

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/3190/HOT

Address: 67 Ormond Crescent Hampton TW12 2TQ

Proposal: Ground floor side extensions including new entrance porch. Upgrading of rear partly enclosed space to enable extension of existing living/dining room. Raising of ridge and creation of extended first floor and new second floor accommodation with new hipped and gabled roofs and side dormer.

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs. Baljit Kunner

Address: 65 Ormond Crescent Hampton Hampton TW12 2TQ

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: Planning Application: 24/3190/HOT

OBJECT

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed side extension element at 67 Ormond Crescent. My objection is based on the following grounds:

Non-compliance with Local Plan Policies:

Policy LP1 and LP8 of the Local Plan (2018): The proposal fails to comply with the principles outlined in policies LP1 and LP8, which emphasize the importance of protecting the amenity and living conditions of existing, adjoining, and neighbouring occupants. The proposed side extension does not adhere to these principles.

Supplementary Planning Document: 'House Extensions and External Alterations' and 'Hampton Village Planning Guidance': The proposal contravenes the guidelines set forth in these supplementary planning documents, which provide clear directives to maintain the character and integrity of the neighbourhood.

Impact on Neighbor Amenity (Policy LP8):

Policy LP8 specifically states that development must protect the amenity and living conditions of existing, adjoining, and neighbouring occupants. The proposed side extension at 67 Ormond Crescent would cause undue harm to our property, 65 Ormond Crescent, in the following ways:

Spacing and Building to the Boundary /Party Wall: The proposed extension reduces the spacing between properties, creating a cramped and overbearing effect. Building to the boundary /party wall infringes on the shared boundary and negatively impacts our privacy and space. This close proximity not only feels intrusive but also diminishes the sense of personal space that is vital for mental well-being.

Daylight Standards: The extension does not comply with daylight standards, resulting in a significant loss of natural light to our property. This reduction in daylight will adversely affect our living conditions and well-being. Natural light is essential for maintaining a positive and healthy living environment. The decrease in daylight may lead to a more gloomy and oppressive atmosphere inside our home, affecting our daily lives and mental health.

Shading: The proposed extension will increase shading on our property, leading to further reduction in natural light and negatively impacting our quality of life. Extended periods of shade can make our living spaces feel cold and unwelcoming. The loss of sunlight in our garden, which is a cherished space for relaxation and family gatherings, would be particularly devastating. We rely on this outdoor area for activities such as gardening, playing with our grand children, and socializing with friends and neighbours.

Furthermore, the side extension's overbearing presence would detract from the overall harmony and aesthetic appeal of the neighbourhood. This visual intrusion could potentially lower the value of surrounding properties, including ours.

In light of these concerns, I respectfully request that the planning application for 67 Ormond Crescent be refused. Thank you for considering my objections. I trust that the planning department will recognise the significant adverse effects of this proposal on our property and the surrounding neighbourhood.

Yours faithfully, Mrs Baljit Kunner