Reference: FS680908633

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 24/3119/FUL

Address: Argyle House1 Dee RoadRichmond

Proposal: A Roof Extension to Provide a Fourth Floor to Accommodate Two New Apartments. Reconfiguration of Bin

Store and Provision of a Bike Store at Ground Level. Provision of Air Source Heat Pumps.

Comments Made By

Name: Mr. Tim Oram

Address: Flat 2 Argyle House 1 Dee Road Richmond TW9 2JN

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: I strongly object to this proposal to create an additional floor to Argyle House with 2 flats for the following reasons:

- -Noise and disturbance: As other residents have commented, I believe the building has already reached its maximum capacity. It has a small lift which frequently breaks down impacting residents safety, costs through the service charge and disturbance from maintenance engineers and not being able to use the lift when broken. There are already issues with the bin store bins regularly overflowing and big items being left there which shouldn't be. Multiple big deliveries from online shopping are already left in the small hallway and my flat is frequently buzzed to request to opening the main apartment block door for other flats deliveries when they are not answering causing disturbance. 2 more flats would increase this occurring.
- -Loss of light or overshadowing: On the ground floor outside my flat there is a small outdoor area which will be further overshadowed by a taller building.
- -Visual amenity/Design: The style of the building is currently in keeping with Clarence Court and Vision House next to it so an additional floor would be out of character with the surrounding area and create an eye sore
- -Traffic generation/Highway Safety: Should new residents have cars that would increase traffic and potentially impact safety with many pedestrians using the area
- -Previous planning decisions: A similar application submitted some time ago by the same applicant 23/0567/FUL was rejected and an appeal also dismissed and I strongly urge the council to do the same to the current application.