Reference: FS663277171

## Comment on a planning application

## **Application Details**

Application: 24/2400/LBC

Address: The Navigators HouseRiver LanePetershamRichmondTW10 7AG

**Proposal:** Internal refurbishment, replacement of the existing conservatory with a new single storey rear extension and reconfiguration of modern bay structures.

**Comments Made By** 

Name: Mr. Richard O'Hara

Address: Glen Cottage River Lane Petersham Richmond TW10 7AG

**Comments** 

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: We would like to comment on the above application. Apologies for not commenting earlier, but we were not aware they had been submitted under this case file. We welcome the latest amendments to the proposed rear addition on the party fence wall but we were hoping for more of a reduction in the overall size of the extension. The amended submission includes a sloping roof in an attempt to minimise the massing on the boundary wall. We do feel that the proposed rear addition is still quite high over the boundary wall and it also extends along the party fence wall beyond existing building line of the Navigator's House. The depth of extension also removes the original splayed footprint which serves the purpose of minimising the impact of the rear extension and without it, would substantially increase the sense of inclusion over Glen cottage further reducing the feeling of openness. If the height and depth of the proposed extension could be reduced this would mitigate the overbearing nature of the proposed rear addition. We do not object to an extension being built, it is just a matter of proportion size, massing and exposure. Our concern is also one of president with ongoing historical development on this side of the wall the size of this rear addition proposal and potential future development over this extension remove further amenity. Additionally, I was unaware of the proposed window in the master bedroom that would've overlooked Green Cottage as no side elevation was included in the drawings submitted. It appears this has been withdrawn from the latest submission and we are grateful for its omission.