

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 21/3107/FUL

Address: Barnes Hospital South Worples Way East Sheen London SW14 8SU

Proposal: Drop-in full application to supersede residential development zone of previously approved Outline planning permission 18/3642/OUT. Demolition of existing structures and redevelopment of site including construction of three new buildings comprising 106 residential units of mixed tenure (Use Class C3), alterations and conversion of two existing buildings for 3 residential use (Use Class C3), car and cycle parking, landscaping and associated works.

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs. Ann Marie Walsh

Address: 53 Grosvenor Avenue East Sheen London SW14 8BU

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: This application is beyond the limits of outline planning consent and needs to be reconsidered as a new application.

By only considering residential, the Transport Statement (TS) in no way addresses the risks associated with access, traffic congestion and transport constraints, especially at the dangerous White Hart Lane (WHL) level crossing. There is no analysis of flow of deliveries and people to school and hospital. Impact on the locality is not taken on board. The TS deals with traffic flow am/pm in July 2021. It notes marginal increases in traffic numbers. However, if traffic is at a standstill already, it is not surprising that statically counting cars will not indicate greater numbers. The area is already in gridlock. Where is the analysis of increase congestion times and pollution caused by idling cars on Upper Richmond Road? The build up of traffic waiting at the levelling crossing will cause chaos and a dangerous driving environment.

The TS says Stag Brewery and Manor Road developments will not create more traffic. This is wrong. Where is the evidence?

The TS says Hammersmith Bridge is going to be resolved. There is no budget and no plan for this. This is factually wrong.

How is moving from PTAL2 to PTAL 4 justified? The planned footbridge was already considered in outline. With Hammersmith Bridge down this has severely impeded the bus service, more than outweighing any marginal benefit that a new footbridge might offer in terms of accessing the bus stop more quickly. This development should be considered PTAL 2 and no more!

2/ Energy

Heat pumps must be used, potentially with electric boiler backup (ie. No gas – this is a major air quality issue, as well as the CO2 considerations). The developer should not be allowed to put in gas combustion in London. A consolidated energy system should be applied.

The increase in block B size was not agreed and beyond the limits of outline consent, and any balconies overlooking existing residential housing should not be allowed.