Social Rent and Intermediate Rent Costs and Capitalisation

These values are used to calculate capitalised value when no grant is available.
If you wish to use your own values then you can enter them in the white cells below if you leave any blank then the Toolkit Value for that row will be used.

Social Rent		Values	User Values	
	Management	£534		per dwelling (+30% for flats)
	Maintenance	5258		per dwelling (+10% for flats)
Costs per annum	Voids/bad debts	4.38%		of gross rent
	Service charge	12.49%		of Man'+Main'
September 1860	Repairs reserve	0.384%		of development costs
Capitalisation	sation	5.40%		of net rent

New Dulid Holliebus	ToolKit Values	User Values	
Rent	2.75%		of gross rent
Capitalisation	2.40%		of net rent

Intermediate Rent		ToolKit Values	Jser Values	
	Management costs	%00.9	of gro	of gross rent
	Maintenance	£1,200	per d	per dwelling
Costs per annum	Voids/bad debts	%00.9	of gro	of gross rent
	Service charge	4.20%	of gro	of gross rent
	Letting fee	1.50%	of gro	of gross rent
Capitalisation	sation	5.40%	of net rent	trent

Next Page

Previous Page

corresponding box in the "Enter Total?" column	ndul	Input by Total			Input	Input by Unit			Calculated
and enter a value in the "User Total" column :						Affordable			Total
licked	Enter Total?	User Total	Sale	Social rent	New build HomeBuy	Low cost sale	Equity share	Intermediate rent	(Affordable and Sale)
	2	£15,000							£15,000
	上								03
Contribution to public transport	上								03
Contribution to community facilities	L								03
Provision for open space	2	525,000							25,000
Contribution to public art	L								03
Environmental improvements	L								03
Town centre improvements	L				September 1				03
Waterfront improvements	L								03
Support for employment development	L								03
Employment related training	L								03
	2	\$5,000							62,000
				£45,000					
Total for Scheme per hectare				£193,133					
Total for Scheme divided by total number of units	er of ur	its		£1,667			Previous Page		Naxt Pana
Total for Scheme divided by number of sale units	sale un	ite	的なの地域があれ	895 CJ	是 10 mm		COOL ACT		Mey I age

.

•

•

•

•

• • •

•

•

Capital contribution From Other Sources

For each type of contribution you may either enter a total figure (for that row) or you may enter values per unit (for each tenure). If you choose the second option, the Tookki will calculate the total obligation cost for the schen

enter a value in the "User Total" column . To enter the values by tenure leave the box unifoxed	dennish b	Innuit hy Total				11-11-11			The second secon
	IIIbut E	Jana I			Input by Unit	y Unit			Calculated
						Affordable			Total
En To	Enter Total?	User Total	Sale	Social rent	New build HomeBuy	Low cost sale	Equity share		Intermediate (Affordable and rent
Cross-subsidy to (-) or from (+) non-housing elements of a mixed use scheme		03							3
European Union funding	羅							TO NOT THE REAL PROPERTY.	03
English Partnership funding					The same of the sa				03
London Development Agency grant									03
Local Authority capital grant	L								03
Other regeneration funding									03
English Heritage grant									03
Lottery grant	1								03
Contribution from Payment in Lieu fund									03
Employer contribution									03
Other									03
Total for Scheme				03					
Total for Scheme per hectare				03					
Total for Scheme divided by total number of units				03					STREET, STREET
Total for Scheme divided by number of sale units				03			Previou	Previous Page	Next Page

Please choose the method by which the payment is made by the affordable housing provider to the developer Payment by affordable housing provider to developer based on fixed Next Page Payment by affordable housing provider to developer fixed. No information available on grant availability or affordable housing assumption on social housing grant (including no grant) Scheme Revenue from Affordable Housing Previous Page provider's revenue income.

		Afforda	Affordable Housing Tenures	Tenures		Total
	Social rent	New build HomeBuy	Low cost sale	Equity share	-	Intermediate No. Of Affordable rent Units
Number of units	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	8
Payment By Unit						
Or Payment By Tenure						
Or Scheme Total	inter a lump s	Enter a lump sum payment for all Affordable Housing Tenures	for all Afforda	ble Housing T		£ 1,150,000
Tenure Total	3	3	3	3	3	
Method by which Affordable Housing Revenue is calculated	N/A	N/A	N/A	By Scheme Total	N/A	
otal Known Payment for Affordable Housing	1150000					
				Prev	Previous Page	Next Page

Enter the known grant payments into the cells below. The grant may be specified on a per unit basis, or by tenure, or by entire scheme. Where 'Not oaclulated' is specified the toolkit will assume that no grant is available for that tenure. Total known Affordable Housing Grant **Next Page** Grant is calculated Method by which Previous Page N/A N A 8 8 8 Tenure Total Grant A lump sum that covers all Affordable Housing Grant by scheme Please choose whether a grant is or is not available for the scheme Grant by tenure · Yes - Grant is available and is a known value Grant by unit 0.00 Number of units No - Grant is not available New build HomeBuy Intermediate Rent Social Rent

Affordable Housing Tenures
Social rent 0.0 15.50%
Social rent 0.0 15.50%

•

•

		nd affordable hou		% of All Units	30%	30%																			View Results	
		f open market ar	TS	Quantity	8.0	8.0		03	03	03	03		000 3703	£40,000	000.63	£13,000	54,000	No Info			000'683-	60	03	03	03	
	wickenham	Conversion of industrial buildings for mix of open market and affordable hou	AFFORDABLE LINITS		Total Cocial rent	Intermediate												The second name of the second		Against residual						
Details	Sife 37 Hamilton Hoad, Twickenham Address	Scheme Conversion of indus	DENSITY (per hectare)	Dwellings 115.9	Habitable rooms 257.5 Berksnaces No Info		PUBLIC SUBSIDY (GRAND	Whole scheme	Per social rental dwelling	Per Newbuild Homebuy dwelling	Per Intermediate Rent dwelling	The state of the s	Whole scheme	Per hectare	Per dwelling	Per market dwelling	Per habitable room	Per bedspace	(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Alternative Site Values	Exisiting Use Value	Acquisition Cost	Alternative Use Value 1	Alternative Use Value 2	Alternative Use Value 3	
	3, revised Aug 08							25,150,000		64,000,000	51,150,000	03	03	03	03	03		24,904,000	£3,206,692	£1,287,409	03	03	03	03	£1,287,409	£45,000
Scheme Results Site Reference Details Site Beference Mumber		NLUD Reference Number 0 UPRN or Grid Reference 0	TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS		Habitable rooms 60 Bedspaces No Info	air Units	REVENUE AND COSTS	Total scheme revenue	Contribution to revenue from:	Market housing	Affordable Housing	- Social rent	- Intermediate Bent	- Low Cost Sale	- Equity Share	Capital Contribution	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contribution to costs from:	Market housing	Affordable Housing	- Social rent	- New build HomeBuy	- Intermediate Rent	- Low Cost Sale	- Equity Share	Planning Obligations Exceptional Development Costs

•

•

•

•

•

Appendix C Geotechnical Report

37 HAMILTON ROAD, TWICKENHAM

Preliminary Environmental Assessment

Synopsis

An investigation has been carried out at 37 Hamilton Road,

Twickenham on the instructions of Frendcastle Management Ltd.

The purpose of the investigation was to determine the ground conditions and to provide preliminary recommendations in respect of environmental matters.

Five continuous open drive (window) sampler boreholes were carried out, supported by a programme of in situ and laboratory testing.

The results indicate that some remediation of the site is expected to be required.

A desk study^[1] have previously been carried out by APgeotechnics and should be read in conjunction with the recommendations given herein.

1

Site description

The site consists of the light industrial units, garages and storage with hardstanding in between. The archive study^[1] contains a detailed description of the site to which the reader is referred. In addition, the topographical survey drawing is reproduced at Appendix C herein and serves to illustrate the general layout of the site.

4

Field work

The extent of the field work was agreed with the client and comprised five boreholes advanced by continuous open drive sampling techniques to a maximum of 4.5m depth. (WSI could not be undertaken due to suspected services in the vicinity.)

Representative soil samples were recovered for subsequent laboratory examination and testing. Details of the strata encountered are provided on the Borehole Records at Appendix A; together with particulars of the samples recovered and groundwater observations.

5

Laboratory testing

Chemical analyses was undertaken to detect the presence of contaminants indicated by the desk study, viz:-

Common contaminants listed by the ICRCL [2]:-

Total arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, copper, nickel, zinc, monohydric phenol, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cyanide. Water soluble boron and sulphate. Sulphide, elemental sulphur and pH value.

Speciated total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH)

Speciated Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)

Polychlorinated Byphenols (PCB)

The analysis was undertaken on soil samples and the results are presented at Appendix B.

6

Ground conditions

6.1

Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy of the site as revealed by boreholes is given in detail at Appendix A and is described in general terms hereafter.

6.1.1

Fill material

Underlying the hardstanding was a sand with brick fragments and other man made detritus, sometime black in colour and having a hydrocarbon odour. Underlying this in WS2, 4 & 5 and replacing it in WS6 was a brown sandy clay with gravel and brick fragments. Fill material was proved to a maximum depth of 1.6m.

6.1.2

Langley Silt

An layer of orange brown or grey brown sandy clay with some gravel was proved beneath the fill in all holes. A hydrocarbon odour was noted in WS 4, whilst this material is considered to represent Langley Silt.

6.1.3

Kempton Park Gravel

Kempton Park Gravel was proved in all exploratory holes. It was generally found to be a brown and orange brown sandy gravel sometimes clayey at the top and with occasional clay layers within. The majority of the window sampler holes were terminated in this material, although WS4 proved it to 4.2m depth. A hydrocarbon odour was noted in WS4 from 3 to 4.2m depth.

6.1.3

London Clay

London Clay was proved in WS4 only and continued to the full depth of investigation. It comprised a fissured dark grey silty clay, consistent with the unweathered part of the formation.

The clay was visually assessed to be in a generally stiff condition.

6.2

Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered WS4 & 6 at 3 and 3.5m depth rising some ½m in the subsequent observation period. Full details are provided on the appropriate borehole record at Appendix A.

7

Discussion

7.1

General

Based on the information given by the desk study, it was concluded that samples should be analysed for contaminants listed by the ICRCL together with TPH and PAH.

7.2

Contamination

This preliminary investigation has highlighted contamination predominantly by hydrocarbons in all exploratory position apart from WS6. It is our experience that a level of 350mg/kg is generally acceptable to the Local Environmental Health Officer and the Environment Agency for TPH although it is expected that a full site specific risk assessment will be required to confirm a level once the development layout is known. On the above basis significant hydrocarbon contamination has been found at the following locations:-

WS2 @ 0.3m

WS4 @ 1.3m

WS4 @ 3.3m

WS5 @ 0.3m

The sample from WS2 also showed significant level of PAH contamination.

All PCB results were below detection limits.

Based on the laboratory testing so far carried out, significant hydrocarbon contamination has been found in a number of locations (one of which is below groundwater). Additional investigation and sampling will be required to determine the lateral and vertical extent of the contamination. Also confirmation that the groundwater has / has not been impacted should be made. After this has been completed a site specific risk assessment should be undertaken.

Although, once this has been completed it is expected that some remediation will be required. Insitu bioremediation is proven technology to achieve this and is expected to be the most appropriate remediation solution..

A M Smith
AP GEOTECHNICS LTD.
22 July 2004

This report has been prepared for the sole and specific use of Frendcastle Management Ltd. Any other persons who use any information contained herein without the written permission of AP GEOTECHNICS LTD. do so at their own risk. The copyright to this report remains the property of AP GEOTECHNICS LTD.

Appendix D **Ecological Assessment**

BREEAM ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT HAMILTON ROAD TWICKENHAM

A Report to Acanthus LW Architects

Middlemarch Environmental Ltd.
Triumph House
Birmingham Road
Allesley
Coventry
CV5 9AZ

Tel: 01676 525880 Fax: 01676 521400

E-Mail: admin@middlemarch-environmental.com Web Site: www.middlemarch-environmental.com

Report Number: RT-MME-3347

October 2005

BREEAM ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT HAMILTON ROAD TWICKENHAM

CONTROLLED COPY

01 OF 02

01 ACANTHUS LW ARCHITECTS 02 MIDDLEMARCH ENVIRONMENTAL LTD.

This study was conducted and compiled by Katie Jones BSc

This report is the responsibility of Middlemarch Environmental Ltd, It should be noted, that whilst every effort is made to meet the client's brief, no site investigation can ensure complete assessment or prediction of the natural environment

Contract Number C3347

October 2005

CONTENTS

EXECU	TIVE SUMMARY	3
1. IN	TRODUCTION	4
1.1	EcoHomes - The Environmental Rating for Homes	5
1.2	Ecological Credits	6
2. PR	OJECT INTRODUCTION	7
3. ME	ETHODOLOGY	
3.1	BREEAM Ecological Assessment	8
3.2	BREEAM Ecological Assessor	8
3.3	Documentation Provided	8
4. CU	RRENT ECOLOGICAL VALUE	9
4.1	Site Location	9
4.2	Existing Site	9
4.3	Species	10
4.4	Habitats	
4.4.1	Buildings	
4.4.2	Hard standing	
4.4.3	Ephemeral short perennial/tall ruderal vegetation	
4.4.3	Scattered trees	
5. CU	RRENT SITE ECOLOGY CREDITS	13
5.1	Ecological Credit No. Q1	13
5.2	Ecological Credit No. Q3	14
6.	ECOLOGICAL ENHANCEMENT CREDIT NO. Q2	15
6.1	Good Horticultural Practice	15
6.2	Trees	
6.3	Shrubs	15
6.4	Bulb Planting	15
6.5	Bat Boxes	
6.6	Bird Boxes	16
6.7	Bird Tables	16
6.8	Ladybird/Lacewing Boxes	
7. SP	ECIES CHANGE CREDITS R1-R4	
8. FO	OTPRINT/FLOOR AREA RATIO CREDIT Q5	18
	MMARY OF ECOLOGICAL CREDITS TO BE AWARDED	
9.1	Ecological Credit No. Q1	19
9.2	Ecological Credit No. Q2	19
9.3	Ecological Credit No. Q3	19
9.4	Ecological Credits No. R1 to R4	
9.5	Ecological Credit No Q5	
	DIX 1	20
	DIX 2	45
APPEN	DIX 3	55