

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 22/0900/OUT

**Address:** The Stag Brewery Lower Richmond Road Mortlake London SW14 7ET

**Proposal:** Hybrid application to include: 1. Demolition of existing buildings (except the Maltings and the façade of the Bottling Plant and former Hotel), walls, associated structures, site clearance and groundworks, to allow for the comprehensive phased redevelopment of the site: 2. Detailed application for the works to the east side of Ship Lane which comprise: a. Alterations and extensions to existing buildings and erection of buildings varying in height from 3 to 9 storeys plus a basement of one to two storeys below ground to allow for residential apartments; flexible use floorspace for retail, financial and professional services, café/restaurant and drinking establishment uses, offices, non-residential institutions and community use and boathouse; Hotel / public house with accommodation; Cinema and Offices. b. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highway works. c. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parking at surface and basement level. d. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscaping. e. Flood defence and towpath works. f. Installation of plant and energy equipment. 3. Outline application, with all matters reserved for works to the west of Ship Lane which comprise: a. The erection of a single storey basement and buildings varying in height from 3 to 8 storeys. b. Residential development. c. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parking. d. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscaping. e. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highways works.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Mr. Mark Worledge

**Address:** 67 Lower Richmond Road Mortlake London SW14 7HH

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I have written to object to previous versions of this application, and I write again to object now. The changes made since the previous application do not alter the fundamental inappropriateness of the scale and density of the proposed development - which is out of character with the local area, contrary to Council policies, out of line with accepted norms and impracticable to absorb into the local road and transport infrastructure.

The Council's approved Planning Brief of 2011 remains the best (and most widely consulted and accepted) vision of how to develop this important site in a way that will benefit the site's new occupants and the existing local community - including provision of a primary (rather than a secondary) school. The Council should reject these inappropriate proposals and work with the developer to return to that 2011 Planning Brief model (which, importantly, include retention in situ of the precious natural grass playing fields).