



Design and Access Statement

For the

Demolition of an existing garage and the
erection of an orangery/pool house

at

139 Petersham Road

Richmond

London

TW10 7AB

On behalf of

Mr Grant

This Design and Access statement has been prepared as part of a planning application for the demolition of an existing garage and the erection of an orangery/pool house at 139 Petersham Road, Richmond.

The Site and Surroundings

139 Petersham Road is a two storey dwelling house, brick construction with a slate roof.

The property is set within 900 square metres of private domestic curtilage.

There are residential properties to the, south, east and west of the application site with St Peters Church to the north.

The boundaries to the property comprise high walling with mature trees and hedging making the location of the proposed orangery/pool room private and secluded.

The property is not listed but does it fall within the boundaries of the Petersham Conservation Area.



The above is a map (courtesy of Richmond Council) showing the boundaries of the Petersham Conservation Area.




139 Petersham Road is highlighted in red on the above image.

The conservation area is predominantly residential.

Petersham was designated a Conservation Area on 14 January 1969 and later extended on 7 September 1982 and 3 September 2007.

The property is not within the flood plain as demonstrated below.




Planning History

No recent planning history

The Proposal

The proposal is to demolish the existing garage and erect an orangery/pool house in the same location on an extended footprint as demonstrated below.



The proposed orangery/pool house will have a positive effect on the aesthetics of the property. High quality, thoughtful architecture will complement the host dwelling.

The materials will blend in with the existing property and the design detailing is in keeping with and in proportion to that of the host dwelling.

The proposed works do not adversely affect any important architectural or historic features of the property. Nor do they adversely affect the buildings setting. The proposed works are in scale with the host dwelling, being subservient to the main dwelling. The materials ensure that the proposals will blend naturally with the host dwelling.

The choice of materials complements the original dwelling; it does not harm or detract from the original structure of the building but will improve its appearance.

Materials

Proposed

Wall/Base – Brick

Roof – Flat roof – single ply membrane – Sarnafil – incorporating two glazed lantern roof lights

Doors and Windows – Timber - Grey

Compatibility:

The proposed design has been chosen to ensure it is subordinate to the host elevation and not be to the detriment of the host property, the setting of the property or the area in which the property is situated.

The design brick and timber are appropriate for this style of development.

Landscaping

No landscaping proposed

Access

No special access arrangements have been made. The proposal is confined to a domestic dwelling and therefore no provision has been made for any disabled or public access.

Impact on neighbouring properties

The proposed garden room is located to the eastern section of the rear garden of 139 Petersham Road. There is an existing garage (unused) in this location, marked on the submitted block plan. This will be removed from site and be replaced with the proposed orangery/pool room.

Planning Policy

National Planning Policy Context

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was introduced in March 2012 to streamline planning policy at the national level. At the heart of the NPPF is the presumption in favour of sustainable development – the “golden thread” running through the plan making a decision making process. The pursuit of sustainable development includes seeking improvements in the quality of the built environment, natural and historic environment through the gains obtained through the planning system.

The NPPF sets out how Local Planning Authorities should plan positively for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment.

12. Achieving well-designed places

126. The creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and helps make development acceptable to communities. Being clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested, is essential for achieving this. So too is effective engagement between applicants, communities, local planning authorities and other interests throughout the process.

127. Plans should, at the most appropriate level, set out a clear design vision and expectations, so that applicants have as much certainty as possible about what is likely to be acceptable. Design policies should be developed with local communities so they reflect local aspirations, and are grounded in an understanding and evaluation of each area’s defining characteristics. Neighbourhood planning groups can play an important role in identifying the special qualities of each area and explaining how this should be reflected in development, both through their own plans and by engaging in the production of design policy, guidance and codes by local planning authorities and developers.

128. To provide maximum clarity about design expectations at an early stage, all local planning authorities should prepare design guides or codes consistent with the principles set out in the National Design Guide and National Model Design Code, and which reflect local character and design preferences. Design guides and codes provide a local framework for creating beautiful and distinctive places with a consistent and high quality standard of design. Their geographic coverage, level of detail and degree of prescription should be tailored to the circumstances and scale of change in each place, and should allow a suitable degree of variety.

129. Design guides and codes can be prepared at an area-wide, neighbourhood or site-specific scale, and to carry weight in decision-making should be produced either as part of a plan or as supplementary planning documents. Landowners and developers may contribute to these exercises, but may also choose to prepare design codes in support of a planning application

for sites they wish to develop. Whoever prepares them, all guides and codes should be based on effective community engagement and reflect local aspirations for the development of their area, taking into account the guidance contained in the National Design Guide and the National Model Design Code. These national documents should be used to guide decisions on applications in the absence of locally produced design guides or design codes.

130. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments:

(a) will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development;

(b) are visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping;

(c) are sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation or change (such as increased densities);

(d) establish or maintain a strong sense of place, using the arrangement of streets, spaces, building types and materials to create attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live, work and visit;

(e) optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate amount and mix of development (including green and other public space) and support local facilities and transport networks; and

(f) create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users 49 ; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.

131. Trees make an important contribution to the character and quality of urban environments, and can also help mitigate and adapt to climate change. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that new streets are tree-lined 50 , that opportunities are taken to incorporate trees elsewhere in developments (such as parks and community orchards), that appropriate measures are in place to secure the long-term maintenance of newly-planted trees, and that existing trees are retained wherever possible. Applicants and local planning authorities should work with highways officers and tree officers to ensure that the right trees are planted in the right places, and solutions are found that are compatible with highways standards and the needs of different users.

132. Design quality should be considered throughout the evolution and assessment of individual proposals. Early discussion between applicants, the local planning authority and local community about the design and style of emerging schemes is important for clarifying expectations and reconciling local and commercial interests. Applicants should work closely with those affected by their proposals to evolve designs that take account of the views of the

community. Applications that can demonstrate early, proactive and effective engagement with the community should be looked on more favourably than those that cannot.

133. Local planning authorities should ensure that they have access to, and make appropriate use of, tools and processes for assessing and improving the design of development. These include workshops to engage the local community, design advice and review arrangements, and assessment frameworks such as Building for a Healthy Life 51 . These are of most benefit if used as early as possible in the evolution of schemes, and are particularly important for significant projects such as large scale housing and mixed use developments. In assessing applications, local planning authorities should have regard to the outcome from these processes, including any recommendations made by design review panels.

134. Development that is not well designed should be refused, especially where it fails to reflect local design policies and government guidance on design 52 , taking into account any local design guidance and supplementary planning documents which use visual tools such as design guides and codes. Conversely, significant weight should be given to:

(a) development which reflects local design policies and government guidance on design, taking into account any local design guidance and supplementary planning documents which use visual tools such as design guides and codes; and/or

(b) outstanding or innovative designs which promote high levels of sustainability, or help raise the standard of design more generally in an area, so long as they fit in with the overall form and layout of their surroundings.

135. Local planning authorities should seek to ensure that the quality of approved development is not materially diminished between permission and completion, as a result of changes being made to the permitted scheme (for example through changes to approved details such as the materials used).

136. The quality and character of places can suffer when advertisements are poorly sited and designed. A separate consent process within the planning system controls the display of advertisements, which should be operated in a way which is simple, efficient and effective. Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety, taking account of cumulative impacts.

Richmond Planning Policy

Policy LP 1

Local Character and Design Quality

A. The Council will require all development to be of high architectural and urban design quality. The high quality character and heritage of the borough and its villages will need to be maintained and enhanced where opportunities arise. Development proposals will have to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the site and how it relates to its existing context, including character and appearance, and take opportunities to improve the quality and

character of buildings, spaces and the local area.

To ensure development respects, contributes to and enhances the local environment and character, the following will be considered when assessing proposals:

- 1. compatibility with local character including the relationship to existing townscape, development patterns, views, local grain and frontages as well as scale, height, massing, density, landscaping, proportions, form, materials and detailing;*
- 2. sustainable design and construction, including adaptability, subject to aesthetic considerations;*
- 3. layout, siting and access, including making best use of land;*
- 4. space between buildings, relationship of heights to widths and relationship to the public realm, heritage assets and natural features;*
- 5. inclusive design, connectivity, permeability (as such gated developments will not be permitted), natural surveillance and orientation; and*
- 6. suitability and compatibility of uses, taking account of any potential adverse impacts of the collocation of uses through the layout, design and management of the site.*

All proposals, including extensions, alterations and shopfronts, will be assessed against the policies contained within a neighbourhood plan where applicable, and the advice set out in the relevant Village Planning Guidance and other SPDs relating to character and design.

Policy LP 3

Designated Heritage Asset

A. The Council will require development to conserve and, where possible, take opportunities to make a positive contribution to, the historic environment of the borough. Development proposals likely to adversely affect the significance of heritage assets will be assessed against the requirement to seek to avoid harm and the justification for the proposal. The significance (including the settings) of the borough's designated heritage assets, encompassing Conservation Areas, listed buildings, Scheduled Monuments as well as the Registered Historic Parks and Gardens, will be conserved and enhanced by the following means:

- 1. Give great weight to the conservation of the heritage asset when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of the asset.*
- 2. Resist the demolition in whole, or in part, of listed building. Consent for demolition of Grade II listed buildings will only be granted in exceptional circumstances and for Grade II* and Grade I listed buildings in wholly exceptional circumstances following a thorough assessment of the justification for the proposal and the significance of the asset.*

3. Resist the change of use of listed buildings where their significance would be harmed, particularly where the current use contributes to the character of the surrounding area and to its sense of place.

4. Require the retention and preservation of the original structure, layout, architectural features, materials as well as later features of interest within listed buildings, and resist the removal or modification of features that are both internally and externally of architectural importance or that contribute to the significance of the asset.

5. Demolitions (in whole or in part), alterations, extensions and any other modifications to listed buildings should be based on an accurate understanding of the significance of the heritage asset.

6. Require, where appropriate, the reinstatement of internal and external features of special architectural or historic significance within listed buildings, and the removal of internal and external features that harm the significance of the asset, commensurate with the extent of proposed development.

7. Require the use of appropriate materials and techniques and strongly encourage any works or repairs to a designated heritage asset to be carried out in a correct, scholarly manner by appropriate specialists.

8. Protect and enhance the borough's registered Historic Parks and Gardens by ensuring that proposals do not have an adverse effect on their significance, including their setting and/or views to and from the registered landscape.

9. Protect Scheduled Monuments by ensuring proposals do not have an adverse impact on their significance.

B. Resist substantial demolition in Conservation Areas and any changes that could harm heritage assets, unless it can be demonstrated that:

1. in the case of substantial harm or loss to the significance of the heritage asset, it is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss;

2. in the case of less than substantial harm to the significance of the heritage asset, that the public benefits, including securing the optimum viable use, outweigh that harm; or appropriate specialists.

3. Protect and enhance the borough's registered Historic Parks and Gardens by ensuring that proposals do not have an adverse effect on their significance, including their setting and/or views to and from the registered landscape.

4. Protect Scheduled Monuments by ensuring proposals do not have an adverse impact on their significance.

Policy LP 8

Amenity and Living Conditions

All development will be required to protect the amenity and living conditions for occupants of new, existing, adjoining and neighbouring properties. The Council will:

- 1. ensure the design and layout of buildings enables good standards of daylight and sunlight to be achieved in new development and in existing properties affected by new development; where existing daylight and sunlight conditions are already substandard, they should be improved where possible;*
- 2. ensure balconies do not raise unacceptable overlooking or noise or disturbance to nearby occupiers; height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure;*
- 3. ensure that proposals are not visually intrusive or have an overbearing impact as a result of their height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure;*
- 4. ensure there is no harm to the reasonable enjoyment of the use of buildings, gardens and other spaces due to increases in traffic, servicing, parking, noise, light, disturbance, air pollution, odours or vibration or local micro-climatic effects.*

London Plan

Policy D4 Delivering good design

Design analysis and development certainty

A Masterplans and design codes should be used to help bring forward development and ensure it delivers high quality design and place-making based on the requirements set out in Part B of Policy D3 Optimising site capacity through the design-led approach.

B Where appropriate, visual, environmental and movement modelling/assessments should be undertaken to analyse potential design options for an area, site or development proposal. These models, particularly 3D virtual reality and other interactive digital models, should, where possible, be used to inform plan-making and decision-taking, and to engage Londoners in the planning process.

Design scrutiny

C Design and access statements submitted with development proposals should demonstrate that the proposal meets the design requirements of the London Plan.

D The design of development proposals should be thoroughly scrutinised by borough planning, urban design, and conservation officers, utilising the analytical tools set out in Part B, local evidence, and expert advice where appropriate. In addition, boroughs and applicants should make use of the design review process to assess and inform design options early in the planning process. Development proposals referable to the Mayor must have undergone

at least one design review early on in their preparation before a planning application is made, or demonstrate that they have undergone a local borough process of design scrutiny, based on the principles set out in

Part E if they:

- 1) include a residential component that exceeds 350 units per hectare; or*
- 2) propose a building defined as a tall building by the borough (see Policy D9 Tall buildings), or that is more than 30m in height where there is no local definition of a tall building*

E The format of design reviews for any development should be agreed with the borough and comply with the Mayor's guidance on review principles, process and management, ensuring that:

- 1) design reviews are carried out transparently by independent experts in relevant disciplines*
- 2) design review comments are mindful of the wider policy context and focus on interpreting policy for the specific scheme*
- 3) where a scheme is reviewed more than once, subsequent design reviews reference and build on the recommendations of previous design reviews*
- 4) design review recommendations are appropriately recorded and communicated to officers and decision makers*
- 5) schemes show how they have considered and addressed the design review recommendations*
- 6) planning decisions demonstrate how design review has been addressed.*

Maintaining design quality

F The design quality of development should be retained through to completion by:

- 1) ensuring maximum detail appropriate for the design stage is provided to avoid the need for later design amendments and to ensure scheme quality is not adversely affected by later decisions on construction, materials, landscaping details or minor alterations to layout or form of the development*
- 2) ensuring the wording of the planning permission, and associated conditions and legal agreement, provide clarity regarding the quality of design*
- 3) avoiding deferring the assessment of the design quality of large elements of a development to the consideration of a planning condition or referred matter*
- 4) local planning authorities considering conditioning the ongoing involvement of the original design team to monitor the design quality of a development through to completion*

The aim in making the proposed alteration is to conserve the house as a family home for the 21st Century.

The proposed orangery/pool room will:-

- be aesthetically pleasing;
- cause minimal harm;

We believe that the proposed orangery/pool room satisfies the requirements set out in the planning policies. The proposals will not detract from the host dwelling nor the surrounding area. They will enhance the property by virtue of good design and detailing and the sympathetic use of materials.

The proposed orangery/pool room will result in an improvement in the quality of the residential amenity for the applicant and will not impact negatively on the visual appearance of the site or residential amenity of neighbouring properties. The proposed structure is well proportioned in comparison with the host dwelling and sits comfortably within the site.

The application property is a family home; the character and setting of the property will not be harmed by the proposed works, only improved

The proposal is in keeping with the character of the building, the timber, lightweight glazed appearance being typical of a small scale residential garden structure. The materials used are chosen carefully to compliment the host dwelling and will therefore not appear visually intrusive in the landscape.

This relatively small one storey proposal with its timber, brick and glazed appearance has been chosen in part, so as not to adversely affect the amenity of the occupants of any neighbouring property.

The proposal would not have any significant adverse effect on the fabric of the host dwelling and the wider area. The scale, size and sympathetic choice of materials as well as the high quality design ensure that the proposal would not be detrimental to the character of the host dwelling nor the surrounding area.

The design has been carefully considered to respect the existing building and its setting. It is perceived to be of minimal impact whilst providing significant improvements to meet client expectations.

We consider the proposed orangery/pool room has sufficient integrity to contribute to the amenity of 139 Petersham Road Road without detracting away from the beauty and character or conflicting visually or technically to the existing property.

Conclusion

As the owner of this property Mr Grant is keen to make certain changes to enhance their enjoyment of this area. The applicant also wants to ensure that when the work is completed, the finished project must be high quality. Mr Grant is also keen to avoid having a structure that did not respect & reflect the current building design. It was also important to design a structure that would have the minimum impact upon their neighbours. In conclusion we feel that the brief has been fully met.