

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 21/3107/FUL

**Address:** Barnes Hospital South Worple Way East Sheen London SW14 8SU

**Proposal:** Drop-in full application to supersede residential development zone of previously approved Outline planning permission 18/3642/OUT. Demolition of existing structures and redevelopment of site including construction of three new buildings comprising 106 residential units of mixed tenure (Use Class C3), alterations and conversion of two existing buildings for 3 residential use (Use Class C3), car and cycle parking, landscaping and associated works.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Miss Susan Borrett

**Address:** 26 Sutherland Gardens East Sheen London SW14 8DB

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** (Continued) - Saying that GSHP is not compatible with VRG-HR air source systems is a red herring. Some of the best commercial installations of heat pumps in London (cooling and heating) are on heat pumps. Also, they should be going for UFH as opposed to radiators. With regards to the heat pumps being proposed (air source) are condenser units put outside? There must be noise attenuation and it is critical that the cold flow from these doesn't go onto footpaths (potential freezing and slip risk) or adjacent buildings.

#### 3/ Proposed Blocks

The impact of the mass of the proposed build will be significantly detrimental to adjacent housing. Increased number of units from 83 to 109 (23%) is beyond the limits of outline consent. There is no strong argument for increasing the height of Block B. There is only a tiny reference point for the site and nothing of this height in the area.

Balconies on Block A, 1st floor (West elevation) and Block B, 1st and 2nd floor (West elevation) will overlook existing residential. Facades facing South are severe and completely out of character with the area.

Local businesses and residents were given just 11 working days to comment on these latest proposals and during a holiday period when many households are away, how can this be acceptable and democratic?

The residential component proposes a 26% uplift in units, and offers a transport statement which makes no reference to the impact of the proposed hospital and school on the site. The Energy Statement is not in line with the London Plan - which was ratified after initial outline permission was given.

Having been a local resident for in excess of 20 years we do get the feeling that local Councillors are riding rough shod across our community which is unacceptable.