Application reference: 04/2959/PS192/ PS192
HAMPTON NORTH WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
10.09.2004	10.09.2004		05.11.2004

Site:
92 Broad Lane, Hampton, Richmond Upon Thames, TW12 3BW

Proposal:
Erection of Single Storey Rear Extension.

Present use: *SFD*

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME
Mr And Mrs B. Rowe
92 Broad Lane
Hampton
Richmond Upon Thames
TW12 3BW

AGENT NAME
Englishaus Limited
30 Lawrence Road
Hampton
Richmond Upon Thames
TW12 2RJ

Consultations:
Internal/External:

Neighbours:

-

History:
04/2959/PS192 Erection of Single Storey Rear Extension.

Constraints:

Professional Comments:

Site & Proposal

2 storey s/d house with original 2 storey outrigger and a detached garage, one of a pair the other belonging to No 94. The garage appears to be contemporary with the house which was built in the 1930s. There is no planning or b regs history and site visit confirmed there have been no extensions made to the property.

The proposed single storey extension would be built between the outrigger and the side boundary with No 90 and would be 3.1m × 3.79m × 3.35m mean height = 39.36m³

The original plans submitted showed the side wall of the extension to be just over 4m high and thus exceeded the height limit for extensions under the General Permitted Development Order, but an amended plan has been submitted superceding it and the proposed extension does not now exceed 4m

~~The proposed extension falls within the permitted development limits and the height of the extension is acceptable. BC.~~

Additional Comments

With no definitive evidence available re the date the garage was built the agent has submitted 2 further

Recommendation:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES / NO

I therefore recommend the following:

1. REFUSAL ☐
2. PERMISSION ☑
3. FORWARD TO COMMITTEE ☐
☐

Case Officer (Initials) ....

Dated: 4/10

I agree the recommendation:

Team Leader/Development Control Manager

Dated: ....

This application has been subject to representations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The Development Control Manager has considered those representations and concluded that the application can be determined without reference to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.

Development Control Manager: ....

Dated: ....

REASONS:
CONDITIONS:
INFORMATIVES:
UDP POLICIES:
OTHER POLICIES:

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform

CONDITIONS:	

INFORMATIVES:	

ADDITIONAL NOTES CONTINUED FROM ABOVE: