

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 May 2022 13:09
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]
Attachments: Stag Brewery Representation Letter Worledge 210301.pdf; Mortlake Brewery Alternate Traffic Plan Submission.pdf

Dear Richmond Planning Dept,

I would be most grateful if you would consider Mr and Mrs Keefe's comments on the Former Stag Brewery planning application, forwarded below.

Yours sincerely,
Richard Warren
Senior Caseworker for Sarah Olney, MP for Richmond Park

Any personal data Sarah Olney MP or her staff members receive will be treated in accordance with Sarah Olney's privacy policy as detailed at saraholney.com/privacy-policy. Please email ellen.purton@parliament.uk if you would like to exercise any of your legal data rights.

[REDACTED]
Sent: 29 May 2022 21:46

[REDACTED]
Subject: Mortlake Brewery Objections

Hello those in public office,

I would like to voice my objections to the recently updated submissions to the Mortlake Brewery Development. The scheme does not take into account the impact on the local transport infrastructure and seems to have relied on the acceptance of previously submitted completely flawed data analysis. The proof of this analysis and it's flawed conclusions are best outlined in the attached document from Mark Woolridge.

Additionally, another friend and neighbour has submitted an alternative traffic flow scheme which has many merits yet has not been considered or been responded too. (see attached)

I also object to the building of a secondary school as the analysis claiming that future enrolment justifies the need for a school is counter to what is actually occurring within the borough. My wife, who is a three-form entry Primary Head Teacher, can verify that not only is her school but that many Primary schools within the borough are facing up to a 50% decline in reception enrolment next year year as a result of many factors including Brexit and relocation out of the Borough due to Covid. Additionally, it is well known that both major secondary schools in the borough, Christ's and RPA, currently have space across many year groups. Another secondary school which is oversized is certainly surplus to requirements.

Finally, the scheme is way too large for the area and would overwhelm local GP and Dental services the provision of which has not been considered.

In a nutshell, the travel and transport schemes are not fit for purpose, the secondary school is not needed and the scheme, due to it's proposed size, will overwhelm local services.

Please can you take these concerns seriously and give the people in the community a voice.

Thank you for you time and consideration.

Mike and Sarah Keefe

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.