

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/3238/HOT

Address: 24 Mead RoadHamRichmondTW10 7LG

Proposal: Single storey rear and side extension

Comments Made By

Name: Ms. Tina Bucklow

Address: 26 Mead Road Ham Richmond TW10 7LG

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: The design statement is not transparent as to the reason why this second application is needed. The first being 8th November 2023. I do not see any changes to the application which makes me suspicious. I originally objected as the homeowner of no 26 Mead Road on the grounds of light being diminished to my working environment and the encroachment and domination of a solid structure to the boundary which is increasingly permitted. I work from home all week and permanently and the conservatory is my office I object to the encroachment and dominant structure to the boundary and to the light that will be significantly diminished by this structure. In the design statement I object to no 22 being considered differently from my home solely because no 26 is terraced. As a result no. 26 is treated differently and permitted to build right up to the boundary. The new structure at the back of no 24 will be right up to the boundary that is longer, higher (the overall surface)and solid (not glass)and does nothing less than dominate and encroach one's space and totally dwarf the lower and more discreet structure at no. 24. As you can see it contributes to poor neighbourly relations which will continue to deteriorate. Unsurprisingly the design statement hardly touches upon the impact of the structure on no 26, in particular the effect upon light emanating through a glass structure with a sloping roof compared to a solid structure with a flat roof, built up to the height of the apex of the current structure, and how significantly light is reduced at a certain time of day. I have already mentioned I work from home at my home office in the conservatory full time, on a daily basis and sometimes at weekends. If you are reconsidering this proposal and I don't know why you are, that you consider my objection carefully.