



# ROAVR | GROUP

**Project:** 24\_5837\_06\_04  
**Site:** 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx, TW12 1EQ  
**Client:** The London Tree Company



*This Report is the copyright of Woodland Solutions (Northern) LTD t/a ROAVR Group.  
Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.*

<b>Document Title:</b>	Tree Survey & Arboricultural Impact Assessment
<b>Document Author:</b>	Peter Haine FDSc Arb, MArborA
<b>Project Title:</b>	151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx, TW12 1EQ

### Revision History.

Date:	Version number:	Summary of changes:
18/06/2024	1.0	First Draft
26/06/2024	1.0	First Issue

### Distribution.

Approved by:	Signature	Date:	Version:
Matt Harmsworth	MWH	26/06/2024	1.0
Debbie Sirkett	DS	26/06/2024	1.0
Max Shaw	MS	27/06/2024	Reviewed before issue.

### Re-Survey Date.

Survey Type:	Lifecycle:	Re-survey Date:
BS5837: 2012	Planning Only	n/a

FAO: Debbie Sirkett

eMail: [debbie@thelondontreecompany.co.uk](mailto:debbie@thelondontreecompany.co.uk)

## Summary:

This is a BS5837 compliant arboricultural assessment report providing detailed and sufficient information for the Local Planning Authority to be able to consider the effect of the proposed development on local character and amenity from a tree perspective.

Our brief has been to obtain details of the tree population on site with a view to assessing any arboricultural constraints.

This report was commissioned in relation to the proposed development at 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx, TW12 1EQ.

The report details all trees over 75mm at 1.5m above ground level that are relevant to the siting of the proposed development. The position of the trees on the site is illustrated on the tree constraints plan and information about the tree stock and its current condition is given within the arboricultural data tables.

It will assist the planning process by discussing the impact that the proposals will have on the existing tree stock.

An Arboricultural Impact Assessment is included at Section 4 which details the constraints placed on the proposed development from the rooting area of the trees below ground and above ground by virtue of their size and position.

---

### *Report Author.*

*ROAVR (ROAVR Group) was formed in 2010 and since then has carried out arboricultural consultancy Nationwide with directly employed consultants. Our consultants are all individual members of the Arboricultural Association and the report author is listed in the document control sheet.*

## Validation Statement for the Local Planning Authority.

This report includes the following for LPA validation purposes:

- A **tree survey and tree constraints plan** showing the existing trees, their category rating and above and below ground constraints shown on an OS extract OR a topographical survey
- An **arboricultural impact assessment** which describes how the development will affect local character from a tree perspective
- An **arboricultural method statement** describing tree protection measures and implementation strategy
- An **appendices** highlighting tree related information including the **arboricultural data tables**

## Customer Action Points.

- Reporting complete - send to your Local Planning Authority
- On planning award contact us with your decision notice

## Table of Contents

1. Scope
2. Site Conditions & Site Surroundings
3. Drawings
4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment - Site Specific

Appendix 1 – Site Location

Appendix 2 – Arboricultural Data Tables

Appendix 3 - Tree Plans

## Tree Survey & Arboricultural Impact Assessment to BS 5837 2012 of trees at:

151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx, TW12 1EQ.

### 1 Scope

- 1.1 We have recently been instructed to undertake an appraisal of mature tree cover at 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx, TW12 1EQ.
- 1.2 The data was collected to the British Standard BS5837 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations' 2012.
- 1.3 The survey has been commissioned to offer guidance on the arboricultural constraints with a view to the future development of the site.
- 1.4 The trees were inspected on the 13th June 2024 following the guidance in the British Standard by ROAVR. The crowns and stems were inspected from the ground using the 'Visual Tree Assessment (VTA)' method; non invasive techniques were used at this stage. Although a sounding hammer was used to determine the presence of any decay.
- 1.5 The site was assessed and data was collected on all woody vegetation falling within the scope of the British Standard. Trees were grouped or designated woodlands as per the allowance in the British Standard when the area in question was uniform in terms of species, age or geography.



## Photographic Plates.



Photographic plate showing the proposed build area to the south of 151-157 Rectory Grove. (ROAVR, 2024)



Photographic plate showing H1. (ROAVR, 2024)





Photographic plate showing T1 and T2. (ROAVR, 2024)



Photographic plate showing T3. (ROAVR, 2024)



## 2. Site Conditions & Site Surroundings

- 2.1 The site is situated in Hampton in the London Borough of Richmond-Upon-Thames Council control area. The site is located on the north side of the town and has a suburban feel.
- 2.2 The site is home to a parking area with associated hard and soft landscape.
- 2.3 The wider locality is predominantly residential. The site is accessed via Rectory Grove.
- 2.4 A desktop assessment has highlighted that site is not within a Conservation Area but has failed to establish whether there are any TPO protected trees on or adjacent to the plot.
- 2.5 All desktop assessment data was cross checked and validated on the 18/06/2024 using the web portal provided by the local planning authority.

[https://www.richmond.gov.uk/services/planning/protected\\_trees/tree\\_preservation\\_orders](https://www.richmond.gov.uk/services/planning/protected_trees/tree_preservation_orders)

[https://mapping.richmond.gov.uk/map/Aurora.svc/run?script=%5CAurora%5Cpublic\\_Richmond.AuroraScript%24&nocache=e1f776ab-9778-f492-7bc0-77311fa86c88&esize=always&show\\_layers=LBR\\_CA](https://mapping.richmond.gov.uk/map/Aurora.svc/run?script=%5CAurora%5Cpublic_Richmond.AuroraScript%24&nocache=e1f776ab-9778-f492-7bc0-77311fa86c88&esize=always&show_layers=LBR_CA)




Image plate showing the desktop analysis results of the surveyed plot.

(London Borough of Richmond-Upon-Thames Council, 2024)

- 2.6 Works to protected trees require consent from the local planning authority. In the case of TPO's an application must be made. In the case of conservation areas a notification must be made. TPO applications take up to eight weeks, conservation area notifications take six weeks.
- 2.7 Certain exemptions apply; for example the removal of deadwood. In the case of dangerous trees 5-days written notice should be given to the local authority (in the cases of immediate danger the work should proceed, but the local authority contacted as soon as possible afterwards) with the works evidenced by photographs and video where possible. You should also check to ensure the works are exempt from the requirements of a felling licence.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2012/605/regulation/14/made>

- 2.8 It should be noted that planning consent overrides protected trees, where the works or removal are necessary for development to proceed and have been highlighted in the tree survey documents.
- 2.9 Bats. Under current legislation it is an offence to 'intentionally or recklessly disturb a bat' or 'damage, destroy or block access to the resting place of any bat'. For further details consultation must be made with the Statutory Nature Conservancy Organisation. Where relevant any current ecological surveys for the site will take precedence in this matter. Trees provide numerous 'potential roosting features' for a wide range of bat species. It is therefore crucial that any trees proposed for removal are checked by an appropriately competent person before any felling or ivy stripping works commence.

<https://www.bats.org.uk/advice/bats-and-the-law>

- 2.10 Birds. It is an offence to kill, injure or take any wild bird; or take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while it is in use or being built. Therefore work likely to disturb nesting birds must be avoided from late March to August. All birds, their nest and eggs are protected by law.

<https://www.rspb.org.uk/birds-and-wildlife/advice/wildlife-and-the-law/wildlife-and-countryside-act/>

### 3. Drawings

- 3.1 Appended to this report is a tree constraints plan, tree assessment plan and a tree protection plan.
- 3.2 The tree constraints plan has been produced using an OS supplied .dwg (AutoCAD) base plan as no topographical survey was available. Tree positions and data have been applied using our survey handset as an onsite exercise with the constraints plan being produced as a PDF through Auto CAD.
- 3.3 An autoCAD .dwg file of the tree constraints is available on request for project stakeholders to utilise.
- 3.4 The *Tree Constraints Plan* shows the existing layout. For each tree the stem location is indicated and scaled according to its diameter, the canopy is indicated according to measurements taken along the four cardinal points of the compass. Root protection areas (RPAs) are indicated which are calculated according to the guidelines within BS 5837 (2012).
- 3.5 Where appropriate, the shapes of the RPAs have been amended to reflect actual site conditions or where trees have been heavily pruned. The 'original' RPAs are indicated as a dashed line whereas the amended RPAs are indicated as a solid line. Any variation to this approach will be highlighted on the appropriate plans.
- 3.6 The *Tree Assessment Plan / Arboricultural Impact Assessment* indicates the tree constraints with the proposals overlaid. Where applicable, this plan shows where works are proposed in Root Protection Areas and which trees are to be pruned or removed. This plan accompanies the Impact Assessment which is to be found in Section 4.
- 3.7 The *Tree Protection Plan (if applicable)* shows the protection measures that are to be installed during the construction phase. This plan accompanies the Method Statement which is appended to this tree survey and AIA.

## 4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment - Site Specific

### Tree Quality Statement.

The tree cover at the site consists of formally trimmed Laurel hedgerow, one larger Laurel which is likely to be a lapsed stem from within the hedgerow, and some off site garden ornamentals. The hedgerows have some screening value, the trees have little arboricultural merit but some ornamental value.

### 4.1 Description of The Proposed Development

*The drawings listed in the table below were used by ROAVR to produce the Arboricultural drawings referenced in this report. If your plans change (either before or after planning submission), then the tree drawings will require updating. This report cannot be submitted in support of a scheme that varies from the drawing reference number shown in box one below as the Impact Assessment (Section 4) will not be valid.*

Drawing Name / No.	Date Issued To ROAVR	ROAVR Drawings Issue Date:
2251-02A PROPOSED GARAGE	05/06/2024	21/06/2024

4.1.1. It is proposed to construct a garage, replace some existing tarmac surface with a porous tarmac surface, install solar panels, battery storage, an electric vehicle charging point, and a rainwater butt. The existing kerbs and timber fencing are to be retained.

4.1.2. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

### Trees Potentially Affected:

Tree or Tree Group	Impacts
Tree T1	Existing hard surface and proposed porous surface within root protection area - see section 4.6
Tree T2	Requires a crown reduction in lateral spread only, to the north of its canopy only, by 0.6 metres
Tree T3	Proposed garage within radial root protection area - see section 4.5 Proposed garage within canopy spread - see section 4.4 and 4.14
Hedgerow H1	Proposed garage close to canopy - see section 4.4
Hedgerow H2	No direct impacts, can be retained and protected

4.1.3. The appended AMS specifies the measures proposed to minimise all possible potential risks of damage to the retained trees.



## 4.2. Tree Removal.

4.2.1. No trees are to be removed to facilitate the proposals.

## 4.3. Mitigation Planting.

4.3.1. No mitigation planting is necessary.

## 4.4. Impact on Tree Canopies.

4.4.1. Tree T2 will require pruning back to the boundary fence to facilitate the proposed garage.

4.4.2. Tree T3 is likely a lapsed stem from within the Laurel hedgerows, it can be reduced back into the hedgerow and managed as part of the formally trimmed hedge in future. Laurel are very tolerant of hard pruning and the required pruning work will not be detrimental in the long term.

4.4.3. Hedgerow H1 will require trimming back to facilitate construction works.

4.4.4. All pruning works are specified in the appended arboricultural method statement.

## 4.5. Impact on Tree Roots.

4.5.1. The proposed area of porous tarmac will be within the radial root protection area of T1. If T1 has any roots in this area the change from solid surface to a permeable one will be beneficial for the tree.

4.5.2. The radial root protection area of tree T3 extends into the area proposed for the new garage, however due to the existing tarmac surface in this area it is unlikely that the tree has significant root mass in this area, and will have naturally developed a more extensive rooting system in the better ground to the west and north. The pruning work proposed will compensate for any lost rooting area in any case.

## 4.6. New Surfaces.

4.6.1. No new hard surfaces are proposed within the Root Protection Areas of any trees.

#### 4.7. Underground Services.

4.7.1. No underground services are to be installed through any Root Protection Areas.

#### 4.8 Changes in Ground Levels.

4.8.1 No changes in ground levels are proposed.

#### 4.9 Soil Compaction.

4.9.1 The majority of tree roots lie within the upper soil horizons. This is because the availability of oxygen decreases with depth and roots need to breathe to stay alive. In addition, nutrients are more readily available in the form of organic matter close to the soil surface.

4.9.2. Healthy soils contain about 25% air space between solid particles. Increased loading of the soils caused by construction activity causes air to be squeezed out as the soil becomes compacted preventing roots from breathing. Even an increase in pedestrian activity may cause some soil compaction.

4.9.3 It is important therefore that ground compaction and soil disturbance over Root Protection Areas should be avoided during the construction phase. This may be done by installing protective fencing and ground protection measures as recommended within a tree protection plan.

#### 4.10 Demolition Activities.

4.10.1 The tree protection measures specified within a TPP should be installed prior to the commencement of all demolition activities (including soil stripping) to prevent any detrimental impact on tree health. Where this is not practicable, demolition of structures within Construction Exclusion Zones shall be undertaken very early on in the demolition phase and the protective barriers installed immediately thereafter.

#### 4.11. Hazardous Materials.

4.11.1 All hazardous materials (including cement and petrochemical products) will need to be controlled according to COSHH regulations in order to ensure there is no detrimental impact on tree health. Provision shall need to be made to ensure that cement and cement run-off are contained outside of all Root Protection Areas.

#### 4.12. Cabins and Site Facilities.

4.12.1. Consideration should be given to the location of any site welfare facilities in terms of potential impact on trees. Where it is proposed to install cabins or site facilities in Root Protection Areas, the appointed arborist should be consulted and approval obtained from the local authority.

#### 4.13. Boundary Treatments.

4.13.1. No changes are proposed to the existing boundary features that might impact on trees.

#### 4.14. Impact of Retained Trees on the Development.

4.14.1. The proposed garage will be located close to the canopy of tree T2, however this is an early mature tree and can be encouraged to develop a canopy which does not encroach the building with the use of formative pruning.

4.14.2. The hedgerows are also close to the proposed garage, however they are regularly trimmed formal hedgerows and the new development will not increase the pressure for pruning.

#### 4.15. Summary.

4.15.1. It is proposed to construct a garage, replace some existing tarmac surface with a porous tarmac surface, install solar panels, battery storage, an electric vehicle charging point, and a rainwater butt. The existing kerbs and timber fencing are to be retained.

The proposals will encroach into the radial root protection areas of two trees, however due to the existing tarmac surface it is unlikely that the trees have significant roots mass in this area.

Some formative pruning will be required, and the hedgerows will require their formal trimming regime to be continued.

## Appendix: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to design, demolition and construction to form balanced judgements.

It acknowledges the positive contribution trees may offer to a site, as well as the negative aspects of retaining inappropriate trees. It addresses the negative impacts that construction activity may have upon trees and offers mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts.

The Standard suggests a three stage approach to ensure best practice is followed when developing close to trees:

### Stage 1: Survey Details and Notes

A ground level visual survey was undertaken. No climbing inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it, were included.

Where applicable, trees with significant defects have been highlighted and appropriate remedial works have been recommended. However, this report should not be seen as a substitute for a full Safety Survey or Management Plan which are specifically designed to minimise risk and liability associated with responsibility for trees.

Wherever practicable dimensions were obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees of privately owned third parties are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.



## Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have upon the proposal.

The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees and will offer advice in relation to the best chances of success at planning.

## Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. Many trees get damaged on development sites due to the AMS being overly complicated or unreadable from the perspective of practical implementation.

The site manager must be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which are relevant to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.

## Appendix: Survey Methodology

Ground level visual surveys are carried out using the Visual Tree Assessment technique described by Mattheck and Broeler (1994) and endorsed by the Arboricultural Association (LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection course, 2007).

Structural condition is assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches from all angles looking for weak branch junctions or symptoms of decay. Particular attention is paid to the stem- base. Cavities are explored using a metal probe in order to assess the extent of any decay. If this is not possible further inspection is recommended in the form of a climbing inspection or using specialist decay detection equipment.

The physiological condition is assessed by inspecting the stem, branches and foliage for symptoms of disease. The overall vigour of the tree is also taken into account.

Where significant defects are observed, recommendations are made according to a scale of priority in order to reduce the likelihood of structural failure. The position of the tree and its potential targets are taken into account.

Measurements are obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and loggers tape.

Where this is not practical measurements are estimated.

Some trees are surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.

Document Title:	Arboricultural Method Statement
Document Author:	Peter Haine FDS Arb, MArborA
Customer Name:	The London Tree Company
Project Title:	151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middx TW12 1EQ

### Revision History.

Date:	Version number:	Summary of changes:
26/06/2024	1.0	First Draft
26/06/2024	1.0	Final Issue

### Distribution.

Approved by:	Signature	Date:	Version:
Matt Harmsworth	MWH	26/06/2024	1.0
Debbie Sirkett	DS	26/06/2024	1.0
Max Shaw	MS	27/06/2024	

**THIS DOCUMENT IS AN ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT AND IS SUPPORTED BY A TREE PROTECTION PLAN. IT IS A WORKING DOCUMENT & MUST BE BRIEFED ON SITE TO THE SITE MANAGER BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCE. OFTEN AN AMS IS CONDITIONED ON PLANNING CONSENT AND BECOMES A LEGALLY BINDING DOCUMENT.**

**You Must Contact Us On Planning Consent To Setup Clerk of Works Requirements 01463 667 302**

1. Method Statement [Introduction and Overview]
  - Restrictions on Activities – Specific Zones
  - Restrictions on Activities – Throughout the Site
2. Site Inspection
  - Example ACoW sheet.
3. Tree Works Schedule
  - Tree Works Specification
4. Tree Protection Barriers Detailed Specification
  - Tree Protection Fencing
  - Plywood Boxes. [If Shown On TPP]
5. Ground Protection Measures Detailed Specification
6. New Surfaces Detailed Specification
  - Resurfacing an Existing Hard Surface
7. Limitations
  - Appendix 1 – Site Location
  - Appendix 2 – Arboricultural Data Tables
  - Appendix 3 – Arboricultural Plans



## 1. Method Statement [Introduction and Overview]

### 1.1. Definition of Terms

Some terms used within the Arboricultural Method Statement have very specific meanings. These are defined below:

Root Protection Area (RPA). This is a theoretical area of ground around a tree where the roots are likely to proliferate. Ground disturbance in this area should be minimised in order to avoid significant impact on tree health. RPAs are indicated on all plans accompanying this report as a red or pink line.

Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ). These zones are created to protect roots and canopies from inadvertent damage by construction activity. They are usually fenced off by protective barriers throughout the entire construction phase. No works are permitted in these zones other than minor landscaping works which do not require a change in ground level. Where practicable the entire Root Protection Area and the area beneath the tree canopy shall be treated as a Construction Exclusion Zone. These zones are shown on the Tree Protection Plan.

Restricted Activity Zone (RAZ). It is not always possible to create a Construction Exclusion Zone over the entire RPA. This is because access may be required or some works may be proposed within the RPA. In such circumstances a Restricted Activity Zone is created where limitations are placed on construction activity. Ground protection measures may be specified or the Restricted Activity Zone may be fenced off throughout part of the construction phase. See the legend on the Tree Protection Plan to identify these zones.

### 1.2. Tree Protection Barriers - Overview

The Tree Protection Plan indicates the location of all proposed tree protection barriers.

The barriers shall be installed prior to the commencement of any localised construction activity including soil stripping and delivery of materials. A detailed specification of the barriers can be found in sections below.

The tree protection plan also indicates where ground protection measures shall be installed / maintained as specified in sections 1.7 onwards (Restricted Activity Zones).

### 1.3. Planning Status

Tree protection measures specified within this report should be agreed with the local authority so that they may be conditioned upon planning consent.

The site manager must be familiar with all aspects of this Method Statement and should liaise with the author of this report for clarification, or regarding any unforeseen issues where trees may be impacted upon.

A copy of this Method Statement shall be available on-site at all times. All personnel working on the site shall be made aware of any sections appertaining to their work. This includes short term contractors and persons responsible for deliveries and installation of services.

### 1.4. Overview of Protection Measures

Below is a summary of the proposed protection measures:

Tree no.	Protection Measures	Timeline
T1	Retain and protect with existing boundary fence and hard surface	Pre-start
T2	Prune as specified in section 3, then retain and protect with existing boundary fence and hard surface	Pre-start
T3	Prune as specified in section 3, then retain and protect with HERAS fence and hard surface	Pre-start
H1	Carry out annual trimming, then retain and protect with HERAS fence and hard surface	Pre-start
H2	Retain and protect with HERAS fencing	Pre-start

The above measures are described in more detail throughout the remainder of this section.

## 1.5. Timing of Operations

Activity within the site shall be phased according to the following chronology:

<i>Order Activity</i>	<i>Phase</i>	Phase Name	Works required
1st Phase		Pre-construction phase	Undertake a pre-start meeting with the builder, client and ACoW
2nd Phase		Protection phase	Install HERAS tree protection fencing and signage as highlighted on the TPP
3rd Phase		Ground Protection	None required
4th Phase		Construction phase	Construction works commence with regular ACoW visits
5th Phase		Post Construction Phase	Remove tree protection measures and carryout any remedial works such as alleviation and radial mulching

## Restrictions on Activities – Specific Zones

### 1.6. Construction Exclusion Zones

Within Construction Exclusion Zones (shaded purple on the Tree Protection Plan) the following restrictions shall apply:

*Tree Protection Barriers shall be erected and maintained throughout the entire project as indicated on the Tree Protection Plan and specified in Section 4 - Tree Protection Barriers.*

*No construction activity whatsoever shall occur.*

*No vehicles or plant machinery shall be driven or parked.*

*No tree works, other than those specified in this report shall be undertaken.*

*No alterations of ground levels or conditions.*

*No chemicals or cement washings permitted.*

*No excavation whatsoever shall occur.*

*No temporary structures.*

*No spoil shall be stored.*

*No fires shall be permitted.*

*All hazardous materials (including non-essential cement products) shall be forbidden.*

Where hard surfaces are to be removed, this shall be done using hand tools or mechanical excavators operating from outside the Construction Exclusion Zone and marshalled by the appointed arborist.

Any structures shall be removed manually and without mechanical excavation.

## 1.7. Restricted Activity Zones

Within these zones (indicated on the Tree Protection Plan) tree roots may be present. Access will be required to facilitate construction and some resurfacing works may be required. The following restrictions shall apply:

*Any resurfacing shall be done strictly in accordance with the Guidelines in APN12 New Surfaces.*

*Removal of existing structures such as walls, steps and hard surfaces shall be undertaken using hand tools or a mechanical excavator operating from outside the Restricted Activity Zone and carefully marshalled by an appointed arborist.*

*The existing tarmac hard surface shall be retained and used as ground protection until it is due to be removed and replaced with a porous surface, or the concrete slab for the garage.*

*No excavation shall occur below the tarmac and any associated sub base in the area proposed for porous tarmac.*

*Storage of materials shall be limited to that which is required for the task in hand. Heavy materials that require storage for more than two days shall be stored outside the Restricted Zone.*

*No spoil shall be stored.*

*No fires shall be permitted.*

*All hazardous materials (including non-essential cement products) shall be forbidden.*

## Restrictions on Activities – Throughout the Site

### 1.8. Canopy Protection

In order to protect tree canopies the following restrictions shall apply throughout the site:

*No machinery shall pass beneath the crowns of trees without being carefully marshalled in order to ensure that no branches are damaged.*

*If materials require installation or delivery beneath tree canopies, this shall be done without the use of overhead cranes.*

*If materials are to be installed or delivered close to tree canopies (but not beneath them) and a crane is required, they shall be carefully marshalled in order to ensure that branches are not accidentally damaged.*

### 1.9. Fencing.

Where fence posts are to be installed within Root Protection Areas, the following restrictions shall apply:

*All post holes shall be excavated by hand and kept as narrow as possible (maximum diameter 300mm).*

*Exploratory post holes shall be dug before committing to post / panel positions. If any roots in excess of 25mm are encountered they are to remain intact and the post hole shall be relocated slightly. The fencing system must permit such flexibility (i.e. where fixed panel widths are used, all post holes must be excavated before committing to the final location).*

*Any roots in excess of 10mm which are severed shall be neatly pruned back with secateurs. This will encourage healing and reduce the likelihood of infection.*

Hedges may be planted within Root Protection Areas using hand tools to minimise excavation.

### 1.10. Demolition and Initial Ground Works

No demolition, removal of surfaces, or soil stripping shall commence until the protective fencing and ground protection measures are installed to the satisfaction of the local authority.



### 1.11. Underground Services

No underground services (including soak-aways) shall be located in any part of the Construction Exclusion Zones or Restricted Activity Zones.

### 1.12. Use of Heavy Plant

All machinery operatives are to be made aware of any Construction Exclusion Zones and Restricted Activity Zones that apply to this site (see the Tree Protection Plan).

All machinery operatives are to respect these zones and ensure that no damage occurs to trees due to the careless use of machinery.

Mechanical excavators should have tracks rather than wheels to help spread their load. They should be carefully marshalled when working close to tree canopies.

### 1.13. Scaffolding

If scaffolding is required in areas containing ground protection measures, the protective boards shall need to remain in-situ and be strengthened and stabilised to bear the weight of scaffold poles.

Prior to the installation of any scaffolding within 0.5m of any tree branches, the appointed arborist shall be consulted to specify any pruning works that may be required.

### 1.14. Siting of Cabins and Storage of Materials

Cabins and heavy building materials may be located or stored anywhere outside of Construction Exclusion Zones and Restricted Activity Zones.

Any proposal to install cabins or materials within these zones shall be agreed in writing with the local authority prior to installation.

It may be acceptable to locate site cabins such that they act as a tree protection barrier and replace the specified protective fencing. Where this is being considered, written approval must be sought from the local authority.

### 1.15. Hazardous Materials

Any mixing of cement based materials shall take place outside the Construction Exclusion Zones and Restricted Activity Zones. Where cement is to be mixed on sturdy plastic sheeting e.g 1200 gauge DPM considerable distances from trees and water run-off cannot enter Root Protection Areas.

All other chemicals hazardous to tree health, including petrol and diesel, shall be stored in suitable containers as specified by current COSHH Regulations, and kept away from Root Protection Areas.

### 1.16. Removal of Tree Protection Barriers

This will be done after all major construction work is complete. Vehicular access will not be permitted within the Construction Exclusion Zones.

The local authority tree officer shall be made aware that the fencing is to be removed.

## 2. Site Inspection

### 2.1. Inspection Schedule

In order to ensure that the trees are adequately protected it shall be necessary to periodically monitor the works. This will be done by the local authority tree officer or an appointed arborist (Arboricultural Clerk of Works) who will provide the tree officer with a copy of inspection details.

<i>Order Activity</i>	<i>Phase</i>	Phase Name	Works required
1st Phase		Pre-construction phase	Pre-start ACoW visit with all interested stakeholders
2nd Phase		Protection phase	ACoW visit to sign off tree protection measures
3rd Phase		Ground Protection	None required
4th Phase		Construction phase	Monthly ACoW visits
5th Phase		Post Construction Phase	ACoW visit to supervise removal of protection measures and final site sign off.

Example ACoW sheet.



Woodland Solutions (Northern) Ltd t/a ROAVR Group  
The Green House  
Beechwood Business Park North  
Inverness  
IV2 3BL  
www.roavr-group.co.uk  
T: 01463 667302

SITE SUPERVISION FORM - ARBORICULTURAL  
CLERK OF WORKS

DATE	
CLIENT	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	
E-MAIL	

**TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR THE PROVISION OF ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANCY**

Site:	
Inspected by:	
Site Manager:	
Date of Inspection:	

**Tree Protection Fencing.**

Comments/Actions:

**Ground Protection.**

Comments/Actions:

**Additional Comments.**

Remarks:

I am aware of the tree protection requirements for this site and understand no retained trees must be damaged.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Company: \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. Tree Works Schedule

#### Tree Works Specification

3.1.1. The following table specifies the tree works which will be required prior to the commencement of construction activity:

<i>Tree no.</i>	Works Required	Phase Timing
T2	Crown reduce lateral spread by 0.6 metres to north of canopy only.	Pre-start
T3	Reduce in height and spread back into hedgerow	Pre-start
H1	Carry out annual trimming to south and east faces around proposed garage site	Pre-start


## 4. Tree Protection Barriers Detailed Specification

### Tree Protection Fencing

The purpose of tree protection barriers is to keep construction activity away from Restricted Activity Zones or Construction Exclusion Zones. They should be appropriate to the nature and proximity of activity within the site. The barriers should be erected prior to the commencement of all activity including demolition, soil stripping and delivery of materials and demolition (except where existing structures require demolition to enable the barriers to be installed).

Barrier systems are specified below and should be installed according to the legend on the Tree Protection Plan.

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems





Suitable weather-proof notices should be displayed to identify tree protection zones. They should state the purpose of the fencing and that it should not be moved, or traversed, other than by authorised personnel.



Example signage.

## Appendix: Further Information

### Building Near Trees – General

National Joint Utilities Group publication # 10 (1995), Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees. Downloadable at [www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf](http://www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf)

NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2., Trees and Buildings.

Horticulture LINK project 212. (University of Cambridge, 2004), Controlling Water Use of Trees to Alleviate Subsidence Risk. Tree Planting and aftercare see [www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php#](http://www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php#) for downloadable leaflets on selecting a garden tree, planting, aftercare and veteran tree management.

British Standards BS 5837: 2012. Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations. Bs 3998: 2010.

Recommendations for Tree Work. BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 1: Specification for Trees and Shrubs. BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 10:

Specification for Ground Cover Plants. BS 4043: 1989. Transplanting Root-balled Trees. BS 8004: 1986. Foundations. BS 8103: 1995.

Structural design of Low-Rise Buildings. BS 8206: 1992. Lighting for Buildings.

BS 8545:2014. Trees: From nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations

BS 3882: 2007. Topsoil. BS 4428: 1989. General Landscaping Operations (excluding hard surfaces). Permission to do Works to Protected Trees / Tree Law Forestry Commission (Edinburgh, 2003), Tree Felling – Getting Permission. Country Services Division - Forestry Commission. Downloadable at [www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf/\\$FILE/wgsfell.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf/$FILE/wgsfell.pdf)

Transport and the Regions (Department of the Environment, 2000), Tree Preservation Orders, A Guide to the Law and Good Practice. Downloadable at [www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide](http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide)

C. Mynors, The Law of Trees, Forests and Hedgerows (Sweet and Maxwell, London, 2002)

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from:

<http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/Lighting Levels>

P.J. Littlefair, B.R.E. 209: Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight A guide to good practice. B.R.E. Bookshop, London.

British Standards Institution. Code of practice for daylighting. British Standard BS 8206: Part 2 (1992).

Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers. Applications manual: Window Design (London, 1987).

NBA Tectonics. A study of passive solar housing estate layout. ETSU Report S-1126. Harwell, Energy Technology Support Unit (1988).

I.P. Duncan; D. Hawkes, Passive solar design in non-domestic buildings. ETSU Report S-1110. Harwell, Energy Technology. P. J. Littlefair, Measuring Daylight, BRE Information Paper 23/93 f3.50. (Advises on measuring daylight under the real sky or an artificial sky, allowing for the changing nature of sky light).

High Hedges Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from:

<http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/Tree Specific>

### Websites

[www.trees.org.uk](http://www.trees.org.uk) Arboricultural Association [www.rfs.co.uk](http://www.rfs.co.uk) Royal Forestry Society of England, Wales and N. Ireland

[www.treehelp.info](http://www.treehelp.info) The Tree Advice Trust

[www.woodland-trust.org.uk](http://www.woodland-trust.org.uk) The Woodland Trust [www.treecouncil.org.uk](http://www.treecouncil.org.uk) The Tree Council

[www.go-roavr.co.uk](http://www.go-roavr.co.uk) - portal for booking tree surveys UK wide.

## 5. Limitations

- 5.1 ROAVR has prepared this Report for the sole use of the above named Client/Agent in accordance with our terms of business, under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Report or any other services provided by us.
- 5.2 This Report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of ROAVR. The assessments made assume that the land use will continue for their current purpose without significant change. ROAVR has not independently verified information obtained from third parties.
- 5.3 This report, video walkthrough, data tables and raw data remain the copyright of ROAVR until such time as any monies owed are settled in full and the report may be withdrawn at any time.
- 5.4 This report, site visit, plans and conclusions are proportional to the proposals and in some cases a simple plan based impact assessment may be all that is required.
- 5.5 Important - to ensure fair allocation of resources, we allow you ten working days to review the report and issue any feedback, beyond that changes are chargeable.

Should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact us at any time.

Mr. Peter Haine FDSc Arb  
Consultant Arborist



ROAVR | GROUP


Prepared by: Peter Haine  
Checked by: Max Shaw

---

---



## Appendix 1 – Site Location



## Appendix 2 – Arboricultural Data Tables



Tree Number	Species	Age Class	DBH	Height (crown height)	N	E	S	W	Condition	Life Expectancy	Physical Description	Comments	Managment Recommendations	RPA offset from stem.	Category Rating
T1	<i>Laurus nobilis</i> (Bay)	SM	100,80,110	4.5(1)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	Fair	10+	Tree located within hard surface area. Stem divides below 1.5m.	Off site.	None	2.03	C1
T2	<i>Sorbus aria</i> (Whitebeam)	EM	120	4(2)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	Fair	10+	None	None	None	1.44	C1
T3	<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> (Cherry Laurel)	M	130,150,170,172,90	7(0.5)	3	4	4	4	Fair	10+	Tree located within hard surface area. Stem divides at ground level.	None	None	3.91	C1
H1	<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> (Cherry Laurel)	SM	75	4(0.5)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	Good	20+	None	None	None	0.9	B3
H2	<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> (Cherry Laurel)	SM	100	5(0.5)	2	2	2	2	Good	20+	None	None	None	1.2	B3

## **Arboricultural Data Tables Terms.**


Tree ID	Reference no. T1, T2 etc. for trees; H for hedgerows; G for Groups and W for woodlands.
Tag Number	If the tree has been tagged with an 'arbo' tag then the physical tag number is listed in this column.
TPO Number	If the tree is subject to a TPO and it is known to us this will be recorded here.
In Conservation Area	Y/N - If the tree is located within a Conservation Area we may confirm that here.
Tree Type	Beech, Oak etc.
Common Name	Common Beech, Evergreen Oak etc.
Latin Name	Fagus sylvatica; Quercus robur - Latin names.
Maturity	The estimated age class of the tree (relative to species) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Y - Young</li> <li>o SM - Semi-mature</li> <li>o EM - Early-mature</li> <li>o M - Mature</li> <li>o OM - Over-mature or V - Veteran</li> </ul>
Potential for Bat Habitat	Y/N - if the tree has cracks, cavities or suitable bat habitat it may require further ecological surveys and form a constraint on development.
Measurements Estimated (Y/N)	Y/N - if the tree is off site, covered with ivy, or some other restriction the British Standard allows for measurements to be estimated.
Height	Height of the tree in metres.
Height & Direction of 1st Significant Branch	Recorded to consider access.
Number of Stems	Number of clear stems.
Diameter at Breast Height	Diameter of stem (mm) at breast height (1.5 metres above ground).
Crown Spread	The maximum spread of the tree's canopy measured from the stem in four directions (North, East, South, West).
Canopy Height	The height between ground level and the lowest part of the canopy when considering access.
Crown / stem / Basal Condition	Good, Fair, Poor condition comments.
Category	Tree categorisation based on section 4.5 of BS 5837 (2012) Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations. Four categories are used (A, B, C, U) with categories A, B & C being assigned one of three separate sub categories (1, 2 or 3):  A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm
Life Expectancy	Estimated safe, usable life expectancy.

Sub-Category	Subcategories:  1: Mainly arboricultural & aesthetic qualities 2: Mainly landscape qualities 3: Mainly cultural values, including conservation U – Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years
Physical Condition	Good, Fair, Poor condition considering the tree structure, form and vitality.
Management Recommendations	Recommendations (regardless of the development proposals if available) for removal, retention and/or remedial arboricultural works.
Comments	A brief description of the tree which refers to tree form, condition, health and significant defects. Comments regarding environmental conditions affecting the tree (e.g. ground conditions) will also be included where relevant.







Arboricultural data tables are essentially an asset register of the trees and tree cover on and adjacent to a development site. The information included within the tables is used to produce a tree constraints plan (TCP) which shows in 2D the constraints and opportunities on a particular site.


## Appendix 3 – Arboricultural Plans


**General Notes**  
 Do not scale off drawing - refer to the tree data schedule for accurate crown spread measurements.  
 Depictions of tree canopies are based on measurements taken to four cardinal compass points.  
 No liability of any kind is accepted for any omissions or inaccuracies in respect of this plan.  
 The original of this drawing was produced in colour; a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.  
 All rights reserved.




**Key**

-  Trees  
Showing Canopy extents, category colour and tag number (with category).
-  **Category A**  
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.
-  **Category B**  
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.
-  **Category C**  
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm.
-  **Category U**  
Trees in such a condition that they can not realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.
-  BS 5837:2012 Root Protection Area









<b>Tree Constraints Plan</b>			
Client London Tree Company			
Site/Project 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middlesex TW12 1EQ			
Scale/Sheet 1:150 @ A3	Date 21/06/2024		
Drawing No 24_5837_06_04	Rev 1	Drawn By PH	Checked By MH
 ROAVR Group, Marr House Beechwood Business Park, Inverness, IV2 3BW <a href="http://www.roavr-group.co.uk">www.roavr-group.co.uk</a> <a href="mailto:support@roavr-group.co.uk">support@roavr-group.co.uk</a> 01463 667302			


**General Notes**  
 Do not scale off drawing - refer to the tree data schedule for accurate crown spread measurements.  
 Depictions of tree canopies are based on measurements taken to four cardinal compass points.  
 No liability of any kind is accepted for any omissions or inaccuracies in respect of this plan.  
 The original of this drawing was produced in colour; a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.  
 All rights reserved.




**Key**

-  Trees  
Showing Canopy extents, category colour and tag number (with category).
-  **Category A**  
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.
-  **Category B**  
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.
-  **Category C**  
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm.
-  **Category U**  
Trees in such a condition that they can not realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.
-  **BS 5837:2012 Root Protection Area**

N




1:150  
0 5m

<b>Tree Assessment Plan</b>			
Client London Tree Company			
Site/Project 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middlesex TW12 1EQ			
Scale/Sheet 1:150 @ A3	Date 21/06/2024		
Drawing No 24_5837_06_04	Rev 1	Drawn By PH	Checked By MH
 ROAVR Group, Marr House Beechwood Business Park, Inverness, IV2 3BW <a href="http://www.roavr-group.co.uk">www.roavr-group.co.uk</a> <a href="mailto:support@roavr-group.co.uk">support@roavr-group.co.uk</a> 01463 667302			



**General Notes**  
 Do not scale off drawing - refer to the tree data schedule for accurate crown spread measurements.  
 Depictions of tree canopies are based on measurements taken to four cardinal compass points.  
 No liability of any kind is accepted for any omissions or inaccuracies in respect of this plan.  
 The original of this drawing was produced in colour; a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.  
 All rights reserved.



**Key**


- Trees  
Showing Canopy extents, category colour and tag number (with category).
- Category A**  
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.
- Category B**  
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.
- Category C**  
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm.
- Category U**  
Trees in such a condition that they can not realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.
- BS 5837:2012 Root Protection Area
- Tree Protective Fencing
- Construction Exclusion Zone
- Ground Protection/Restricted Activity Zone

N

1:150

0 5m

<b>Tree Protection Plan</b>			
Client London Tree Company			
Site/Project 151-157 Rectory Grove, Hampton Middlesex TW12 1EQ			
Scale/Sheet 1:150 @ A3	Date 26/06/2024		
Drawing No 24_5837_06_04	Rev 1	Drawn By PH	Checked By MH



ROAVR Group, Marr House  
 Beechwood Business Park, Inverness, IV2 3BW  
[www.roavr-group.co.uk](http://www.roavr-group.co.uk)  
[support@roavr-group.co.uk](mailto:support@roavr-group.co.uk)  
 01463 667302