# DRAFT REPORT/DELEGATED DECISION SHEET

1/2 Ref: 03/2818/HOT

### PLANNING PERMISSION/LISTED BUILDING CONSENT CONSERVATION AREA CONSENT

Contact: Mr S Graham-Smi

Extn.: 4575

Ward:

App Rec'd: 09/09/2003

APPLICANT: MR & MRS PARKER

AGENT: ENGLISHAUS ARCHITECTS
Site: 74 KINGSTON ROAD
TEDDINGTON

Proposal: ERECTION OF SINGLE STOREY SIDE AND REAR EXTENSION

* EH may direct			Full Planning			V
Decision by R.U.T.		/	Outline			
Listed Building Consent			Other			
C.A. Consent			Council Commi			tee
RECOMMENDATION:			/:		ENFORCEM COURT AC	
Prepared by	282		Submitted for	decision b	У	
Date:	565		PLANNING   PLANNING   DELEGATED   AND TRNSPT   SUB-CTTEE   POWERS   COMMUTTEE			
TEAM LEADER	Agreed		COMMITTEE	$\wedge$		
Date:			Date:	Date:	Date:	
PPO	Agreed		Application dealt with under delegated authority by			
Date:				AB		
			on: 15	(0/07	3	

Visit neighbouring property YES / NO DATE:

Ref: 03/2818/HOT

## THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES WERE CONSULTED ON 16th September, 2003

The Occupier, 72 Kingston Road, Teddington, TW11 9HY, The Occupier, 76 Kingston Road, Teddington, TW11 9HY,

# THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES WERE CONSULTED AT APPEAL STAGE

STANDARD CONSULTATIONS WHERE APPLICABLE CAN BE SEEN AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

### DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

UDP	ENU	19.23.24	
	BLT	11,15,16	Specify
Supplementary Planning Guidance			
Conservation Area Study/Proposal			
Conservation Area Description:			
Conservation Area Number: [ ]			
Listed Building Grade: [ ]			
Conflict with Development Plan:			
Building of Townscape Merit:			Tick
Tree Preservation Order No.:			
Advertised: [ ]			
Site Notice: [ ]			
CAAG: [ ]			
Metropolitan Open Land:			
Area of Mixed Use:	65.174		
Key Shopping Frontage:			Tick
Secondary Shopping Frontage:			&
Archaeological Priority Zone:			
	ALC: NO		Othor
	VIII yes		
PRESENT USE (If vacant previous	use i	f commercial give name)	
SFD	DVT:		

Ref: 03/2818/HOT

## OFFICER'S REPORT (to include the following information)

Site and Proposal.
History
Public & other representations
(i) Stat./Official bodies

(ii) C.A.A.C
(iii) Councillors
(iv) Adj. residents

(v) Local Amenity groups
Amendments
Reconsultation
Professional comments
Background Papers

An initial application was made for two Separate ground floor rear extensions (02/1301/FUL). One projected 2.8 m bayoned the rear elevation of adjoining house, NS. 72 - the other projected 2.2m beyond the rear of 76. In both cases these were within the quidelier limits and permeasion was granted were within the quidelier limits and permeasion was granted.

A second application (03/6906/HOT) proposed the extension close to No. 72 projection 6m beyond its rear elevation within I'm of the boundary. This was refused - unneighbourly, overbearing, contropy to quedelines.

This new application shows the extension close to No. 72 projecting 207m beyond its rear elevation which is acceptable.

More space is gained with a single storey side extension which is does not affect 72 which has no side windows on the added. This does not affect 72 which has no side windows on the ground floor. The other extension is as approved previously.

No objections received.