identified to the right with brief accompanying text. For further details of the risks identified by designers, reference should be made to CDM hazard register.

KEY	DESCRIPTION
	Existing foul water chamber and sewer/drain
• • • • • • • • • •	New foul water chamber and sewer/drain
G	New surface water chamber and sewer/drain
	Existing surface water chamber and sewer/drain
	New gully
	Redundant sewer or drain
A	Existing drainage channel
	New drainage channel
	New geocellular soakaway
	New permeable construction

INVERT LEVEL AT ALL FW DROP POINTS TO BE 10.160mAOD UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

EXISTING UTILITIES NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY – REFER TO UTILITIES SURVEY

P02 Drainage revised to suit the update in architect's layout. P01 First issue REV DESCRIPTION ORIGINATOR: www.ridge.co.uk

29/10/2024 TW PCh 23/08/2024 TW PCh DATE BY CHKD

RIDGE

PROJECT NUMBER: 5025779

St Mary's University Twickenham London

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

PROJECT: ST MARY'S UNIVERSITY - REDEVELOPMENT OF R BLOCK

TITLE: BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE GENERAL ARRANGEMENT SHEET 2

DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: PCh APPROVED BY: PCh SCALE @ A1: 1:100 DATE OF REVIEW: 23/08/24 ISO 19650 STATUS: S5 - ISSUED FOR ACCEPTANCE BY THE CLIENT DRAWING No: PROJECT: ORG: FUNCTION: SPATIAL: FORM: DISCIPLINE: NUMBER: REV: 5025779 RDG XX XX D C 110002 P02

	Proposed Fw — Manhole Schedule												
Chamber No.	Coordinates (m)	Chamber Size (mm)	Chamber Material	Cover Size and Duty	Approx. Cover Level (mAOD)	Invert Level (m)	Chamber Depth	Pipe in No, Diameter (mm), Invert Level (mAOD)	Pipe out No, Diameter (mm), Invert Level (mAOD)	Pipe Material	Pipe out Length (m)	Pipe out Gradient (1 in X)	
FW1	E: 515838.630 N: 171924.233	ø1050	Precast concrete	B125 750x675mm	10.420	9.495	0.93m	2.002, Ø150 From FW2, IL: 9.545	2.003, ø150 To , IL:9.495	Plastic	2.0	1:137	
FW2	E: 515842.018 N: 171924.872	ø450	Plastic	B125 450ø or 450x450	10.420	9.590	0.83m	2.001, ø150 From FW3, IL:9.570	2.002, ø150 To FW1, IL:9.570	Plastic	3.4	1:138	
FW3	E: 515845.130 N: 171927.254	ø450	Plastic	B125 450ø or 450x450	10.420	9.605	0.82m	2.000, ø100 From FW4, IL:9.655	2.001, ø150 To FW2, IL:9.605	Plastic	3.9	1:112	
FW4	E: 515848.693 N: 171929.802	ø450	Plastic	B125 450ø or 450x450	10.420	9.710	0.71m		2.000, ø100 To FW3, IL:9.710	Plastic	4.4	1:80	

	Proposed Sw — Manhole Schedule												
Chamber No.	Coordinates (m)	Chamber Size (mm)	Chamber Material	Cover Size and Duty	Approx. Cover Level (mAOD)	Invert Level (m)	Chamber Depth	Pipe in No, Diameter (mm), Invert Level (mAOD)	Pipe out No, Diameter (mm), Invert Level (mAOD)	Pipe Material	Pipe out Length (m)	Pipe out Gradient (1 in X)	Comments
SW1	E: 515840.738 N: 171954.457	Ø600	Plastic	B125 600ø or 600x600	10.770	9.855	0.91m		1.000, ø150 To SW2, IL:9.855	Plastic	5.9	1: 78	
SW2	E: 515846.498 N: 171955.559	Ø600	Plastic	B125 600ø or 600x600	10.770	9.780	0.99m	1.000, ø150 From SW1, IL:9.780	1.001, ø150 To SW3, IL:9.780	Plastic	3.7	1: 75	
SW3	E: 515850.211 N: 171956.065	ø600	Plastic	B125 600ø or 600x600	10.730	9.680	1.05m	1.001, Ø150 From SW2, IL:9.730 1.002, Ø150 From SW4, IL:9.730	1.003, ø150 To SW5, IL:9.680	Plastic	6.6	1:121	
SW4	E: 515857.706 N: 171957.069	Ø600	Plastic	B125 600ø or 600×600	10.770	9.810	0.96m		1.002, ø150 To SW3, IL:9.810	Plastic	7.6	1:95	
SW5	E: 515848.932 N: 171962.582	ø1050	Precast concrete	B125 750x675mm	10.560	8.795	1.76m	1.003, ø150 From SW3, IL:9.625	1.004, ø150 To , IL:8.795	Plastic	11.6	1:80	Catchpit 400mm Sump

NOTES

- BUILDING LEVELS .
- NOTED OTHERWISE
- BUILDINGS.
- REGULATIONS.

1. THE MANHOLE SCHEDULE IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE SPECIFICATION, BELOW GROUND TYPICAL DRAINAGE DETAIL DRAWINGS AND THE BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE GENERAL ARRANGEMENT DRAWINGS.

2. ALL COVER LEVELS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE TO SUIT THE FINAL GROUND OR 3. ALL INTERNAL MANHOLE COVERS ARE TO BE NON-VENTILATING AND DOUBLE SEALED.

4. ALL EXTERNAL FOUL AND SURFACE WATER MANHOLE COVERS IN FOOTPATHS AND PAVED AREAS (OTHER THAN ROADS) ARE TO BE NON-VENTILATING AND SINGLE SEALED UNLESS

5. ALL MANHOLE COVERS ARE TO BE INSTALLED SQUARE TO PAVING, KERB LINES OR

6. ALL COVERS ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF BUILDING

7. FOR ADOPTED DRAINAGE, MANHOLE COVERS ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DCG OR SPECIFIC WATER AUTHORITY REQUIREMENT. 8. INSPECTION CHAMBERS ARE TO HAVE A REDUCED ACCESS PIECE WHEN THE DEPTH IS GREATER THAN 1.2m TO THE BASE OF THE CHAMBER.

- DISCLAIMER NOTES:
- THIS DOCUMENT IS COPYRIGHT OF THE ORIGINATOR AND MUST BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL
- THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED, REPRODUCED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ORIGINATOR
- THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE ALTERED THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE ORIGINATORS INFORMATION BEING ALTERED BY OTHERS
- ANY DISCREPANCY MUST BE REPORTED TO THE ORIGINATOR • DO NOT SCALE THIS DOCUMENT - USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY
- ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY RELATED WORKS • THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
- PRODUCED BY THE ORIGINATOR AND OTHER PROJECT DISCIPLINES • THE ORIGINATOR ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION PRODUCED BY THIRD PARTIES - THIS MUST BE TREATED AS INDICATIVE
- ONLY • USERS OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING WHICH REVISION IS CURRENT
- THE DOCUMENT STATUS "INFORMATION" OR "PRELIMINARY", INDICATES THAT THIS DRAWING IS FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY - THE ORIGINATOR WILL ACCEPT NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION UNDER THIS STATUS • THE DOCUMENT STATUS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" HAS BEEN PREPARED, IN PART, BASED UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS. WHILE THIS INFORMATION IS BELIEVED TO BE RELIABLE, THE ORIGINATOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THIS "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT OR FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO IT AS A RESULT OF INCORRECT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE ORIGINATOR. THOSE RELYING ON THE "RECORD" OR "AS BUILT" DOCUMENT ARE

ADVISED TO OBTAIN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF ITS ACCURACY CDM REGULATIONS 2015 Significant or non-obvious risks and risks which are difficult to manage are identified on this drawing using the following symbol identified to the right with brief accompanying text. For further details of the risks identified by designers, reference should be made to CDM hazard register.

P02 Drainage revised to suit the update in architect's layout. 30/10/2024 TW PCh P01 First issue 23/08/2024 TW PCh REV DESCRIPTION ORIGINATOR: www.ridge.co.uk

RIDGE

DATE BY CHKD

PROJECT NUMBER: 5025779

St Mary's University Twickenhan London Twickenham

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

PROJECT: ST MARY'S UNIVERSITY - REDEVELOPMENT OF R BLOCK

TITLE: PROPOSED BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE MANHOLE SCHEDULE

DRAWN BY: TW CHECKED BY: PCh APPROVED BY: PCh SCALE @ A1: 1:100 DATE OF REVIEW: 23/08/24 ISO 19650 STATUS: S5 - ISSUED FOR ACCEPTANCE BY THE CLIENT DRAWING No: PROJECT: ORG: FUNCTION: SPATIAL: FORM: DISCIPLINE: NUMBER: REV: 5025779 RDG XX XX D C 110003 P02

G. PROPOSED SURFACE WATER CALCULATIONS

Project: St Marvs University			Date: 22/08/2024					
R Block Building		F	Designed by: Checked by: Approved By:					
			TW	PCh	PCh			
Report Details:			Company Address:					
Type: Junctions			Ridge & Partne	rs LLP				
Storm Phase: Phase			3 Valentine PI,	London SE1 8Q	H			
Name	Junction Type	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Cover Level (m)	Depth (m)	Invert Level (m)	Sump Depth (m)	Chamber Shape
SW1	Manhole	515840.738	171954.457	10.770	0.865	9.905	0.000	Circular
SW2	Manhole	515846.498	171955.559	10.770	0.990	9.780	0.000	Circular
SW3	Manhole	515850.211	171956.065	10.730	1.050	9.680	0.000	Circular
SW4	Manhole	515857.709	171957.075	10.770	0.960	9.810	0.000	Circular
SW5	Manhole	515848.932	171962.582	10.350	1.955	8.395	0.400	Circular
Name	Diameter (m)	Lock]					
SW1	0.600	None						
SW2	0.600	None						
SW3	0.600	None						
SW4	0.600	None	1					
SW5	1.050	None						

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024	Date: 22/08/2024					
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:				
0	TW	PCh	PCh				
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls	Company Address: Ridge & Partne	Company Address: Ridge & Partners LLP					
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine PI,	London SE1 8QH					

Cellular Storage

Dimensions		
Exceedance Level (m)		10.100
Depth (m)		0.800
Base Level (m)		8.100
Number of Crates Long		12
Number of Crates Wide		15
Number of Crates High		2
Porosity (%)		95
Crate Length (m)		1
Crate Width (m)		0.5
Crate Height (m)		0.4
Total Volume (m ³)		69.600
Inlets		
Inlet		
Inlet Type	Point Inflow	
Incoming Item(s)	1.004	
Bypass Destination	(None)	
Capacity Type	No Restriction	
Advanced		
Base Infiltration Rate (m/hr)		0.02063
Side Infiltration Rate (m/hr)		0.02063
Cafety Faster		0.0

Type : Cellular Storage

Project: St Marys University			Date: 22/08/2024					
R Block Building		Ī	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approv	ed By:		
		-	TW	PCh	PCh			
Report Details: Type: Connections Storm Phase: Phase			Company Address: Ridge & Partne 3 Valentine PI, I	rs LLP London SE1 80	λH		C	2
Name	Length (m)	Connection Type	Slope (1:X)	Manning's n	Colebrook- White Roughness (mm)	Diameter / Base Width (mm)	Height (mm)	Upstream Cover Level (m)
1.000	5.865	Pipe	46.917		0.6	150		10.770
1.001	3.747	Pipe	74.938		0.6	150		10.770
1.002	7.566	Pipe	94.573		0.6	150		10.770
1.003	6.641	Pipe	120.751		0.6	150		10.730
1.004	14.085	Pipe	97.138		0.6	150		10.350
Name	Upstream Invert Level (m)	Downstream Cover Level (m)	Downstream Invert Level (m)	Lock	Min. Cover Depth (m)			
1.000	9.905	10.770	9.780	All	0.000			
1.001	9.780	10.730	9.730	All	0.000			
1.002	9.810	10.730	9.730	All	0.000			
1.003	9.680	10.350	9.625	All	0.000			
1.004	8.795	10.100	8.650	All	0.000			

TOTAL		0.0		0.0	76				0.076
Catchment Area (3)	SW4		Time of Concentration	0.0	19 ·	100	0	100	0.019
Catchment Area (2)	SW3		Time of Concentration	0.0	19 ⁻	100	0	100	0.019
Catchment Area (1)	SW2		Time of Concentration	0.0	19 ·	100	0	100	0.019
Catchment Area	SW1		Time of Concentration	0.0	19 [.]	100	0	100	0.019
Inflow Label	Connected To	Flow (L/s)	Runoff Metho	od Area (ha)	Percentage Impervious (%	Urban Creep (%	o) Ir	Adjusted Percentage npervious (%)	Area Analysed (ha)
Report Details: Type: Inflow Su Storm Phase: F	ummary ^D hase		Cor Ric 3 V	^{mpany Address:} dge & Partners LL Valentine PI, Lonc	.P Ion SE1 8QH				
R Block Buildin	ng		De: TV	signed by: V	Checked by: PCh	Approved By: PCh			X
Project: St Marys Unive	ersity		Dat 22	te: 2/08/2024					

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Rainfall Analysis Criteria	Company Address: Ridge & Partne 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH		E

Runoff Type	Dynamic
Output Interval (mins)	1
Time Step	Shortest
Urban Creep	Apply Global Value
Urban Creep Global Value (%)	0
Junction Flood Risk Margin (mm)	300
Prefill Manhole Sumps	
Perform No Discharge Analysis	

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	┥ /
Jan 19	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partne 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 1 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m ³)
Catchment Area	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	2.7	1.237
Catchment Area (1)	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	2.7	1.237
Catchment Area (2)	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	2.7	1.237
Catchment Area (3)	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	2.7	1.237

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
3	TVV	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partne 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH		E

FSR: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m ³)
Catchment Area	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	6.6	3.033
Catchment Area (1)	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	6.6	3.033
Catchment Area (2)	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	6.6	3.033
Catchment Area (3)	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	6.6	3.033

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partn 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH	•	

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m ³)
Catchment Area	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	8.5	3.938
Catchment Area (1)	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	8.5	3.938
Catchment Area (2)	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	8.5	3.938
Catchment Area (3)	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	8.5	3.938

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	7
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partnes 3 Valentine PI, I	rs LLP London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m ³)
Catchment Area	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	11.9	5.512
Catchment Area (1)	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	11.9	5.512
Catchment Area (2)	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	11.9	5.512
Catchment Area (3)	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	0.02	11.9	5.512

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024		
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:
с С	TW	PCh	PCh
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary	Company Address: Ridge & Partners I	I P	-
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl, Lor	ndon SE1 8QH	

FSR: 1 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m ³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m ³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
SW1	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.905	9.938	0.033	2.7	0.009	0.000	2.7	1.236	ОК
SW2	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.780	9.837	0.057	5.3	0.016	0.000	5.3	2.472	ОК
SW3	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.730	9.680	9.777	0.097	10.6	0.027	0.000	10.5	4.942	ОК
SW4	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.810	9.850	0.040	2.7	0.011	0.000	2.7	1.236	ОК
SW5	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.350	8.395	8.882	0.487	10.5	0.422	0.000	10.5	4.472	ОК

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024						
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:				
Ŭ	TW	PCh	PCh				
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partner 3 Valentine PI, L	rs LLP ₋ondon SE1 8QH					

FSR: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m ³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m ³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
SW1	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.905	9.980	0.075	6.6	0.021	0.000	6.3	3.032	ОК
SW2	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.780	9.974	0.194	12.5	0.055	0.000	12.5	6.063	Surcharged
SW3	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.730	9.680	9.944	0.264	24.9	0.075	0.000	24.9	12.127	Surcharged
SW4	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.810	9.956	0.146	6.6	0.041	0.000	6.2	3.031	ОК
SW5	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.350	8.395	9.103	0.708	24.9	0.613	0.000	24.6	11.657	Surcharged

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024						
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:				
	TW	PCh	PCh				
Report Details:	oort Details: Company Address:						
Type: Junctions Summary	Ridge & Partne						
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl,						

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m ³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m ³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
SW1	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.905	10.118	0.213	8.5	0.060	0.000	7.8	3.936	Surcharged
SW2	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.780	10.102	0.322	15.8	0.091	0.000	15.6	7.872	Surcharged
SW3	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.730	9.680	10.054	0.374	31.5	0.106	0.000	31.3	15.746	Surcharged
SW4	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.810	10.074	0.264	8.5	0.075	0.000	7.8	3.936	Surcharged
SW5	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.350	8.395	9.287	0.892	31.3	0.772	0.000	30.9	15.277	Surcharged

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024	Date: 22/08/2024						
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:					
, s	TW	PCh	PCh					
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary	Company Address: Ridge & Partne	Company Address: Ridge & Partners LLP						
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl,	3 Valentine PI, London SE1 8QH						

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m ³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m ³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
SW1	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.905	10.388	0.483	11.9	0.137	0.000	10.6	5.510	Surcharged
SW2	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.780	10.360	0.580	21.8	0.164	0.000	21.1	11.019	Surcharged
SW3	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.730	9.680	10.276	0.596	43.0	0.169	0.000	42.4	22.043	Surcharged
SW4	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.770	9.810	10.311	0.501	11.9	0.142	0.000	10.7	5.509	Surcharged
SW5	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	10.350	8.395	9.663	1.268	42.4	1.098	0.000	41.9	21.574	Surcharged

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partn 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 1 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Avg. Depth

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Floode d Volume (m ³)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 240 mins: Winter	8.202	8.202	0.102	0.102	2.2	8.727	0.000	7.121	0.0	0.000	279	87.461

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partners 3 Valentine PI, Lo	LLP S LLP		

Status

OK

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partners 3 Valentine PI, Lo	LLP ndon SE1 8QH		

FSR: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Avg. Depth

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Floode d Volume (m ³)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	8.393	8.393	0.293	0.293	2.9	25.091	0.000	15.568	0.0	0.000	748	63.950

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	┓ /
5	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary	Company Address: Ridge & Partne	ers LLP	·	┐ (————————————————————————————————————
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine PI,	London SE1 8QH		

Status

OK

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	┓
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partne 3 Valentine PI,	ers LLP London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Avg. Depth

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Floode d Volume (m ³)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	8.501	8.501	0.401	0.401	3.7	34.278	0.000	16.145	0.0	0.000	989	50.750

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partners 3 Valentine PI, Lo	LLP S LLP		

Status

OK

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Ridge & Partners I 3 Valentine PI, Lor	LLP ndon SE1 8QH		

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Avg. Depth

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Floode d Volume (m ³)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 960 mins: Winter	8.708	8.708	0.608	0.608	3.0	51.966	0.000	34.190	0.0	0.000	1406	25.336

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	┓ /
5	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary	Company Address: Ridge & Partne	ers LLP	·	┐ (————————————————————————————————————
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine PI,	London SE1 8QH		

Status

OK

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Ŭ	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details:	Company Address:			
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl,	London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 1 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	То	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
1.000	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW1	SW2	10.770	9.938	0.045	1.236	0.6	0.1	2.7	OK
1.001	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW2	SW3	10.770	9.837	0.055	2.472	0.9	0.26	5.3	OK
1.002	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW4	SW3	10.770	9.850	0.044	1.236	0.7	0.15	2.7	OK
1.003	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW3	SW5	10.730	9.777	0.092	4.942	0.9	0.65	10.5	OK
1.004	FSR: 1 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW5	Cellular Storage	10.350	8.882	0.084	4.472	1.0	0.58	10.5	OK

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024			
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
	TW	PCh	PCh	
Report Details:	Company Address:			
Type: Connections Summary	Ridge & Partn	ers LLP		
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl	London SE1 8QH		

FSR: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	То	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
1.000	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW1	SW2	10.770	9.980	0.134	3.032	0.6	0.24	6.3	OK
1.001	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW2	SW3	10.770	9.974	0.150	6.063	0.9	0.61	12.5	Surcharg ed
1.002	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW4	SW3	10.770	9.956	0.150	3.031	0.7	0.34	6.2	ОК
1.003	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW3	SW5	10.730	9.944	0.150	12.127	1.4	1.55	24.9	Surcharg ed
1.004	FSR: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW5	Cellular Storage	10.350	9.103	0.150	11.657	1.4	1.37	24.6	Surcharg ed

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024		
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	TW	PCh	PCh
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary	Company Address: Ridge & Partn	ers LLP	
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl	London SE1 8QH	

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	То	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
1.000	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW1	SW2	10.770	10.118	0.150	3.936	0.6	0.3	7.8	Surcharg ed
1.001	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW2	SW3	10.770	10.102	0.150	7.872	0.9	0.76	15.6	Surcharg ed
1.002	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW4	SW3	10.770	10.074	0.150	3.936	0.7	0.43	7.8	Surcharg ed
1.003	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW3	SW5	10.730	10.054	0.150	15.746	1.8	1.94	31.3	Surcharg ed
1.004	FSR: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW5	Cellular Storage	10.350	9.287	0.150	15.277	1.8	1.72	30.9	Surcharg ed

Project: St Marys University	Date: 22/08/2024	Date: 22/08/2024				
R Block Building	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:			
5	TW	PCh	PCh			
Report Details:	Company Address:	·				
Type: Connections Summary	Ridge & Partne	Ridge & Partners LLP				
Storm Phase: Phase	3 Valentine Pl,	3 Valentine PI, London SE1 8QH				

FSR: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	То	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
1.000	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW1	SW2	10.770	10.388	0.150	5.510	0.6	0.41	10.6	Surcharg ed
1.001	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW2	SW3	10.770	10.360	0.150	11.019	1.2	1.03	21.1	Surcharg ed
1.002	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW4	SW3	10.770	10.311	0.150	5.509	0.7	0.58	10.7	Surcharg ed
1.003	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW3	SW5	10.730	10.276	0.150	22.043	2.4	2.63	42.4	Surcharg ed
1.004	FSR: 100 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	SW5	Cellular Storage	10.350	9.663	0.150	21.574	2.4	2.33	41.9	Surcharg ed

H. SUDS PROFORMA

GREATER **LONDON** AUTHORITY

	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	St Mary's University, Redevelopment of the R Block Building			
	Address & post code	St Mary's University, Strawberry Hill Campus, Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4SX			
	OS Crid rof (Fasting Northing)	E 515858			
	OS Grid rei. (Easting, Northing)	N 171944			
tails	LPA reference (if applicable)				
Project & Site De	Brief description of proposed work	Demolition of existing R Block and the erection of a replacement teaching block (Use Class F1) to provide facilities appropriate for the operation of a new School of Medicine at the Strawberry Hill Campus, with associated landscaping			
	Total site Area	1460 m ²			
	Total existing impervious area	1100 m ²			
	Total proposed impervious area	819 m ²			
	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	No the site is not located in a surface water flood risk catchment			
	Existing drainage connection type and location	Private drains to catchpit soakaways			
	Designer Name	Tom Wong			
	Designer Position	Civil Engineer			
	Designer Company	Ridge & Partners LLP			

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility					
	Superficial geology classification	Kempton Park Gravel Member				
	Bedrock geology classification	London Clay Formation				
	Site infiltration rate	5.7	′3x10 ⁻⁶ m/s			
	Depth to groundwater level		3 m belo	w ground level		
	Is infiltration feasible?	Yes				
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy					
		Feasible (Y/N)	Proposed (Y/N)			
Ó	1 store rainwater for later use	Y	Ν			
	2 use infiltration techniques, such surfaces in non-clay areas	Y	Y			
	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or features for gradual release	Ν	Ν			
	4 attenuate rainwater by storing ir sealed water features for gradual r	Y	Ν			
;	5 discharge rainwater direct to a w	Ν	Ν			
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface sewer/drain	Ν	Ν			
	7 discharge rainwater to the comb	ined sewer.	Ν	Ν		
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details					
	Proposed discharge location	rom the propose cellular soakawa the north.	ed roof area ay located in the			
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	Yes				

GREATER **LONDON** AUTHORITY

	3a. Discharge Rates & Required Storage								
		Greenfield (GF) runoff rate (l/s)	Existing discharge rate (l/s)	Required storage for GF rate (m ³)	Proposed discharge rate (I/s)				
	Qbar	0.2							
	1 in 1	0.1	-	27	0				
	1 in 30	0.4	-	43	0				
	1 in 100	0.5	-	55	0				
	1 in 100 + CC		\geq	85	0				
	Climate change a	llowance used	40%						
rategy	3b. Principal Met Control	hod of Flow	Designed to infiltrate to ground						
e St	3c. Proposed Sul	DS Measures							
Drainag			Catchment area (m²)	Plan area (m²)	Storage vol. (m ³)				
3. [Rainwater harves	iting	0	\langle	0				
	Infiltration system	ns	819	\ge	69.6				
	Green roofs		385	385	7				
	Blue roofs		0	0	0				
	Filter strips		0	0	0				
	Filter strips Filter drains		0	0	0				
	Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre	ee pits	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
	Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious paveme	ee pits nts	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
	Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious paveme Swales	ee pits nts	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0				
	Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious paveme Swales Basins/ponds	ee pits nts	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0				
	Filter strips Filter drains Bioretention / tre Pervious paveme Swales Basins/ponds Attenuation tank	ee pits nts s	0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0				

	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report
	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	Page 8 & Appendix B
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	Page 15-18
n	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	Page 15-18
ormatio	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	Page 15-18 & Appendix G
ting Inf	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	Page 15-18 & Appendix G
por	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report
Sup	Detailed Development Layout	Page 12 & Appendix F
4.	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	Appendix F & I
	Detailed landscaping plans	Refer to Architect's Plans
	Maintenance strategy	Page 20-26
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:	
	a) water quality of the runoff?	Page 15-19
	b) biodiversity?	Page 15-19
	c) amenity?	Page 15-19

I. EXCEEDANCE ROUTES

www.ridge.co.uk